

Biostatistics 602 - Statistical Inference Lecture 03 Minimal Sufficient Statistics

Hyun Min Kang

January 17th, 2013

Last Lecture - Key Questions

- ① How do we show that a statistic is sufficient for θ ?
- ② What is a necessary and sufficient condition for a statistic to be sufficient for θ ?
- ③ What is an effective strategy to find sufficient statistics using the Factorization Theorem?
- ④ Is the dimension of a sufficient statistic the always same to the dimension of the parameters?

Recap - Sufficient Statistic

Definition 6.2.1

A statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a *sufficient statistic* for θ if the conditional distribution of sample \mathbf{X} given the value of $T(\mathbf{X})$ does not depend on θ .

Recap - A Theorem for Sufficient Statistics

Theorem 6.2.2

- Let $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$ is a joint pdf or pmf of X
- and $q(t|\theta)$ is the pdf or pmf of $T(\mathbf{X})$.
- Then $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a sufficient statistic for θ ,
- if, for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$,
- the ratio $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)/q(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)$ is constant as a function of θ .

Recap - Factorization Theorem

Theorem 6.2.6 - Factorization Theorem

- Let $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$ denote the joint pdf or pmf of a sample \mathbf{X} .
- A statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a sufficient statistic for θ , if and only if
 - There exists function $g(t|\theta)$ and $h(\mathbf{x})$ such that,
 - for all sample points \mathbf{x} ,
 - and for all parameter points θ ,
 - $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)h(\mathbf{x})$.

Minimal Sufficient Statistic

Definition 6.2.11

A sufficient statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$ is called a *minimal sufficient statistic* if, for any other sufficient statistic $T'(\mathbf{X})$, $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a function of $T'(\mathbf{X})$.

Why is this called "minimal" sufficient statistic?

- The sample space \mathcal{X} consists of every possible sample - finest partition
- Given $T(\mathbf{X})$, \mathcal{X} can be partitioned into A_t where $t \in \mathcal{T} = \{t: t = T(\mathbf{X}) \text{ for some } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}\}$
- Maximum data reduction is achieved when $|\mathcal{T}|$ is minimal.
- If size of $\mathcal{T}' = \{t: t = T'(\mathbf{x}) \text{ for some } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}\}$ is not less than $|\mathcal{T}|$, then $|\mathcal{T}'|$ can be called as a minimal sufficient statistic.

Minimal Sufficient Statistic

Sufficient statistics are not unique

- $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$: The random sample itself is a trivial sufficient statistic for any θ .
- An ordered statistic $(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)})$ is always a sufficient statistic for θ , if X_1, \dots, X_n are iid.
- For any sufficient statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$, its one-to-one function $q(T(\mathbf{X}))$ is also a sufficient statistic for θ .

Question

Can we find a sufficient statistic that achieves the maximum data reduction?

Theorem for Minimal Sufficient Statistics

Theorem 6.2.13

- $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})$ be pmf or pdf of a sample \mathbf{X} .
- Suppose that there exists a function $T(\mathbf{x})$ such that,
- For every two sample points \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} ,
- The ratio $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)/f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\theta)$ is constant as a function of θ if and only if $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y})$.
- Then $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a minimal sufficient statistic for θ .

In other words..

- $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)/f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\theta)$ is constant as a function of $\theta \implies T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y})$.
- $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y}) \implies f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)/f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\theta)$ is constant as a function of θ

Example from the first lecture

Problem

- $X_1, X_2, X_3 \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$
- Q1: Is $\mathbf{T}_1(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1 + X_2, X_3)$ a sufficient statistic for p ?
- Q2: Is $T_2(\mathbf{X}) = X_1 + X_2 + X_3$ a minimal sufficient statistic for p ?
- Q3: Is $\mathbf{T}_1(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1 + X_2, X_3)$ a minimal sufficient statistic for p ?

Is $\mathbf{T}_1(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1 + X_2, X_3)$ a sufficient statistic?

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|p) &= p^{x_1+x_2+x_3} (1-p)^{3-x_1-x_2-x_3} \\ &= p^{x_1+x_2} (1-p)^{2-x_1-x_2} p^{x_3} (1-p)^{1-x_3} \\ h(\mathbf{x}) &= 1 \\ g(t_1, t_2|p) &= p^{t_1} (1-p)^{2-t_1} p^{t_2} (1-p)^{1-t_2} \\ f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|p) &= g(x_1 + x_2, x_3|p)h(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

By Factorization Theorem, $\mathbf{T}_1(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1 + X_2, X_3)$ is a sufficient statistic.

Is $T_2(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1 + X_2 + X_3)$ a minimal sufficient statistic?

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\theta)} &= \frac{p^{\sum x_i} (1-p)^{3-\sum x_i}}{p^{\sum y_i} (1-p)^{3-\sum y_i}} \\ &= \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right)^{\sum x_i - \sum y_i} \end{aligned}$$

- If $T_2(\mathbf{x}) = T_2(\mathbf{y})$, i.e. $\sum x_i = \sum y_i$, then the ratio does not depend on p .
- The ratio above is constant as a function of p only if $\sum x_i = \sum y_i$, i.e. $T_2(\mathbf{x}) = T_2(\mathbf{y})$.

Therefore, $T_2(\mathbf{X}) = \sum X_i$ is a minimal sufficient statistic for p by Theorem 6.2.13.

Is $\mathbf{T}_1(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1 + X_2, X_3)$ minimal sufficient?

Let $A(\mathbf{X}) = X_1 + X_2$, and $B(\mathbf{X}) = X_3$.

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|p) &= p^{x_1+x_2} (1-p)^{2-x_1-x_2} p^{x_3} (1-p)^{1-x_3} \\ &= p^{A(\mathbf{x})} (1-p)^{2-A(\mathbf{x})} p^{B(\mathbf{x})} (1-p)^{1-B(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= p^{A(\mathbf{x})+B(\mathbf{x})} (1-p)^{3-A(\mathbf{x})-B(\mathbf{x})} \\ \frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\theta)} &= \frac{p^{A(\mathbf{x})+B(\mathbf{x})} (1-p)^{3-A(\mathbf{x})-B(\mathbf{x})}}{p^{A(\mathbf{y})+B(\mathbf{y})} (1-p)^{3-A(\mathbf{x})-B(\mathbf{y})}} = \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right)^{A(\mathbf{x})+B(\mathbf{x})-A(\mathbf{y})-B(\mathbf{y})} \end{aligned}$$

- The ratio above is constant as a function of p if (but not only if) $A(\mathbf{x}) = A(\mathbf{y})$ and $B(\mathbf{x}) = B(\mathbf{y})$
- Because if $A(\mathbf{x}) + B(\mathbf{x}) = A(\mathbf{y}) + B(\mathbf{y})$, even though $A(\mathbf{x}) \neq A(\mathbf{y})$ and $B(\mathbf{x}) \neq B(\mathbf{y})$, the ratio above is still constant.

Therefore, $\mathbf{T}_1(\mathbf{X}) = (A(\mathbf{X}), B(\mathbf{X})) = (X_1 + X_2, X_3)$ is not a minimal sufficient statistic for p by Theorem 6.2.13.

Partition of sample space

X_1	X_2	X_3	$\mathbf{T}_1(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1 + X_2, X_3)$	$T_2(\mathbf{X}) = X_1 + X_2 + X_3$
0	0	0	(0,0)	0
0	0	1	(0,1)	1
0	1	0	(1,0)	
1	0	0	(1,1)	2
0	1	1	(1,1)	
1	0	1	(2,0)	
1	1	0	(2,0)	3
1	1	1	(2,1)	

Background knowledges for proving if and only if

Assume that $a, b, c, d, a_1, \dots, a_n$ are constants.

- ① $a\theta^2 + b\theta + c = 0$ for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$
 $\Leftrightarrow a = b = c = 0.$
- ② $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \theta^i = c$ for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$
 $\Leftrightarrow a_1 = \dots = a_k = 0.$
- ③ $a\theta_1 + b\theta_2 + c = 0$ for all $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$
 $\Leftrightarrow a = b = c = 0.$

Background knowledges for proving if and only if

- ④ The following equation is constant

$$\frac{1 + a_1\theta + a_2\theta^2 + \dots + a_k\theta_k^k}{1 + b_1\theta + b_2\theta^2 + \dots + b_k\theta_k^k}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a_1 = b_1, \dots, a_k = b_k.$$

Note that this does not hold without the constant 1, for example,

$$\frac{\theta + 2\theta^2}{2\theta + 4\theta^2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

- ⑤ $\frac{I(a < \theta < b)}{I(c < \theta < d)}$ is constant a function of θ . $\Leftrightarrow a = c$, and $b = d$.
- ⑥ θ^t is constant function of θ . $\Leftrightarrow t = 0$.

Uniform Minimal Sufficient Statistic

Example 6.2.15

- $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Uniform}(\theta, \theta + 1)$, where $-\infty < \theta < \infty$.
- Find a minimal sufficient statistic for θ .

Joint pdf of \mathbf{X}

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n I(\theta < x_i < \theta + 1)$$

Uniform Minimal Sufficient Statistic

Examine $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)/f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\theta)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\theta)} &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n I(\theta < x_i < \theta + 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^n I(\theta < y_i < \theta + 1)} \\ &= \frac{I(\theta < x_1 < \theta + 1, \dots, \theta < x_n < \theta + 1)}{I(\theta < y_1 < \theta + 1, \dots, \theta < y_n < \theta + 1)} \\ &= \frac{I(\theta < x_{(1)} \wedge x_{(n)} < \theta + 1)}{I(\theta < y_{(1)} \wedge y_{(n)} < \theta + 1)} \\ &= \frac{I(x_{(n)} - 1 < \theta < x_{(1)})}{I(y_{(n)} - 1 < \theta < y_{(1)})} \end{aligned}$$

The ratio above is constant if and only if $x_{(1)} = y_{(1)}$ and $x_{(n)} = y_{(n)}$.
Therefore, $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X}) = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ is a minimal sufficient statistic for θ .

Are Minimal Sufficient Statistics Unique?

- A short answer is "No"
- For example, $(\bar{X}, s_{\mathbf{X}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 / (n-1))$ is also a minimal sufficient statistic for (μ, σ^2) in normal distribution.
- Important Facts
 - ① If $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a minimal sufficient statistic for θ , then its one-to-one function is also a minimal sufficient statistic for θ .
 - ② There is always a one-to-one function between any two minimal sufficient statistics. In other words, the partition created by a minimal sufficient statistic is unique

Normal Minimal Sufficient Statistics (Example 6.2.14)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\mu, \sigma^2)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\mu, \sigma^2)} &= \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) / \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \\ &= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^2 - 2\mu x_i + \mu^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i^2 - 2\mu y_i + \mu^2)\right)\right] \\ &= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2\right) + \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

The ratio above will not depend on (μ, σ^2) if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X}) = (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2)$ is a minimal sufficient statistic for (μ, σ^2) by Theorem 6.2.13

Proving the important facts

Theorem for Fact 1

If $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a minimal sufficient statistic for θ , then its one-to-one function is also a minimal sufficient statistic for θ .

Strategies for Proof

- Let $T^*(\mathbf{X}) = q(T(\mathbf{X}))$ and q is a one-to-one function. Then there exist a q^{-1} such that $T(\mathbf{X}) = q^{-1}(T^*(\mathbf{X}))$
- First is to prove that $T^*(\mathbf{x})$ is a sufficient statistic.
- Next, prove that $T^*(\mathbf{x})$ is also a minimal sufficient statistic.

Proof : $T^*(\mathbf{x})$ is a sufficient statistic

Because $T(\mathbf{X})$ is sufficient, by the Factorization Theorem, there exists h and g such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta) &= g(T(\mathbf{x}|\theta))h(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= g(q^{-1}(T^*(\mathbf{x}|\theta)))h(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= (g \circ q^{-1})(T^*(\mathbf{x}|\theta))h(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Factorization Theorem, T^* is also a sufficient statistic.

Proving the important facts

Theorem for Fact 2

There is always a one-to-one function between any two minimal sufficient statistics. (In other words, the partition created by minimal sufficient statistics is unique)

Examples

For normal statistics, let $T_1(\mathbf{X}) = (\sum X_i, \sum X_i^2)$ and $T_2(\mathbf{X}) = (\bar{X}, \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 / (n-1))$. Then, there exists one-to-one functions such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum X_i &= g_1(\bar{X}, \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 / (n-1)) \\ \sum X_i^2 &= g_2(\bar{X}, \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 / (n-1)) \\ \bar{X} &= h_1(\sum X_i, \sum X_i^2) \\ \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 (n-1) &= h_2(\sum X_i, \sum X_i^2) \end{aligned}$$

Proof : $T^*(\mathbf{x})$ is a minimal sufficient statistic

Because $T(\mathbf{X})$ is minimal sufficient, by definition, for any sufficient statistic $S(\mathbf{X})$, there exist a function w such that $T(\mathbf{X}) = w(S(\mathbf{X}))$.

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(\mathbf{x}) &= q(T(\mathbf{X})) \\ &= q(w(S(\mathbf{X}))) \\ &= (q \circ w)(S(\mathbf{X})) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $T^*(\mathbf{X})$ is also a function of $S(\mathbf{X})$ always, and by definition, T^* is also a minimal sufficient statistic.

Proof

Assume that both $T(\mathbf{X})$ and $T^*(\mathbf{X})$ are minimal sufficient. Then by the definition of minimal sufficient statistics, there exist $q(\cdot)$ and $r(\cdot)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} T(\mathbf{X}) &= q(T^*(\mathbf{X})) \\ T^*(\mathbf{X}) &= r(T(\mathbf{X})) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $q = r^{-1}$ holds and they are one-to-one functions.

Summary

Today

- Recap of Factorization Theorem
- Minimal Sufficient Statistics
 - Theorem 6.2.13
 - Two sufficient statistics from binomial distribution
 - Uniform Distribution
 - Normal Distribution
 - Minimal Sufficient Statistics are not unique

Next Lecture

- Ancillary Statistics