# Biostatistics 602 - Statistical Inference Lecture 23 Interval Estimation

Hyun Min Kang

April 11th, 2013

• What is p-value?

- What is p-value?
- What is the advantage of p-value compared to hypothesis testing procedure with size  $\alpha$ ?

- What is p-value?
- What is the advantage of p-value compared to hypothesis testing procedure with size  $\alpha$ ?
- How can one construct a valid p-value?

- What is p-value?
- What is the advantage of p-value compared to hypothesis testing procedure with size  $\alpha$ ?
- How can one construct a valid p-value?
- What is Fisher's exact p-value?

- What is p-value?
- What is the advantage of p-value compared to hypothesis testing procedure with size  $\alpha$ ?
- How can one construct a valid p-value?
- What is Fisher's exact p-value?
- Is Fisher's exact p-value uniformly distributed under null hypothesis?

## Conclusions from Hypothesis Testing

• Reject  $H_0$  or accept  $H_0$ .

## Conclusions from Hypothesis Testing

- Reject  $H_0$  or accept  $H_0$ .
- If size of the test is  $(\alpha)$  small, the decision to reject  $H_0$  is convincing.

## Conclusions from Hypothesis Testing

- Reject  $H_0$  or accept  $H_0$ .
- If size of the test is  $(\alpha)$  small, the decision to reject  $H_0$  is convincing.
- If  $\alpha$  is large, the decision may not be very convincing.

## Conclusions from Hypothesis Testing

- Reject  $H_0$  or accept  $H_0$ .
- If size of the test is  $(\alpha)$  small, the decision to reject  $H_0$  is convincing.
- If  $\alpha$  is large, the decision may not be very convincing.

## Definition: p-Value

A *p-value*  $p(\mathbf{X})$  is a test statistic satisfying  $0 \le p(\mathbf{x}) \le 1$  for every sample point  $\mathbf{x}$ . Small values of  $p(\mathbf{X})$  given evidence that  $H_1$  is true. A *p-value* is valid if, for every  $\theta \in \Omega_0$  and every  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ ,

## Conclusions from Hypothesis Testing

- Reject  $H_0$  or accept  $H_0$ .
- If size of the test is  $(\alpha)$  small, the decision to reject  $H_0$  is convincing.
- If  $\alpha$  is large, the decision may not be very convincing.

## Definition: p-Value

A *p-value*  $p(\mathbf{X})$  is a test statistic satisfying  $0 \le p(\mathbf{x}) \le 1$  for every sample point  $\mathbf{x}$ . Small values of  $p(\mathbf{X})$  given evidence that  $H_1$  is true. A *p-value* is valid if, for every  $\theta \in \Omega_0$  and every  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ ,

$$\Pr(p(\mathbf{X}) \le \alpha | \theta) \le \alpha$$



# Constructing a valid p-value

#### Theorem 8.3.27.

Let  $W(\mathbf{X})$  be a test statistic such that large values of W give evidence that  $H_1$  is true. For each sample point  $\mathbf{x}$ , define

# Constructing a valid p-value

#### Theorem 8.3.27.

Let  $W(\mathbf{X})$  be a test statistic such that large values of W give evidence that  $H_1$  is true. For each sample point  $\mathbf{x}$ , define

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \Pr(W(\mathbf{X}) \ge W(\mathbf{x}) | \theta)$$

# Constructing a valid p-value

#### Theorem 8.3.27.

Let  $W(\mathbf{X})$  be a test statistic such that large values of W give evidence that  $H_1$  is true. For each sample point  $\mathbf{x}$ , define

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \Pr(\mathit{W}(\mathbf{X}) \geq \mathit{W}(\mathbf{x}) | \theta)$$

Then  $p(\mathbf{X})$  is a valid p-value.

Suppose  $S(\mathbf{X})$  is a sufficient statistic for the model  $\{f(\mathbf{x}|\theta): \theta \in \Omega_0\}$ . (not necessarily including alternative hypothesis).

Suppose  $S(\mathbf{X})$  is a sufficient statistic for the model  $\{f(\mathbf{x}|\theta):\theta\in\Omega_0\}$ . (not necessarily including alternative hypothesis). If the null hypothesis is true, the conditional distribution of  $\mathbf{X}$  given S=s does not depend on  $\theta$ .

Suppose  $S(\mathbf{X})$  is a sufficient statistic for the model  $\{f(\mathbf{x}|\theta):\theta\in\Omega_0\}$ . (not necessarily including alternative hypothesis). If the null hypothesis is true, the conditional distribution of  $\mathbf{X}$  given S=s does not depend on  $\theta$ . Again, let  $W(\mathbf{X})$  denote a test statistic where large value give evidence that  $H_1$  is true. Define

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \Pr(W(\mathbf{X}) \ge W(\mathbf{x}) | S = S(\mathbf{x}))$$

Suppose  $S(\mathbf{X})$  is a sufficient statistic for the model  $\{f(\mathbf{x}|\theta):\theta\in\Omega_0\}$ . (not necessarily including alternative hypothesis). If the null hypothesis is true, the conditional distribution of  $\mathbf{X}$  given S=s does not depend on  $\theta$ . Again, let  $W(\mathbf{X})$  denote a test statistic where large value give evidence that  $H_1$  is true. Define

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \Pr(W(\mathbf{X}) \ge W(\mathbf{x}) | S = S(\mathbf{x}))$$

If we consider only the conditional distribution, by Theorem 8.3.27, this is a valid p-value, meaning that

$$\Pr(p(\mathbf{X}) \le \alpha | S = s) \le \alpha$$

#### Problem

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be independent observations with  $X_1 \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(n_1, p_1)$ , and  $X_2 \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(n_2, p_2)$ . Consider testing  $H_0: p_1 = p_2$  versus  $H_1: p_1 > p_2$ . Find a valid p-value function.

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be independent observations with  $X_1 \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(n_1, p_1)$ , and  $X_2 \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(n_2, p_2)$ . Consider testing  $H_0: p_1 = p_2$  versus  $H_1: p_1 > p_2$ . Find a valid p-value function.

#### Solution

Under  $H_0$ , if we let p denote the common value of  $p_1=p_2$ . Then the join pmf of  $(X_1,X_2)$  is

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be independent observations with  $X_1 \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(n_1, p_1)$ , and  $X_2 \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(n_2, p_2)$ . Consider testing  $H_0: p_1 = p_2$  versus  $H_1: p_1 > p_2$ . Find a valid p-value function.

#### Solution

Under  $H_0$ , if we let p denote the common value of  $p_1=p_2$ . Then the join pmf of  $(X_1,X_2)$  is

$$f(x_1, x_2|p) = \binom{n_1}{x_1} p^{x_1} (1-p)^{n_1-x_1} \binom{n_2}{x_2} p^{x_2} (1-p)^{n_2-x_2}$$

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be independent observations with  $X_1 \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(n_1, p_1)$ , and  $X_2 \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(n_2, p_2)$ . Consider testing  $H_0: p_1 = p_2$  versus  $H_1: p_1 > p_2$ . Find a valid p-value function.

#### Solution

Under  $H_0$ , if we let p denote the common value of  $p_1=p_2$ . Then the join pmf of  $(X_1,X_2)$  is

$$f(x_1, x_2|p) = \binom{n_1}{x_1} p^{x_1} (1-p)^{n_1-x_1} \binom{n_2}{x_2} p^{x_2} (1-p)^{n_2-x_2}$$
$$= \binom{n_1}{x_1} \binom{n_2}{x_2} p^{x_1+x_2} (1-p)^{n_1+n_2-x_1-x_2}$$

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be independent observations with  $X_1 \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(n_1, p_1)$ , and  $X_2 \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(n_2, p_2)$ . Consider testing  $H_0: p_1 = p_2$  versus  $H_1: p_1 > p_2$ . Find a valid p-value function.

#### Solution

Under  $H_0$ , if we let p denote the common value of  $p_1=p_2$ . Then the join pmf of  $(X_1,X_2)$  is

$$f(x_1, x_2|p) = \binom{n_1}{x_1} p^{x_1} (1-p)^{n_1-x_1} \binom{n_2}{x_2} p^{x_2} (1-p)^{n_2-x_2}$$
$$= \binom{n_1}{x_1} \binom{n_2}{x_2} p^{x_1+x_2} (1-p)^{n_1+n_2-x_1-x_2}$$

Therefore  $S = X_1 + X_2$  is a sufficient statistic under  $H_0$ .

# Solution - Fisher's Exact Test (cont'd)

Given the value of S=s, it is reasonable to use  $X_1$  as a test statistic and reject  $H_0$  in favor of  $H_1$  for large values of  $X_1$ , because large values of  $X_1$  correspond to small values of  $X_2=s-X_1$ .

# Solution - Fisher's Exact Test (cont'd)

Given the value of S=s, it is reasonable to use  $X_1$  as a test statistic and reject  $H_0$  in favor of  $H_1$  for large values of  $X_1$ , because large values of  $X_1$  correspond to small values of  $X_2=s-X_1$ . The conditional distribution of  $X_1$  given S=s is a hypergeometric distribution.

$$f(X_1 = x_1|s) = \frac{\binom{n_1}{x_1}\binom{n_2}{s-x_1}}{\binom{n_1+n_2}{s}}$$

# Solution - Fisher's Exact Test (cont'd)

Given the value of S=s, it is reasonable to use  $X_1$  as a test statistic and reject  $H_0$  in favor of  $H_1$  for large values of  $X_1$ ,because large values of  $X_1$  correspond to small values of  $X_2=s-X_1$ . The conditional distribution of  $X_1$  given S=s is a hypergeometric distribution.

$$f(X_1 = x_1|s) = \frac{\binom{n_1}{x_1}\binom{n_2}{s-x_1}}{\binom{n_1+n_2}{s}}$$

Thus, the p-value conditional on the sufficient statistic  $s=x_1+x_2$  is

$$p(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{j=x_1}^{\min(n_1, s)} f(j|s)$$



 $\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X})$  is usually represented as a point estimator

 $\hat{ heta}(\mathbf{X})$  is usually represented as a point estimator

#### Interval Estimator

Let  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$ , where  $L(\mathbf{X})$  and  $U(\mathbf{X})$  are functions of sample  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $L(\mathbf{X}) \leq U(\mathbf{X})$ . Based on the observed sample  $\mathbf{x}$ , we can make an inference that

 $\hat{ heta}(\mathbf{X})$  is usually represented as a point estimator

#### Interval Estimator

Let  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$ , where  $L(\mathbf{X})$  and  $U(\mathbf{X})$  are functions of sample  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $L(\mathbf{X}) \leq U(\mathbf{X})$ . Based on the observed sample  $\mathbf{x}$ , we can make an inference that

$$\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), \, U\!(\mathbf{X})]$$

 $\hat{ heta}(\mathbf{X})$  is usually represented as a point estimator

#### Interval Estimator

Let  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$ , where  $L(\mathbf{X})$  and  $U(\mathbf{X})$  are functions of sample  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $L(\mathbf{X}) \leq U(\mathbf{X})$ . Based on the observed sample  $\mathbf{x}$ , we can make an inference that

$$\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$$

Then we call  $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$  an interval estimator of  $\theta$ .

 $\hat{ heta}(\mathbf{X})$  is usually represented as a point estimator

#### Interval Estimator

Let  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$ , where  $L(\mathbf{X})$  and  $U(\mathbf{X})$  are functions of sample  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $L(\mathbf{X}) \leq U(\mathbf{X})$ . Based on the observed sample  $\mathbf{x}$ , we can make an inference that

$$\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$$

Then we call  $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$  an interval estimator of  $\theta$ .

Three types of intervals

 $\hat{ heta}(\mathbf{X})$  is usually represented as a point estimator

#### Interval Estimator

Let  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$ , where  $L(\mathbf{X})$  and  $U(\mathbf{X})$  are functions of sample  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $L(\mathbf{X}) \leq U(\mathbf{X})$ . Based on the observed sample  $\mathbf{x}$ , we can make an inference that

$$\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}),\, U(\mathbf{X})]$$

Then we call  $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$  an interval estimator of  $\theta$ .

Three types of intervals

• Two-sided interval  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$ 

 $\hat{ heta}(\mathbf{X})$  is usually represented as a point estimator

#### Interval Estimator

Let  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$ , where  $L(\mathbf{X})$  and  $U(\mathbf{X})$  are functions of sample  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $L(\mathbf{X}) \leq U(\mathbf{X})$ . Based on the observed sample  $\mathbf{x}$ , we can make an inference that

$$\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$$

Then we call  $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$  an interval estimator of  $\theta$ .

Three types of intervals

- Two-sided interval  $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$
- One-sided (with lower-bound) interval  $[L(\mathbf{X}), \infty)$

 $\hat{ heta}(\mathbf{X})$  is usually represented as a point estimator

#### Interval Estimator

Let  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$ , where  $L(\mathbf{X})$  and  $U(\mathbf{X})$  are functions of sample  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $L(\mathbf{X}) \leq U(\mathbf{X})$ . Based on the observed sample  $\mathbf{x}$ , we can make an inference that

$$\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$$

Then we call  $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$  an interval estimator of  $\theta$ .

Three types of intervals

- Two-sided interval  $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$
- One-sided (with lower-bound) interval  $[L(\mathbf{X}), \infty)$
- One-sided (with upper-bound) interval  $(-\infty, U(\mathbf{X})]$



# Example

Let  $X_i \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\smile} \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$ . Define

Let  $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\smile} \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$ . Define 1. A point estimator of  $\mu : \overline{X}$ 

$$\Pr(\overline{X} = \mu) = 0$$

Let  $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$ . Define

1. A point estimator of  $\mu$  :  $\overline{X}$ 

$$\Pr(\overline{X} = \mu) = 0$$

2. An interval estimator of  $\mu$  :  $[\overline{X}-1,\overline{X}+1]$ 

Let  $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$ . Define

1. A point estimator of  $\mu$  :  $\overline{X}$ 

$$\Pr(\overline{X} = \mu) = 0$$

2. An interval estimator of  $\mu$  :  $[\overline{X}-1,\overline{X}+1]$ 

$$\Pr(\mu \in [\overline{X} - 1, \overline{X} + 1]) = \Pr(\overline{X} - 1 \le \mu \le \overline{X} + 1)$$

Let  $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$ . Define

1. A point estimator of  $\mu$  :  $\overline{X}$ 

$$\Pr(\overline{X} = \mu) = 0$$

2. An interval estimator of  $\mu$  :  $[\overline{X}-1,\overline{X}+1]$ 

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \Pr(\mu \in [\overline{X}-1,\overline{X}+1]) & = & \Pr(\overline{X}-1 \leq \mu \leq \overline{X}+1) \\ & = & \Pr(\mu-1 \leq \overline{X} \leq \mu+1) \end{array}$$

9/1

Let  $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$ . Define

1. A point estimator of  $\mu$  :  $\overline{X}$ 

$$\Pr(\overline{X} = \mu) = 0$$

2. An interval estimator of  $\mu$  :  $[\overline{X}-1,\overline{X}+1]$ 

$$\begin{split} \Pr(\mu \in [\overline{X}-1, \overline{X}+1]) &= \Pr(\overline{X}-1 \leq \mu \leq \overline{X}+1) \\ &= \Pr(\mu-1 \leq \overline{X} \leq \mu+1) \\ &= \Pr(-\sqrt{n} \leq \sqrt{n}(\overline{X}-\mu) \leq \sqrt{n}) \end{split}$$

9/1

Let  $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$ . Define

1. A point estimator of  $\mu$  :  $\overline{X}$ 

$$\Pr(\overline{X} = \mu) = 0$$

2. An interval estimator of  $\mu$  :  $[\overline{X}-1,\overline{X}+1]$ 

$$\begin{split} \Pr(\mu \in [\overline{X} - 1, \overline{X} + 1]) &= \Pr(\overline{X} - 1 \le \mu \le \overline{X} + 1) \\ &= \Pr(\mu - 1 \le \overline{X} \le \mu + 1) \\ &= \Pr(-\sqrt{n} \le \sqrt{n}(\overline{X} - \mu) \le \sqrt{n}) \\ &= \Pr(-\sqrt{n} \le Z \le \sqrt{n}) \xrightarrow{P} 1 \end{split}$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , where  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .



## Definition: Coverage Probability

Given an interval estimator  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$  of  $\theta$ , its coverage probability is defined as

## Definition: Coverage Probability

Given an interval estimator  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$  of  $\theta$ , its coverage probability is defined as

$$\Pr(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})])$$

## Definition: Coverage Probability

Given an interval estimator  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$  of  $\theta$ , its coverage probability is defined as

$$\Pr(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})])$$

In other words, the probability of a random variable in interval  $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$  covers the parameter  $\theta$ .

## Definition: Coverage Probability

Given an interval estimator  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$  of  $\theta$ , its coverage probability is defined as

$$\Pr(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), \mathit{U}(\mathbf{X})])$$

In other words, the probability of a random variable in interval  $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$  covers the parameter  $\theta$ .

#### Definition: Confidence Coefficient

Confidence coefficient is defined as

### Definition: Coverage Probability

Given an interval estimator  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$  of  $\theta$ , its coverage probability is defined as

$$\Pr(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), \mathit{U}(\mathbf{X})])$$

In other words, the probability of a random variable in interval  $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$  covers the parameter  $\theta$ .

#### Definition: Confidence Coefficient

Confidence coefficient is defined as

$$\inf_{\theta \in \Omega} \Pr(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), \, \mathit{U}(\mathbf{X})])$$

#### Definition: Confidence Interval

Given an interval estimator  $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$  of  $\theta$ , if its confidence coefficient is  $1-\alpha$ , we call it a  $(1-\alpha)$  confidence interval

#### Definition: Confidence Interval

Given an interval estimator  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$  of  $\theta$ , if its confidence coefficient is  $1-\alpha$ , we call it a  $(1-\alpha)$  confidence interval

### Definition: Expected Length

Given an interval estimator  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$  of  $\theta$ , its *expected length* is defined as

#### Definition: Confidence Interval

Given an interval estimator  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$  of  $\theta$ , if its confidence coefficient is  $1-\alpha$ , we call it a  $(1-\alpha)$  confidence interval

### Definition: Expected Length

Given an interval estimator  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$  of  $\theta$ , its *expected length* is defined as

$$E[U(\mathbf{X}) - L(\mathbf{X})]$$

#### Definition: Confidence Interval

Given an interval estimator  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$  of  $\theta$ , if its confidence coefficient is  $1-\alpha$ , we call it a  $(1-\alpha)$  confidence interval

### Definition: Expected Length

Given an interval estimator  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$  of  $\theta$ , its expected length is defined as

$$E[U(\mathbf{X}) - L(\mathbf{X})]$$

where **X** are random samples from  $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$ . In other words, it is the average length of the interval estimator.

### How to construct confidence interval?

A confidence interval can be obtained by inverting the acceptance region of a test.

### How to construct confidence interval?

A confidence interval can be obtained by inverting the acceptance region of a test.

There is a one-to-one correspondence between tests and confidence intervals (or confidence sets).

 $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\smile} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2$  is known. Consider  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ . As previously shown, level  $\alpha$  LRT test reject  $H_0$  if and only if

 $X_i \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\smile} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2$  is known. Consider  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ . As previously shown, level  $\alpha$  LRT test reject  $H_0$  if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\overline{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \right| > z_{\alpha/2}$$

 $X_i \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\smile} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2$  is known. Consider  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ . As previously shown, level  $\alpha$  LRT test reject  $H_0$  if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\overline{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \right| > z_{\alpha/2}$$

Equivalently, we accept  $H_0$  if  $\left| \frac{\overline{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \right| \leq z_{\alpha/2}$ .



 $X_i \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\smile} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2$  is known. Consider  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ . As previously shown, level  $\alpha$  LRT test reject  $H_0$  if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\overline{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \right| > z_{\alpha/2}$$

Equivalently, we accept  $H_0$  if  $\left| \frac{\overline{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \right| \leq z_{\alpha/2}$ .

Accepting  $H_0: \theta=\theta_0$  because we believe our data "agrees with" the hypothesis  $\theta=\theta_0$ .

 $X_i \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\smile} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2$  is known. Consider  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ . As previously shown, level  $\alpha$  LRT test reject  $H_0$  if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\overline{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \right| > z_{\alpha/2}$$

Equivalently, we accept  $H_0$  if  $\left| \frac{\overline{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \right| \leq z_{\alpha/2}$ .

Accepting  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  because we believe our data "agrees with" the hypothesis  $\theta = \theta_0$ .

$$-z_{\alpha/2} \le \frac{\overline{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \le z_{\alpha/2}$$

 $X_i \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\smile} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2$  is known. Consider  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ . As previously shown, level  $\alpha$  LRT test reject  $H_0$  if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\overline{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \right| > z_{\alpha/2}$$

Equivalently, we accept  $H_0$  if  $\left| \frac{\overline{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \right| \leq z_{\alpha/2}$ .

Accepting  $H_0: \theta=\theta_0$  because we believe our data "agrees with" the hypothesis  $\theta=\theta_0$ .

$$-z_{\alpha/2} \leq \frac{\overline{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq z_{\alpha/2}$$

$$\theta_0 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \leq \overline{X} \leq \theta_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}$$

 $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\smile} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2$  is known. Consider  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ . As previously shown, level  $\alpha$  LRT test reject  $H_0$  if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\overline{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \right| > z_{\alpha/2}$$

Equivalently, we accept  $H_0$  if  $\left| \frac{\overline{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \right| \leq z_{\alpha/2}$ .

Accepting  $H_0: \theta=\theta_0$  because we believe our data "agrees with" the hypothesis  $\theta=\theta_0$ .

$$-z_{\alpha/2} \le \frac{\overline{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \le z_{\alpha/2}$$

$$\theta_0 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \le \overline{X} \le \theta_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}$$

Acceptance region is  $\left\{\mathbf{x}: \theta_0 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}z_{\alpha/2} \leq \overline{x} \leq \theta_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}z_{\alpha/2}\right\}$ 

As this is size  $\alpha$  test, the probability of accepting  $H_0$  is  $1 - \alpha$ .

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr\left(\theta_0 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \le \overline{X} \le \theta_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}\right)$$

As this is size  $\alpha$  test, the probability of accepting  $H_0$  is  $1 - \alpha$ .

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr\left(\theta_0 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \le \overline{X} \le \theta_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}\right)$$
$$= \Pr\left(\overline{X} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \le \theta_0 \le \overline{X} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}\right)$$

As this is size  $\alpha$  test, the probability of accepting  $H_0$  is  $1-\alpha$ .

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr\left(\theta_0 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \le \overline{X} \le \theta_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}\right)$$
$$= \Pr\left(\overline{X} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \le \theta_0 \le \overline{X} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}\right)$$

Since  $\theta_0$  is arbitrary,

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr\left(\overline{X} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \le \theta \le \overline{X} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}\right)$$

As this is size  $\alpha$  test, the probability of accepting  $H_0$  is  $1-\alpha$ .

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr\left(\theta_0 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \le \overline{X} \le \theta_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}\right)$$
$$= \Pr\left(\overline{X} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \le \theta_0 \le \overline{X} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}\right)$$

Since  $\theta_0$  is arbitrary,

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr\left(\overline{X} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \le \theta \le \overline{X} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}\right)$$

Therefore,  $[X - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}z_{\alpha/2}, X + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}z_{\alpha/2}]$  is  $(1 - \alpha)$  confidence interval (CI).



### Confidence intervals and level $\alpha$ test

#### Theorem 9.2.2

① For each  $\theta_0 \in \Omega$ , let  $A(\theta_0)$  be the acceptance region of a level  $\alpha$  test of  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$  Define a set  $C(\mathbf{X}) = \{\theta: \mathbf{x} \in A(\theta)\}$ , then the random set  $C(\mathbf{X})$  is a  $1 - \alpha$  confidence set.

### Confidence intervals and level $\alpha$ test

#### Theorem 9.2.2

- **1** For each  $\theta_0 \in \Omega$ , let  $A(\theta_0)$  be the acceptance region of a level  $\alpha$  test of  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$  Define a set  $C(\mathbf{X}) = \{\theta: \mathbf{x} \in A(\theta)\}$ , then the random set  $C(\mathbf{X})$  is a  $1 \alpha$  confidence set.
- **2** Conversely, if  $C(\mathbf{X})$  is a  $(1-\alpha)$  confidence set for  $\theta$ , for any  $\theta_0$ , define the acceptance region of a test for the hypothesis  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  by  $A(\theta_0) = \{\mathbf{x}: \theta_0 \in C(\mathbf{x})\}$ . Then the test has level  $\alpha$ .

15 / 1

For  $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\smile} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$ , the acceptance region  $A(\theta_0)$  is a subset of the sample space

$$A(\theta_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \theta_0 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \le \overline{X} \le \theta_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

For  $X_i \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$ , the acceptance region  $A(\theta_0)$  is a subset of the sample space

$$A(\theta_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \theta_0 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \le \overline{X} \le \theta_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

The confidence set  $C(\mathbf{X})$  is a subset of the parameter space

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = \left\{ \theta : \theta - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \le \overline{X} \le \theta + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

16 / 1

For  $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$ , the acceptance region  $A(\theta_0)$  is a subset of the sample space

$$A(\theta_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \theta_0 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \le \overline{X} \le \theta_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

The confidence set  $C(\mathbf{X})$  is a subset of the parameter space

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = \left\{ \theta : \theta - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \le \overline{X} \le \theta + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \theta : \overline{X} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \le \theta \le \overline{X} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

### Confidence set and confidence interval

There is no guarantee that the confidence set obtained from Theorem 9.2.2 is an interval, but quite often

### Confidence set and confidence interval

There is no guarantee that the confidence set obtained from Theorem 9.2.2 is an interval, but quite often

**1** To obtain  $(1-\alpha)$  two-sided CI  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$ , we invert the acceptance region of a level  $\alpha$  test for  $H_0:\theta=\theta_0$  vs.  $H_1:\theta\neq\theta_0$ 

### Confidence set and confidence interval

There is no guarantee that the confidence set obtained from Theorem 9.2.2 is an interval, but quite often

- **1** To obtain  $(1-\alpha)$  two-sided CI  $[L(\mathbf{X}),\,U(\mathbf{X})]$ , we invert the acceptance region of a level  $\alpha$  test for  $H_0:\theta=\theta_0$  vs.  $H_1:\theta\neq\theta_0$
- 2 To obtain a lower-bounded CI  $[L(\mathbf{X}), \infty)$ , then we invert the acceptance region of a test for  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$ , where  $\Omega = \{\theta: \theta \geq \theta_0\}$ .

#### Confidence set and confidence interval

There is no guarantee that the confidence set obtained from Theorem 9.2.2 is an interval, but quite often

- **1** To obtain  $(1 \alpha)$  two-sided CI  $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$ , we invert the acceptance region of a level  $\alpha$  test for  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$
- ② To obtain a lower-bounded CI  $[L(\mathbf{X}), \infty)$ , then we invert the acceptance region of a test for  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$ , where  $\Omega = \{\theta: \theta \geq \theta_0\}$ .
- **3** To obtain a upper-bounded CI  $(-\infty, U(\mathbf{X})]$ , then we invert the acceptance region of a test for  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta < \theta_0$ , where  $\Omega = \{\theta: \theta \leq \theta_0\}$ .

# Example

#### Problem

 $X_i \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  where both parameters are unknown.

#### Example

#### **Problem**

 $X_i \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{ \sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  where both parameters are unknown.

- **1** Find  $1-\alpha$  two-sided CI for  $\mu$
- **2** Find  $1 \alpha$  upper bound for  $\mu$

 $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ . The LRT test rejects if and only if

 $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ . The LRT test rejects if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} \right| > t_{n-1,\alpha/2}$$

 $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ . The LRT test rejects if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} \right| > t_{n-1,\alpha/2}$$

The acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \left| \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{x}} / \sqrt{n}} \right| \le t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \right\}$$

 $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ . The LRT test rejects if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} \right| > t_{n-1,\alpha/2}$$

The acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \left| \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{x}} / \sqrt{n}} \right| \le t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \right\}$$

The confidence set is

$$C(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \mu : \left| \frac{\overline{x} - \mu}{s_{\mathbf{x}} / \sqrt{n}} \right| \le t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \right\}$$

 $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ . The LRT test rejects if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} \right| > t_{n-1,\alpha/2}$$

The acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \left| \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{x}} / \sqrt{n}} \right| \le t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \right\}$$

The confidence set is

$$C(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \mu : \left| \frac{\overline{x} - \mu}{s_{\mathbf{x}} / \sqrt{n}} \right| \le t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \mu : -t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \le \frac{\overline{x} - \mu}{s_{\mathbf{x}} / \sqrt{n}} \le t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \right\}$$

 $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ . The LRT test rejects if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} \right| > t_{n-1,\alpha/2}$$

The acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \left| \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{x}} / \sqrt{n}} \right| \le t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \right\}$$

The confidence set is

$$C(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \mu : \left| \frac{\overline{x} - \mu}{s_{\mathbf{x}} / \sqrt{n}} \right| \le t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \mu : -t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \le \frac{\overline{x} - \mu}{s_{\mathbf{x}} / \sqrt{n}} \le t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \mu : \overline{x} - \frac{s_{\mathbf{x}}}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \le \mu \le \overline{x} + \frac{s_{\mathbf{x}}}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \right\}$$

The CI is  $(-\infty, U(\mathbf{X})]$ . We need to invert a testing procedure for  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs  $H_1: \mu < \mu_0$ .

The CI is  $(-\infty, U(\mathbf{X})]$ . We need to invert a testing procedure for  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs  $H_1: \mu < \mu_0$ .

$$\Omega_0 = \{(\mu, \sigma^2) : \mu = \mu_0, \sigma^2 > 0\}$$

The CI is  $(-\infty, U(\mathbf{X})]$ . We need to invert a testing procedure for  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs  $H_1: \mu < \mu_0$ .

$$Ω_0 = {(μ, σ^2) : μ = μ_0, σ^2 > 0}$$

$$Ω = {(μ, σ^2) : μ ≤ μ_0, σ^2 > 0}$$

The CI is  $(-\infty, U(\mathbf{X})]$ . We need to invert a testing procedure for  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs  $H_1: \mu < \mu_0$ .

$$Ω_0 = {(μ, σ^2) : μ = μ_0, σ^2 > 0}$$

$$Ω = {(μ, σ^2) : μ ≤ μ_0, σ^2 > 0}$$

LRT statistic is

$$\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{L(\hat{\mu}_0, \hat{\sigma}_0^2 | \mathbf{x})}{L(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2 | \mathbf{x})}$$

The CI is  $(-\infty, U(\mathbf{X})]$ . We need to invert a testing procedure for  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs  $H_1: \mu < \mu_0$ .

$$Ω_0 = {(μ, σ^2) : μ = μ_0, σ^2 > 0}$$

$$Ω = {(μ, σ^2) : μ ≤ μ_0, σ^2 > 0}$$

LRT statistic is

$$\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{L(\hat{\mu}_0, \hat{\sigma}_0^2 | \mathbf{x})}{L(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2 | \mathbf{x})}$$

where  $(\hat{\mu}_0, \hat{\sigma}_0^2)$  is the MLE restricted to  $\Omega_0$ , and  $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$  is the MLE restricted to  $\Omega$ , and

The CI is  $(-\infty, U(\mathbf{X})]$ . We need to invert a testing procedure for  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs  $H_1: \mu < \mu_0$ .

$$Ω_0 = {(μ, σ^2) : μ = μ_0, σ^2 > 0}$$

$$Ω = {(μ, σ^2) : μ ≤ μ_0, σ^2 > 0}$$

LRT statistic is

$$\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{L(\hat{\mu}_0, \hat{\sigma}_0^2 | \mathbf{x})}{L(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2 | \mathbf{x})}$$

where  $(\hat{\mu}_0,\hat{\sigma}_0^2)$  is the MLE restricted to  $\Omega_0$ , and  $(\hat{\mu},\hat{\sigma}^2)$  is the MLE restricted to  $\Omega$ , and Within  $\Omega_0$ ,  $\hat{\mu}_0=\mu_0$ , and  $\hat{\sigma}_0^2=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n(X_i-\mu_0)^2}{n}$ 

20 / 1

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\mu} = \overline{X} & \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{n} & \text{if } \overline{X} \le \mu_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\mu} = \overline{X} & \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{n} & \text{if } \overline{X} \le \mu_0 \\ \hat{\mu} = \mu_0 & \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_0)^2}{n} & \text{if } \overline{X} > \mu_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\mu} = \overline{X} & \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{n} & \text{if } \overline{X} \le \mu_0 \\ \hat{\mu} = \mu_0 & \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_0)^2}{n} & \text{if } \overline{X} > \mu_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \overline{X} > \mu_0 \\ \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)^n \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma_0^2}\right\}}{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)^n \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{2\sigma_0^2}\right\}} & \text{if } \overline{X} \leq \mu_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \hat{\mu} = \overline{X} & \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{n} & \text{if } \overline{X} \leq \mu_0 \\ \hat{\mu} = \mu_0 & \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_0)^2}{n} & \text{if } \overline{X} > \mu_0 \end{array} \right.$$

$$\begin{split} \lambda(\mathbf{x}) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \overline{X} > \mu_0 \\ \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)^n \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_0)^2}{2\hat{\sigma}_0^2}\right\}}{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)^n \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{2\hat{\sigma}_0^2}\right\}} & \text{if } \overline{X} \leq \mu_0 \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \overline{X} > \mu_0 \\ \left(\frac{n-1}{n}s_{\mathbf{X}}^2 + (\overline{X} - \mu_0)^2\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } \overline{X} \leq \mu_0 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

$$\left(\frac{\frac{n-1}{n}s_{\mathbf{X}}^2}{\frac{n-1}{n}s_{\mathbf{X}}^2 + (\overline{X} - \mu_0)^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} < c$$

$$\left(\frac{\frac{n-1}{n}s_{\mathbf{X}}^{2}}{\frac{n-1}{n}s_{\mathbf{X}}^{2} + (\overline{X} - \mu_{0})^{2}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} < c$$

$$\left(\frac{\frac{n-1}{n}}{\frac{n-1}{n} + \frac{(\overline{X} - \mu_{0})^{2}}{s_{\mathbf{x}}^{2}}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} < c$$

$$\left(\frac{\frac{n-1}{n}s_{\mathbf{X}}^{2}}{\frac{n-1}{n}s_{\mathbf{X}}^{2} + (\overline{X} - \mu_{0})^{2}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} < c$$

$$\left(\frac{\frac{n-1}{n}}{\frac{n-1}{n} + \frac{(\overline{X} - \mu_{0})^{2}}{s_{\mathbf{X}}^{2}}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} < c$$

$$\frac{(\overline{X} - \mu_{0})^{2}}{s_{\mathbf{X}}^{2}} > c^{*}$$

$$\left(\frac{\frac{n-1}{n}s_{\mathbf{X}}^{2}}{\frac{n-1}{n}s_{\mathbf{X}}^{2} + (\overline{X} - \mu_{0})^{2}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} < c$$

$$\left(\frac{\frac{n-1}{n}}{\frac{n-1}{n} + \frac{(\overline{X} - \mu_{0})^{2}}{s_{\mathbf{X}}^{2}}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} < c$$

$$\frac{(\overline{X} - \mu_{0})^{2}}{s_{\mathbf{X}}^{2}} > c^{*}$$

$$\frac{\mu_{0} - \overline{X}}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} > c^{**}$$

$$\alpha = \Pr(\text{reject } H_0 | \mu_0)$$

$$\alpha = \Pr(\text{reject } H_0 | \mu_0)$$

$$= \Pr\left(\frac{\mu_0 - \overline{X}}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} > c^{**}\right)$$

$$\alpha = \Pr(\text{reject } H_0 | \mu_0)$$

$$= \Pr\left(\frac{\mu_0 - \overline{X}}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} > c^{**}\right)$$

$$= \Pr\left(\frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} < -c^{**}\right)$$

$$\alpha = \Pr(\text{reject } H_0 | \mu_0)$$

$$= \Pr\left(\frac{\mu_0 - \overline{X}}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} > c^{**}\right)$$

$$= \Pr\left(\frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} < -c^{**}\right)$$

$$= \Pr(T_{n-1} < -c^{**})$$

$$\alpha = \Pr(\text{reject } H_0 | \mu_0)$$

$$= \Pr\left(\frac{\mu_0 - \overline{X}}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} > c^{**}\right)$$

$$= \Pr\left(\frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} < -c^{**}\right)$$

$$= \Pr(T_{n-1} < -c^{**})$$

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr(T_{n-1} > -c^{**})$$

$$\alpha = \operatorname{Pr}(\operatorname{reject} H_0 | \mu_0)$$

$$= \operatorname{Pr}\left(\frac{\mu_0 - \overline{X}}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} > c^{**}\right)$$

$$= \operatorname{Pr}\left(\frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} < -c^{**}\right)$$

$$= \operatorname{Pr}(T_{n-1} < -c^{**})$$

$$1 - \alpha = \operatorname{Pr}(T_{n-1} > -c^{**})$$

$$c^{**} = -t_{n-1,1-\alpha} = t_{n-1,\alpha}$$

 $c^{**}$  is chosen to satisfy

$$\alpha = \operatorname{Pr}(\operatorname{reject} H_0 | \mu_0)$$

$$= \operatorname{Pr}\left(\frac{\mu_0 - \overline{X}}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} > c^{**}\right)$$

$$= \operatorname{Pr}\left(\frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} < -c^{**}\right)$$

$$= \operatorname{Pr}(T_{n-1} < -c^{**})$$

$$1 - \alpha = \operatorname{Pr}(T_{n-1} > -c^{**})$$

$$c^{**} = -t_{n-1,1-\alpha} = t_{n-1,\alpha}$$

Therefore, LRT level  $\alpha$  test reject  $H_0$  if

 $c^{**}$  is chosen to satisfy

$$\alpha = \operatorname{Pr}(\operatorname{reject} H_0|\mu_0)$$

$$= \operatorname{Pr}\left(\frac{\mu_0 - \overline{X}}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} > c^{**}\right)$$

$$= \operatorname{Pr}\left(\frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} < -c^{**}\right)$$

$$= \operatorname{Pr}(T_{n-1} < -c^{**})$$

$$1 - \alpha = \operatorname{Pr}(T_{n-1} > -c^{**})$$

$$c^{**} = -t_{n-1,1-\alpha} = t_{n-1,\alpha}$$

Therefore, LRT level  $\alpha$  test reject  $H_0$  if

$$\frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} \quad < \quad -t_{n-1,\alpha}$$



Acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} \ge -t_{n-1,\alpha} \right\}$$

Acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} \ge -t_{n-1,\alpha} \right\}$$

Inverting the above to get CI

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = \{\mu : \mathbf{X} \in A(\mu)\}$$

Acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} \ge -t_{n-1,\alpha} \right\}$$

Inverting the above to get CI

$$\begin{array}{lcl} C(\mathbf{X}) & = & \{\mu : \mathbf{X} \in A(\mu)\} \\ & = & \left\{\mu : \frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} \ge -t_{n-1,\alpha}\right\} \end{array}$$

# Example - upper bounded CI - Solution (cont'd)

Acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} \ge -t_{n-1,\alpha} \right\}$$

Inverting the above to get CI

$$\begin{split} C(\mathbf{X}) &= & \{\mu: \mathbf{X} \in A(\mu)\} \\ &= & \left\{\mu: \frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} \geq -t_{n-1,\alpha}\right\} \\ &= & \left\{\mu: \overline{X} - \mu \geq -\frac{s_{\mathbf{X}}}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1,\alpha}\right\} \end{split}$$

# Example - upper bounded CI - Solution (cont'd)

Acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} \ge -t_{n-1,\alpha} \right\}$$

Inverting the above to get CI

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = \{\mu : \mathbf{X} \in A(\mu)\}$$

$$= \left\{\mu : \frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} \ge -t_{n-1,\alpha}\right\}$$

$$= \left\{\mu : \overline{X} - \mu \ge -\frac{s_{\mathbf{X}}}{\sqrt{n}}t_{n-1,\alpha}\right\}$$

$$= \left\{\mu : \mu \le \overline{X} + \frac{s_{\mathbf{X}}}{\sqrt{n}}t_{n-1,\alpha}\right\}$$

# Example - upper bounded CI - Solution (cont'd)

Acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} \ge -t_{n-1,\alpha} \right\}$$

Inverting the above to get CI

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = \{\mu : \mathbf{X} \in A(\mu)\}$$

$$= \left\{\mu : \frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} \ge -t_{n-1,\alpha}\right\}$$

$$= \left\{\mu : \overline{X} - \mu \ge -\frac{s_{\mathbf{X}}}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1,\alpha}\right\}$$

$$= \left\{\mu : \mu \le \overline{X} + \frac{s_{\mathbf{X}}}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1,\alpha}\right\}$$

$$= \left(-\infty, \overline{X} + \frac{s_{\mathbf{X}}}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1,\alpha}\right]$$

LRT level  $\alpha$  test reject  $H_0$  if and only if

$$\frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} > t_{n-1,\alpha}$$

LRT level  $\alpha$  test reject  $H_0$  if and only if

$$\frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} > t_{n-1,\alpha}$$

Acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} \le t_{n-1,\alpha} \right\}$$

LRT level  $\alpha$  test reject  $H_0$  if and only if

$$\frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} > t_{n-1,\alpha}$$

Acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} \le t_{n-1,\alpha} \right\}$$

Confidence interval is

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = \{\mu : \mathbf{X} \in A(\mu)\} = \left\{\mu : \frac{\mathbf{X} - \mu}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} \le t_{n-1,\alpha}\right\}$$

LRT level  $\alpha$  test reject  $H_0$  if and only if

$$\frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} > t_{n-1,\alpha}$$

Acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} \le t_{n-1,\alpha} \right\}$$

Confidence interval is

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = \{\mu : \mathbf{X} \in A(\mu)\} = \left\{\mu : \frac{\mathbf{X} - \mu}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} \le t_{n-1,\alpha}\right\}$$
$$= \left\{\mu : \mu \ge \overline{X} - \frac{s_{\mathbf{X}}}{\sqrt{n}}t_{n-1,\alpha}\right\}$$

LRT level  $\alpha$  test reject  $H_0$  if and only if

$$\frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} > t_{n-1,\alpha}$$

Acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}} / \sqrt{n}} \le t_{n-1,\alpha} \right\}$$

Confidence interval is

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = \{\mu : \mathbf{X} \in A(\mu)\} = \left\{\mu : \frac{\mathbf{X} - \mu}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} \le t_{n-1,\alpha}\right\}$$
$$= \left\{\mu : \mu \ge \overline{X} - \frac{s_{\mathbf{X}}}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1,\alpha}\right\}$$
$$= \left[\overline{X} - \frac{s_{\mathbf{X}}}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1,\alpha}, \infty\right)$$

#### Example

#### **Problem**

 $X_1,\cdots,X_n$  are iid samples from a distribution with mean  $\mu$  and finite variance  $\sigma^2$ . Construct asymptotic  $(1-\alpha)$  two-sided interval for  $\mu$ 

# Example

#### **Problem**

 $X_1,\cdots,X_n$  are iid samples from a distribution with mean  $\mu$  and finite variance  $\sigma^2$ . Construct asymptotic  $(1-\alpha)$  two-sided interval for  $\mu$ 

#### Solution

Let  $\overline{X}$  be a method of moment estimator for  $\mu$ .

By law of large number,  $\overline{X}$  is consistent for  $\mu$ , and by central limit theorem,

$$\overline{X} \sim \mathcal{AN}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$$

Consider testing  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs.  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ . The Wald statistic

$$Z_n = \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{S_n}$$

for a consistent estimator of  $\sigma/\sqrt{n}$ .

Consider testing  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs.  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ . The Wald statistic

$$Z_n = \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{S_n}$$

for a consistent estimator of  $\sigma/\sqrt{n}$ . From previous lectures, we know that

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2 \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2$$

Consider testing  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs.  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ . The Wald statistic

$$Z_n = \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{S_n}$$

for a consistent estimator of  $\sigma/\sqrt{n}$ . From previous lectures, we know that

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2 \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{(n-1)n}} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Consider testing  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs.  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ . The Wald statistic

$$Z_n = \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{S_n}$$

for a consistent estimator of  $\sigma/\sqrt{n}$ . From previous lectures, we know that

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2 \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{(n-1)n}} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

The Wald level  $\alpha$  test

$$\left| \frac{(\overline{X} - \mu_0)\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{n-1}}} \right| > z_{\alpha/2}$$

The acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \left| \frac{(\overline{x} - \mu_0)\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}{n-1}}} \right| \le z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

The acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \left| \frac{(\overline{x} - \mu_0)\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}{n-1}}} \right| \le z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

$$(1-\alpha)$$
 CI is

$$C(\mathbf{x}) = \{\mu : \mathbf{x} \in A(\mu)\}$$

The acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \left| \frac{(\overline{x} - \mu_0)\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}{n-1}}} \right| \le z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

$$(1-\alpha)$$
 CI is

$$C(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \mu : \mathbf{x} \in A(\mu) \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \mu : \left| \frac{(\overline{x} - \mu)\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2}{n - 1}}} \right| \le z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

The acceptance region is

$$A(\mu_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \left| \frac{(\overline{x} - \mu_0)\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}{n-1}}} \right| \le z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

$$(1-\alpha)$$
 CI is

$$\begin{split} C(\mathbf{x}) &= \left\{ \mu : \mathbf{x} \in A(\mu) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \mu : \left| \frac{(\overline{x} - \mu)\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}{n-1}}} \right| \leq z_{\alpha/2} \right\} \\ &= \left[ \overline{x} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}{n-1}} z_{\alpha/2}, \ \overline{x} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}{n-1}} z_{\alpha/2} \right] \end{split}$$

28 / 1

# Summary

# Today

- Interval Estimation
- Confidence Interval

# Summary

#### Today

- Interval Estimation
- Confidence Interval

#### **Next Lectures**

- Reviews and Example Problems (every lecture)
- E-M algorithm
- Non-informative priors
- Bayesian Tests