Biostatistics 602 - Statistical Inference Lecture 15 Bayes Estimator- Can Cramer-Rao bound be used to find the best unbiased estimator for any distribution? If not, in which cases?Hyun Min Kang March 12th, 2013- Can Cramer-Rao bound be used to find the best unbiased estimator for any $\tau(\theta)$ ? If not, what is the vesticition on $\tau(\theta)$ ?Narch 12th, 2013- Can Cramer-Rao bound be used to find the best unbiased estimators for $\tau(\theta)$ , using complete sufficient statisticsNew Nur AveReceap - The power of complete sufficient statisticsFinding UMUVE - Method 1Eter To be a complete sufficient statistic for parameter $\theta$ . Let $\phi(T)$ be any estimator based on $T$ . Then $\phi(T)$ is the unique best unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ .If "regularity conditions" are as taisfied, then we have a Cramer-Rao bound for unbiased or $\tau(\theta)$ and the best unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ .It be a complete sufficient statistic for parameter $\theta$ . Let $\phi(T)$ be any estimator based on $T$ . Then $\phi(T)$ is the unique best unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ .If "regularity conditions" are not satisfied, $\frac{ \tau'(\theta) ^2}{L_0(\theta)}$ is no longer a valid lower bound.• When "regularity conditions" are not satisfied, $\frac{ \tau'(\theta) ^2}{L_0(\theta)}$ is no longer a valid lower bound.• There may be unbiased estimators of $\tau(\theta)$ that have variance smaller than $\frac{ \tau'(\theta) ^2}{L_0(\theta)}$ .			Last Lecture		
Hyun Min Kang March 12th, 2013 What is another way to find the best unbiased estimators for $\tau(\theta)$ , using complete sufficient statistics. March 12th, 2013 What is another way to find the best unbiased estimators for $\tau(\theta)$ , using complete sufficient statistics. March 12th, 2013 March 12th, 20	Biostatistics 602 - Statistical Inference Lecture 15 Bayes Estimator		<ul> <li>Can Cramer-Rao bound be used to find the best unbiased estimator for any distribution? If not, in which cases?</li> <li>When Cramer-Rao bound is attainable, can Cramer-Rao bound be used for find best unbiased estimator for any τ(θ)? If not, what is the restriction an τ(θ)?</li> </ul>		
Hyun Min KangBiestatistics 602 - Lecture 15March 12th, 20131 / 1Recap - The power of complete sufficient statisticsFinding UMUVE - Method 1Use Cramer-Rao bound to find the best unbiased estimator for $\tau(\theta)$ .I firegularity conditions" are satisfied, then we have a Cramer-Rao bound for unbiased estimators of $\tau(\theta)$ .I thelps to confirm an estimator is the best unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ .I thelps to confirm an estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ has variance greater than the CR-bound.I thelps to confirm an estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ has variance greater than the CR-bound.I the a complete sufficient statistic for parameter $\theta$ . Let $\phi(T)$ be any estimator based on $T$ . Then $\phi(T)$ is the unique best unbiased estimator of the cR-bound.I thelps to confirm an estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ has variance greater than the CR-bound.I the a complete sufficient statistic for parameter $\theta$ . Let $\phi(T)$ be any estimator based on $T$ . Then $\phi(T)$ is the unbiased estimator of the cR-bound.I the laps to confirm an estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ has variance greater than the CR-bound.I the optimized estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ has variance greater than the CR-bound.I the multiple conditions" are not satisfied, $\frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{I_n(\theta)^2}$ is no longer a valid lower bound.I there may be unbiased estimators of $\tau(\theta)$ that have variance smaller than $\frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{I_n(\theta)^2}$ .	Hyun Min Kang March 12th, 2013		<ul> <li>restriction on τ(θ)?</li> <li>What is another way to find the best unbiased estimator?</li> <li>Describe two strategies to obtain the best unbiased estimators for τ(θ), using complete sufficient statistics.</li> </ul>		
Recap - The power of complete sufficient statisticsFinding UMUVE - Method 1 <b>Theorem 7.3.23</b> Use Cramer-Rao bound to find the best unbiased estimator for $\tau(\theta)$ .Let T be a complete sufficient statistic for parameter $\theta$ . Let $\phi(T)$ be any estimator based on T. Then $\phi(T)$ is the unique best unbiased estimator of its expected value.It helps to confirm an estimator is the best unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ It helps to statian the CR-bound, it does NOT mean that it is not the best unbiased estimator.It when "regularity conditions" are not satisfied, $\frac{ \tau'(\theta) ^2}{L_n(\theta)}$ is no longer a valid lower bound.It helps to estimators of $\tau(\theta)$ that have variance smaller than $\frac{ \tau'(\theta) ^2}{L_n(\theta)^2}$ .	Hyun Min Kang Biostatistics 602 - Lecture 15 March 12th,	2013 1 / 1	Hyun Min Kang Biostatistics 602 - Lecture 15 March 12th, 2013 2 / 1		
<b>Theorem 7.3.23</b> Let <i>T</i> be a complete sufficient statistic for parameter $\theta$ . Let $\phi(T)$ be any estimator based on <i>T</i> . Then $\phi(T)$ is the unique best unbiased estimator of the let unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ . • It helps to confirm an estimator is the best unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ . • It helps to confirm an estimator is the best unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ . • It helps to confirm an estimator is the best unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ . • It helps to confirm an estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ has variance greater than the CR-bound, it does NOT mean that it is not the best unbiased estimator. • When "regularity conditions" are not satisfied, $\frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{I_n(\theta)}$ is no longer a valid lower bound. • There may be unbiased estimators of $\tau(\theta)$ that have variance smaller than $\frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{I_n(\theta)}$ .	Recap - The power of complete sufficient statistics		Finding UMUVE - Method 1		
	<b>Theorem 7.3.23</b> Let <i>T</i> be a complete sufficient statistic for parameter $\theta$ . Let $\phi(T)$ estimator based on <i>T</i> . Then $\phi(T)$ is the unique best unbiased estimits expected value.	be any mator of	<ul> <li>Use Cramer-Rao bound to find the best unbiased estimator for τ(θ).</li> <li>If "regularity conditions" are satisfied, then we have a Cramer-Rao bound for unbiased estimators of τ(θ).</li> <li>It helps to confirm an estimator is the best unbiased estimator of τ(θ) if it happens to attain the CR-bound.</li> <li>If an unbiased estimator of τ(θ) has variance greater than the CR-bound, it does NOT mean that it is not the best unbiased estimator.</li> <li>When "regularity conditions" are not satisfied, <sup>[τ'(θ)]<sup>2</sup></sup>/<sub>I<sub>n</sub>(θ)</sub> is no longer a valid lower bound.</li> <li>There may be unbiased estimators of τ(θ) that have variance smaller than <sup>[τ'(θ)]<sup>2</sup></sup>/<sub>I<sub>n</sub>(θ)</sub>.</li> </ul>		

## Finding UMVUE - Method 2

Use complete sufficient statistic to find the best unbiased estimator for  $\tau(\theta).$ 

- **1** Find complete sufficient statistic T for  $\theta$ .
- 2 Obtain  $\phi(\mathit{T}),$  an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  using either of the following two ways
  - Guess a function  $\phi(T)$  such that  $E[\phi(T)] = \tau(\theta)$ .
  - Guess an unbiased estimator  $h(\mathbf{X})$  of  $\tau(\theta)$ . Construct  $\phi(T) = E[h(\mathbf{X})|T]$ , then  $E[\phi(T)] = E[h(\mathbf{X})] = \tau(\theta)$ .

## Frequentists vs. Bayesians

A biased view in favor of Bayesians at http://xkcd.com/1132/



Hyun Min Kang Biostatistics 602 - Lecture 15 March 12th, 2013 5 / 1	Hyun Min Kang Biostatistics 602 - Lecture 15 March 12th, 2013 6 / 1
Bayesian Statistic	Bayesian Framework
<ul> <li>Frequentist's Framework</li> <li>P = {X ~ f<sub>X</sub>(x θ), θ ∈ Ω}</li> <li>Bayesian Statistic</li> <li>Parameter θ is considered as a random quantity</li> <li>Distribution of θ can be described by probability distribution, referred to as <i>prior</i> distribution</li> <li>A sample is taken from a population indexed by θ, and the prior distribution is updated using information from the sample to get <i>posterior</i> distribution of θ given the sample.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Prior distribution of θ : θ ~ π(θ).</li> <li>Sample distribution of X given θ. X θ ~ f(x θ)</li> <li>Joint distribution X and θ f(x, θ) = π(θ)f(x θ)</li> <li>Marginal distribution of X. m(x) = ∫<sub>θ∈Ω</sub> f(x, θ) dθ = ∫<sub>θ∈Ω</sub> f(x θ)π(θ) dθ</li> <li>Posterior distribution of θ (conditional distribution of θ given X) π(θ x) = f(x, θ)/m(x) = f(x θ)π(θ)/m(x) (Bayes' Rule)</li> </ul>

Burglary $( heta)$	$\Pr(Alarm Burglary) = \Pr(X = 1 \theta)$
True $(\theta = 1)$	0.95
$False\ (\theta=0)$	0.01

Suppose that Burglary is an unobserved parameter ( $\theta \in \{0, 1\}$ ), and Alarm is an observed outcome ( $X = \{0, 1\}$ ).

- Under Frequentist's Framework,
  - If there was no burglary, there is 1% of chance of alarm ringing.
  - If there was a burglary, there is 95% of chance of alarm ringing.
  - One can come up with an estimator on  $\theta$ , such as MLE
  - However, given that alarm already rang, one cannot calculate the probability of burglary.

# Inference Under Bayesian's Framework

#### Leveraging Prior Information

Suppose that we know that the chance of Burglary per household per night is  $10^{-7}$ .

$$\Pr(\theta = 1|X = 1) = \Pr(X = 1|\theta = 1) \frac{\Pr(\theta = 1)}{\Pr(X = 1)}$$
(Bayes' rule)  
$$= \Pr(X = 1|\theta = 1) \frac{\Pr(\theta = 1)}{\Pr(\theta = 1, X = 1) + \Pr(\theta = 0, X = 1)}$$
$$= \frac{\Pr(X = 1|\theta = 1) \Pr(\theta = 1)}{\Pr(X = 1|\theta = 1) \Pr(\theta = 1) + \Pr(X = 1|\theta = 0) \Pr(\theta = 0)}$$
$$= \frac{0.95 \times 10^{-7}}{0.95 \times 10^{-7} + 0.01 \times (1 - 10^{-7})} \approx 9.5 \times 10^{-6}$$

So, even if alarm rang, one can conclude that the burglary is unlikely to happen.

Hyun Min Kang	Biostatistics 602 - Lecture 15	March 12th, 2013 9 / 1	Hvun Min Kang	Biostatistics 602 - Lecture 15	March 12th, 2013	10 / 1
What if the prior i	nformation is mislead	ing?	Advantages and D	rawbacks of Bayesian	Inference	
Over-fitting to Prior I Suppose that, in fact, a planning to break-in eit same probability). The $Pr(\theta = 1 X)$	nformation a thief found a security breacher tonight or tomorrow nine the correct prior $Pr(\theta = 1)$	ach in my place and ght for sure (with the $1) = 0.5$ .	Advantages over Freque Allows making infe Available informati Uncertainty and in	uentist's Framework erence on the distribution of ion about $\theta$ can be utilized. formation can be quantified	heta given data. probabilistically.	
$= \frac{1}{\Pr(X=1 \theta)}$ $= \frac{0}{0.95 \times 0.5}$ However, if we relied on end up concluding that alarm, and ignore it, requite a bit of fortune.	$\Pr(X = 1   \theta = 1) \Pr(\theta = 0)$ $P = 1) \Pr(\theta = 1) + \Pr(X = 0.95 \times 0.5) \approx 0.99$ $P = 10 \exp(1 - 0.5) \exp(1 - 0.5) \exp(1 - 0.5)$ $P = 10 \exp(1 - 0.5) \exp(1 - 0.5) \exp(1 - 0.5)$ $P = 10 \exp(1 - 0.5) \exp(1 - 0.5)$ $P = 10 \exp(1 - 0.5) \exp(1 - 0.5)$ $P = 10 \exp(1 - 0.5) \exp(1 - 0.5)$ $P = 10 \exp(1 - 0.5) \exp(1 - 0.5)$ $P = 10 \exp(1 - 0.5) \exp(1 - 0.5)$ $P = 10 \exp(1 - 0.5) \exp(1 - 0.5)$ $P = 10 \exp(1 - 0.5) \exp(1 - 0.5)$ $P = 10 \exp($	= 1) $1 \theta = 0) \Pr(\theta = 0)$ e incorrect prior, we may that this is a false night of good sleep with	<ul> <li>Drawbacks of Bayesia</li> <li>Misleading prior ca</li> <li>Bayesian inference         <ul> <li>See : Larry Wa Bayesian Analy</li> </ul> </li> <li>Bayesian inference interpret, compared</li> </ul>	n Inference in result in misleading infere is often (but not always) pr isserman "Frequentist Bayes is 'sis 3:451-456. could be sometimes unnece d to Frequentist's inference.	ence. rone to be "subjecti Objective" (2006) essarily complicated	ive" to
Hyun Min Kang	Biostatistics 602 - Lecture 15	March 12th 2013 11 / 1	Hyun Min Kang	Biostatistics 602 - Lecture 15	March 12th 2013	12 / 1

### **Bayes Estimator**

### Definition

Bayes Estimator of  $\theta$  is defined as the posterior mean of  $\theta$ .

$$E(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Omega} \boldsymbol{\theta} \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x}) d\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

### **Example Problem**

 $X_1, \dots, X_n \xrightarrow{\text{i.i.d.}} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$  where  $0 \le p \le 1$ . Assume that the prior distribution of p is  $\text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$ . Find the posterior distribution of p and the Bayes estimator of p, assuming  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are known.

Biostatistics 602 - Lecture 15

# Solution (1/4)

Prior distribution of p is

$$\pi(p) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha - 1} (1 - p)^{\beta - 1}$$

Sampling distribution of X given p is

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|p) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ p^{x_i} (1-p)^{1-x_i} \right\}$$

Joint distribution of  $\mathbf{X}$  and p is

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}, p) = f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|p)\pi(p)$$
  
= 
$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ p^{x_i}(1-p)^{1-x_i} \right\} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha-1}(1-p)^{\beta-1}$$

Biostatistics 602 - Lecture 15

# Solution (2/4)

Hyun Min Kang

The marginal distribution of **X** is

$$\begin{split} m(\mathbf{x}) &= \int f(\mathbf{x}, p) \, dp = \int_0^1 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \alpha - 1} (1 - p)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \beta - 1} \, dp \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(\sum x_i + \alpha)\Gamma(n - \sum x_i + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n)} \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma(\sum x_i + \alpha + n - \sum x_i + \beta)}{\Gamma(\sum x_i + \alpha)\Gamma(n - \sum x_i + \beta)} p^{\sum x_i + \alpha - 1} (1 - p)^{n - \sum x_i + \beta - 1} \, dp \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \alpha)\Gamma(n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n)} \\ &\times \int_0^1 f_{\text{Beta}(\sum x_i + \alpha, n - \sum x_i + \beta)}(p) \, dp \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \alpha)\Gamma(n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n)} \end{split}$$

## Solution (3/4)

 $\pi$ 

Hyun Min Kang

J

The posterior distribution of  $\theta | \mathbf{x} :$ 

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta|\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{f(\mathbf{x},p)}{m(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= \frac{\left[\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}p^{\sum x_i+\alpha-1}(1-p)^{n-\sum x_i+\beta-1}\right]}{\left[\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}\frac{\Gamma(\sum x_i+\alpha)\Gamma(n-\sum x_i+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+n)}\right]} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+n)}{\Gamma(\sum x_i+\alpha)\Gamma(n-\sum x_i+\beta)}p^{\sum x_i+\alpha-1}(1-p)^{n-\sum x_i+\beta-1} \end{aligned}$$

March 12th, 2013

13 / 1

March 12th, 2013

14 / 1

# Solution (4/4)

The Bayes estimator of p is

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + \alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + \alpha + n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + \beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + \alpha}{\alpha + \beta + n}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n} \frac{n}{\alpha + \beta + n} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha + \beta + n}$$

$$= [\text{Guess about } p \text{ from data}] \cdot \text{weight}_1$$

$$+ [\text{Guess about } p \text{ from prior}] \cdot \text{weight}_2$$

As n increase, weight<sub>1</sub> =  $\frac{n}{\alpha+\beta+n} = \frac{1}{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{n}+1}$  becomes bigger and bigger and approaches to 1. In other words, influence of data is increasing, and the influence of prior knowledge is decreasing.

# Is the Bayes estimator unbiased?

$$E\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} + \alpha}{\alpha + \beta + n}\right] = \frac{np + \alpha}{\alpha + \beta + n} \neq p$$

Unless  $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} = p$ .

Bias = 
$$\frac{np + \alpha}{\alpha + \beta + n} - p = \frac{\alpha - (\alpha + \beta)p}{\alpha + \beta + n}$$

As n increases, the bias approaches to zero.

Hyun Min Kang	Biostatistics 602 - Lecture 15	March 12th, 2013	17 / 1	Hyun Min Kang	Biostatistics 602 - Lecture 15	March 12th, 2013	18 / 1
Sufficient statistic a	nd posterior distributio	on		Conjugate family			
Posterior conditioning of If $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a sufficient statistic the same to the posterior of the poster	In sufficient statistics stistic, then the posterior distribution given $T(\mathbf{X})$ . $\pi(\theta \mathbf{x}) = \pi(\theta T(\mathbf{x}))$	ribution of θ give In other words,	n X	Definition 7.2.15 Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the class distributions is a conjug class $\Pi$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , ar	of pdfs or pmfs for $f(x \theta)$ . A rate family of $\mathcal{F}$ , if the posten ad all priors in $\Pi$ , and all $x \in$	class II of prior rior distribution is t $\mathcal{X}$ .	the

Example: Beta-Binomial conjugate	Example: Gamma-Poisson conjugate
Let • $X_1, \dots, X_n   p \sim \text{Binomial}(m, p)$ • $\pi(p) \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$ where $m, \alpha, \beta$ is known. The posterior distribution is $\pi(p   \mathbf{x}) \sim \text{Beta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \alpha, mn - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \beta\right)$	• $X_1, \dots, X_n   \lambda \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ • $\pi(\lambda) \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ • Prior: $\pi(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^{\alpha}}\lambda^{\alpha-1}e^{-\lambda/\beta}$ • Sampling distribution $\mathbf{X}   \lambda \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^x}{x!}$ $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x} \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!}$
Gamma-Poisson conjugate (cont d)	Gamma-Poisson conjugate (cont'd)

March 12th, 2013 23 / 1

Hyun Min Kang

Biostatistics 602 - Lecture 15

March 12th, 2013 24 / 1

Hyun Min Kang

Biostatistics 602 - Lecture 15

# Example: Normal Bayes Estimators

Let  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$  and suppose that the prior distribution of  $\theta$  is  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \tau^2)$ . Assuming that  $\sigma^2, \mu^2, \tau^2$  are all known, the posterior distribution of  $\theta$  also becomes normal, with mean and variance given by

$$E[\theta|\mathbf{x}] = \frac{\tau^2}{\tau^2 + \sigma^2} x + \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2} \mu$$
$$Var(\theta|x) = \frac{\sigma^2 \tau^2}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2}$$

- The normal family is its own conjugate family.
- The Bayes estimator for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is a linear combination of the prior and sample means
- As the prior variance  $\tau^2$  approaches to infinity, the Bayes estimator tends toward to sample mean
  - As the prior information becomes more vague, the Bayes estimator tends to give more weight to the sample information

Hyun M	∕lin∣	Kang
--------	-------	------

Biostatistics 602 - Lecture 15

March 12th, 2013

25 / 1

### Summary

### Today

- Bayesian Statistics
- Bayes Estimator
- Conjugate family

### Next Lecture

Bayesian Risk Functions

Biostatistics 602 - Lecture 15

March 12th, 2013

26 / 1

Consistency

Hyun Min Kang