Hyun Min Kang

January 22th, 2013

1 Is a sufficient statistic unique?

- 1 Is a sufficient statistic unique?
- 2 What are examples obvious sufficient statistics for any distribution?

## Recap from last lecture

- 1 Is a sufficient statistic unique?
- What are examples obvious sufficient statistics for any distribution?
- What is a minimal sufficient statistic?

- 1 Is a sufficient statistic unique?
- What are examples obvious sufficient statistics for any distribution?
- What is a minimal sufficient statistic?
- 4 Is a minimal sufficient statistic unique?

- 1 Is a sufficient statistic unique?
- 2 What are examples obvious sufficient statistics for any distribution?
- 3 What is a minimal sufficient statistic?
- 4 Is a minimal sufficient statistic unique?
- **5** How can we show that a statistic is minimal sufficient for  $\theta$ ?

## Minimal Sufficient Statistic

#### Definition 6.2.11

A sufficient statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is called a *minimal sufficient statistic* if, for any other sufficient statistic  $T'(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a function of  $T'(\mathbf{X})$ .

### Why is this called "minimal" sufficient statistic?

- The sample space  ${\mathcal X}$  consists of every possible sample finest partition
- Given  $T(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $\mathcal{X}$  can be partitioned into  $A_t$  where  $t \in \mathcal{T} = \{t : t = T(\mathbf{X}) \text{ for some } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}\}$
- Maximum data reduction is achieved when  $|\mathcal{T}|$  is minimal.
- If size of  $\mathcal{T}' = t$ :  $t = T'(\mathbf{x})$  for some  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$  is not less than  $|\mathcal{T}|$ , then  $|\mathcal{T}|$  can be called as a minimal sufficient statistic.

4 11 1 4 4 12 1 4 12 1 1 2 1 9 9 9

## Theorem for Minimal Sufficient Statistics

### Theorem 6.2.13

- $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})$  be pmf or pdf of a sample  $\mathbf{X}$ .
- Suppose that there exists a function  $T(\mathbf{x})$  such that,
- For every two sample points x and y,
- The ratio  $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)/f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\theta)$  is constant as a function of  $\theta$  if and only if  $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y})$ .
- Then T(X) is a minimal sufficient statistic for θ.

#### In other words..

- $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)/f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\theta)$  is constant as a function of  $\theta \Longrightarrow T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y})$ .
- $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y}) \Longrightarrow f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)/f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\theta)$  is constant as a function of  $\theta$

4 D > 4 A > 4 B > 4 B > B = 90

#### Problem

 $X_1, \cdots, X_n$  are iid samples from

$$f_X(x|\theta) = \frac{e^{-(x-\theta)}}{(1+e^{-(x-\theta)})^2}, -\infty < x < \infty, -\infty < \theta < \infty$$

Find a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

#### Problem

 $X_1, \cdots, X_n$  are iid samples from

$$f_X(x|\theta) = \frac{e^{-(x-\theta)}}{(1+e^{-(x-\theta)})^2}, -\infty < x < \infty, -\infty < \theta < \infty$$

Find a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\exp(-(x_i - \theta))}{(1 + \exp(-(x_i - \theta)))^2}$$

#### Problem

 $X_1, \cdots, X_n$  are iid samples from

$$f_X(x|\theta) = \frac{e^{-(x-\theta)}}{(1+e^{-(x-\theta)})^2}, -\infty < x < \infty, -\infty < \theta < \infty$$

Find a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\exp(-(x_i - \theta))}{(1 + \exp(-(x_i - \theta)))^2} = \frac{\exp(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \theta))}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \exp(-(x_i - \theta)))^2}$$

#### Problem

 $X_1, \cdots, X_n$  are iid samples from

$$f_X(x|\theta) = \frac{e^{-(x-\theta)}}{(1+e^{-(x-\theta)})^2}, -\infty < x < \infty, -\infty < \theta < \infty$$

Find a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\exp(-(x_{i} - \theta))}{(1 + \exp(-(x_{i} - \theta)))^{2}} = \frac{\exp(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \theta))}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \exp(-(x_{i} - \theta)))^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{\exp(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}) \exp(n\theta)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \exp(-(x_{i} - \theta)))^{2}}$$

# Solution (cont'd)

## Applying Theorem 6.2.13

$$\frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\theta)} = \frac{\exp(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i) \exp(n\theta) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \exp(-(y_i - \theta)))^2}{\exp(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i) \exp(n\theta) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \exp(-(x_i - \theta)))^2}$$

# Solution (cont'd)

## Applying Theorem 6.2.13

$$\frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\theta)} = \frac{\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) \exp(n\theta) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \exp(-(y_{i} - \theta)))^{2}}{\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right) \exp(n\theta) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \exp(-(x_{i} - \theta)))^{2}} \\
= \frac{\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \exp(-(y_{i} - \theta)))^{2}}{\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \exp(-(x_{i} - \theta)))^{2}}$$

# Solution (cont'd)

## Applying Theorem 6.2.13

$$\frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}|\theta)} = \frac{\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) \exp(n\theta) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \exp(-(y_{i} - \theta)))^{2}}{\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right) \exp(n\theta) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \exp(-(x_{i} - \theta)))^{2}} \\
= \frac{\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \exp(-(y_{i} - \theta)))^{2}}{\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \exp(-(x_{i} - \theta)))^{2}}$$

The ratio above is constant to  $\theta$  if and only if  $x_1, \cdots, x_n$  are permutations of  $y_1, \cdots, y_n$ . So the order statistic  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X}) = (X_{(1)}, \cdots, X_{(n)})$  is a minimal sufficient statistic.

↓□▶ ↓□▶ ↓□▶ ↓□▶ □ ♥♀♡

### Definition 6.2.16

A statistic  $S(\mathbf{X})$  is an *ancillary statistic* if its distribution does not depend on  $\theta$ .

### Definition 6.2.16

A statistic  $S(\mathbf{X})$  is an *ancillary statistic* if its distribution does not depend on  $\theta$ .

## **Examples of Ancillary Statistics**

### Definition 6.2.16

A statistic  $S(\mathbf{X})$  is an ancillary statistic if its distribution does not depend on  $\theta$ .

## Examples of Ancillary Statistics

• 
$$s_{\mathbf{X}}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2$$
 is an ancillary statistic

### Definition 6.2.16

A statistic  $S(\mathbf{X})$  is an *ancillary statistic* if its distribution does not depend on  $\theta$ .

## Examples of Ancillary Statistics

- $s_{\mathbf{X}}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i \overline{X})^2$  is an ancillary statistic
- $X_1 X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2\sigma^2)$  is ancillary.

### Definition 6.2.16

A statistic  $S(\mathbf{X})$  is an *ancillary statistic* if its distribution does not depend on  $\theta$ .

## Examples of Ancillary Statistics

- $s_{\mathbf{X}}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i \overline{X})^2$  is an ancillary statistic
- $X_1 X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2\sigma^2)$  is ancillary.
- $(X_1 + X_2)/2 X_3 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1.5\sigma^2)$  is ancillary.

### Definition 6.2.16

A statistic  $S(\mathbf{X})$  is an *ancillary statistic* if its distribution does not depend on  $\theta$ .

## Examples of Ancillary Statistics

 $X_1,\cdots,X_n \overset{\mathrm{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2$  is known.

- $s_{\mathbf{X}}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i \overline{X})^2$  is an ancillary statistic
- $X_1 X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2\sigma^2)$  is ancillary.
- $(X_1 + X_2)/2 X_3 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1.5\sigma^2)$  is ancillary.
- $\frac{(n-1)s_{\mathbf{X}}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$  is ancillary.

4 D > 4 P > 4 B > 4 B > B 900

## Examples with normal distribution at zero mean

$$X_1, \cdots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$
 where  $\sigma^2$  is unknown

## Examples with normal distribution at zero mean

 $X_1, \cdots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2$  is unknown

•  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}/\sigma$  is an ancillary statistic because  $Y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .

## Examples with normal distribution at zero mean

- $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}/\sigma$  is an ancillary statistic because  $Y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .
- $\frac{X_1}{X_2} = \frac{\sigma \, Y_1}{\sigma \, Y_2} = \frac{Y_1}{Y_2}$  also follows a cauchy distribution and is an ancillary statistic.

## Examples with normal distribution at zero mean

- $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}/\sigma$  is an ancillary statistic because  $Y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .
- $\frac{X_1}{X_2} = \frac{\sigma \, Y_1}{\sigma \, Y_2} = \frac{Y_1}{Y_2}$  also follows a cauchy distribution and is an ancillary statistic.
- Any joint distribution of  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  does not depend on  $\sigma^2$ , and thus is an ancillary statistic.

## Examples with normal distribution at zero mean

- $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}/\sigma$  is an ancillary statistic because  $Y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .
- $\frac{X_1}{X_2} = \frac{\sigma \, Y_1}{\sigma \, Y_2} = \frac{Y_1}{Y_2}$  also follows a cauchy distribution and is an ancillary statistic.
- Any joint distribution of  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  does not depend on  $\sigma^2$ , and thus is an ancillary statistic.
- For example, the following statistic is also ancillary.

$$\frac{\mathrm{median}(X_i)}{\overline{X}}$$

### Examples with normal distribution at zero mean

- $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}/\sigma$  is an ancillary statistic because  $Y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .
- $\frac{X_1}{X_2}=\frac{\sigma\,Y_1}{\sigma\,Y_2}=\frac{Y_1}{Y_2}$  also follows a cauchy distribution and is an ancillary statistic.
- Any joint distribution of  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  does not depend on  $\sigma^2$ , and thus is an ancillary statistic.
- For example, the following statistic is also ancillary.

$$\frac{\mathrm{median}(X_i)}{\overline{X}} = \frac{\sigma \mathrm{median}(Y_i)}{\sigma \overline{Y}}$$

## Examples with normal distribution at zero mean

 $X_1, \cdots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2$  is unknown

- $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}/\sigma$  is an ancillary statistic because  $Y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .
- $\frac{X_1}{X_2} = \frac{\sigma \, Y_1}{\sigma \, Y_2} = \frac{Y_1}{Y_2}$  also follows a cauchy distribution and is an ancillary statistic.
- Any joint distribution of  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  does not depend on  $\sigma^2$ , and thus is an ancillary statistic.
- For example, the following statistic is also ancillary.

$$\frac{\operatorname{median}(X_i)}{\overline{X}} = \frac{\sigma \operatorname{median}(Y_i)}{\sigma \overline{Y}} = \frac{\operatorname{median}(Y_i)}{\overline{Y}}$$

4 ロ ト 4 団 ト 4 豆 ト 4 豆 ・ り Q (や)

### Problem

- $X_1, \cdots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} f_X(x-\theta)$ .
- Show that  $R=X_{(n)}-X_{(1)}$  is an ancillary statistic.

## Problem

- $X_1, \cdots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} f_X(x-\theta)$ .
- Show that  $R = X_{(n)} X_{(1)}$  is an ancillary statistic.

### Solution

• Let  $Z_i = X_i - \theta$ .

### Problem

- $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} f_X(x-\theta)$ .
- Show that  $R = X_{(n)} X_{(1)}$  is an ancillary statistic.

- Let  $Z_i = X_i \theta$ .
- $f_Z(z) = f_X(z+\theta-\theta) \left| \frac{dx}{dz} \right| = f_X(z)$

#### **Problem**

- $X_1, \cdots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} f_X(x-\theta)$ .
- Show that  $R = X_{(n)} X_{(1)}$  is an ancillary statistic.

- Let  $Z_i = X_i \theta$ .
- $f_Z(z) = f_X(z + \theta \theta) \left| \frac{dx}{dz} \right| = f_X(z)$
- $Z_1, \dots, Z_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} f_X(z)$  does not depend on  $\theta$ .

#### **Problem**

- $X_1, \cdots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} f_X(x-\theta)$ .
- Show that  $R = X_{(n)} X_{(1)}$  is an ancillary statistic.

### Solution

- Let  $Z_i = X_i \theta$ .
- $f_Z(z) = f_X(z + \theta \theta) \left| \frac{dx}{dz} \right| = f_X(z)$
- $Z_1, \dots, Z_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} f_X(z)$  does not depend on  $\theta$ .
- $R = X_{(n)} X_{(1)} = Z_{(n)} Z_{(1)}$  does not depend on  $\theta$ .

10 × 40 × 40 × 40 × 40 ×

## **Uniform Ancillary Statistics**

### Problem

- $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Uniform}(\theta, \theta + 1).$
- Show that  $R = X_{(n)} X_{(1)}$  is an ancillary statistic.

## Possible Strategies



### Problem

- $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Uniform}(\theta, \theta + 1).$
- Show that  $R = X_{(n)} X_{(1)}$  is an ancillary statistic.

## Possible Strategies

• Obtain the distribution of R and show that it is independent of  $\theta$ .

## **Uniform Ancillary Statistics**

### Problem

- $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Uniform}(\theta, \theta + 1).$
- Show that  $R = X_{(n)} X_{(1)}$  is an ancillary statistic.

## Possible Strategies

- Obtain the distribution of R and show that it is independent of  $\theta$ .
- Represent R as a function of ancillary statistics, which is independent of  $\theta$ .

R is a function of  $(X_{(n)},X_{(1)})$ , so we need to derive the joint distribution of  $(X_{(n)},X_{(1)})$ .

R is a function of  $(X_{(n)},X_{(1)})$ , so we need to derive the joint distribution of  $(X_{(n)},X_{(1)})$ . Define

$$f_X(x|\theta) = I(\theta < x < \theta + 1)$$

R is a function of  $(X_{(n)},X_{(1)})$ , so we need to derive the joint distribution of  $(X_{(n)},X_{(1)})$ . Define

$$f_X(x|\theta) = I(\theta < x < \theta + 1)$$

If 
$$\theta < X_{(1)} \le X_{(n)} < \theta + 1$$
,

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)}|\theta) =$$

R is a function of  $(X_{(n)},X_{(1)})$ , so we need to derive the joint distribution of  $(X_{(n)},X_{(1)})$ . Define

$$f_X(x|\theta) = I(\theta < x < \theta + 1)$$

If 
$$\theta < X_{(1)} \le X_{(n)} < \theta + 1$$
,

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)}|\theta) = \frac{n!}{(n-2)!} (X_{(n)} - X_{(1)})^{(n-2)}$$

R is a function of  $(X_{(n)},X_{(1)})$ , so we need to derive the joint distribution of  $(X_{(n)},X_{(1)})$ . Define

$$f_X(x|\theta) = I(\theta < x < \theta + 1)$$

If  $\theta < X_{(1)} \le X_{(n)} < \theta + 1$ ,

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)}|\theta) = \frac{n!}{(n-2)!} (X_{(n)} - X_{(1)})^{(n-2)}$$

and  $f_{\mathbf{X}}(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)}|\theta) = 0$  otherwise.

Define R and M as follows

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} R & = & X_{(n)} - X_{(1)} \\ M & = & (X_{(n)} + X_{(1)})/2 \end{array} \right.$$

Define R and M as follows

$$\begin{cases} R = X_{(n)} - X_{(1)} \\ M = (X_{(n)} + X_{(1)})/2 \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} X_{(1)} &= M - R/2 \\ X_{(n)} &= M + R/2 \end{cases}$$

12 / 23

Define R and M as follows

$$\begin{cases} R = X_{(n)} - X_{(1)} \\ M = (X_{(n)} + X_{(1)})/2 \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} X_{(1)} = M - R/2 \\ X_{(n)} = M + R/2 \end{cases}$$

The Jacobian is

$$J = \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial X_{(1)}}{\partial M} & \frac{\partial X_{(1)}}{\partial R} \\ \frac{\partial X_{(n)}}{\partial M} & \frac{\partial X_{(n)}}{\partial R} \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{cc} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right| = \frac{1}{2} - (-\frac{1}{2}) = 1$$

The joint distribution of R and M is

$$f_{R,M}(r,m) = n(n-1) \left(\frac{2m+r}{2} - \frac{2m-r}{2}\right)^{(n-2)} = n(n-1)r^{(n-2)}$$

The joint distribution of R and M is

$$f_{R,M}(r,m) = n(n-1) \left(\frac{2m+r}{2} - \frac{2m-r}{2}\right)^{(n-2)} = n(n-1)r^{(n-2)}$$

Because  $\theta < X_{(1)} \le X_{(n)} < \theta + 1$ ,

$$\theta < \frac{2m-r}{2} < \frac{2m+r}{2} < \theta + 1$$

The joint distribution of R and M is

$$f_{R,M}(r,m) = n(n-1) \left(\frac{2m+r}{2} - \frac{2m-r}{2}\right)^{(n-2)} = n(n-1)r^{(n-2)}$$

Because  $\theta < X_{(1)} \le X_{(n)} < \theta + 1$ ,

$$\theta < \frac{2m-r}{2} < \frac{2m+r}{2} < \theta + 1$$

$$0 < r < 1$$
 
$$\theta + \frac{r}{2} < m < \theta + 1 - \frac{r}{2}$$



The distribution of R is

$$f_R(r|\theta) = \int_{\theta + \frac{r}{2}}^{\theta + 1 - \frac{r}{2}} n(n-1)r^{(n-2)}dm$$

The distribution of R is

$$f_R(r|\theta) = \int_{\theta + \frac{r}{2}}^{\theta + 1 - \frac{r}{2}} n(n-1)r^{(n-2)} dm$$
$$= n(n-1)r^{(n-2)} \left(\theta + 1 - \frac{r}{2} - \theta - \frac{r}{2}\right)$$

The distribution of R is

$$f_R(r|\theta) = \int_{\theta + \frac{r}{2}}^{\theta + 1 - \frac{r}{2}} n(n-1)r^{(n-2)} dm$$

$$= n(n-1)r^{(n-2)} \left(\theta + 1 - \frac{r}{2} - \theta - \frac{r}{2}\right)$$

$$= n(n-1)r^{(n-2)} (1-r) , 0 < r < 1$$

The distribution of R is

$$f_R(r|\theta) = \int_{\theta + \frac{r}{2}}^{\theta + 1 - \frac{r}{2}} n(n-1)r^{(n-2)} dm$$

$$= n(n-1)r^{(n-2)} \left(\theta + 1 - \frac{r}{2} - \theta - \frac{r}{2}\right)$$

$$= n(n-1)r^{(n-2)} (1-r) , 0 < r < 1$$

Therefore,  $f_R(r|\theta)$  does not depend on  $\theta$ , and R is an ancillary statistic.

$$f_X(x|\theta) = I(\theta < x < \theta + 1) = I(0 < x - \theta < 1)$$

$$f_X(x|\theta) = I(\theta < x < \theta + 1) = I(0 < x - \theta < 1)$$

Let  $Y_i = X_i - \theta \sim \text{Uniform}(0,1)$ . Then  $X_i = Y_i + \theta$ ,  $\left| \frac{dx}{du} \right| = 1$  holds.

$$f_X(x|\theta) = I(\theta < x < \theta + 1) = I(0 < x - \theta < 1)$$

Let 
$$Y_i = X_i - \theta \sim \mathrm{Uniform}(0,1)$$
. Then  $X_i = Y_i + \theta$ ,  $|\frac{dx}{dy}| = 1$  holds.

$$f_Y(y) = I(0 < y + \theta - \theta < 1) \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right| = I(0 < y < 1)$$

$$f_X(x|\theta) = I(\theta < x < \theta + 1) = I(0 < x - \theta < 1)$$

Let  $Y_i = X_i - \theta \sim \text{Uniform}(0,1)$ . Then  $X_i = Y_i + \theta$ ,  $\left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right| = 1$  holds.

$$f_Y(y) = I(0 < y + \theta - \theta < 1) \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right| = I(0 < y < 1)$$

Then, the range statistic R can be rewritten as follows.

$$R = X_{(n)} - X_{(1)} = (Y_{(n)} + \theta) - (Y_{(1)} + \theta) = Y_{(n)} - Y_{(1)}$$

$$f_X(x|\theta) = I(\theta < x < \theta + 1) = I(0 < x - \theta < 1)$$

Let  $Y_i = X_i - \theta \sim \text{Uniform}(0,1)$ . Then  $X_i = Y_i + \theta$ ,  $\left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right| = 1$  holds.

$$f_Y(y) = I(0 < y + \theta - \theta < 1) \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right| = I(0 < y < 1)$$

Then, the range statistic R can be rewritten as follows.

$$R = X_{(n)} - X_{(1)} = (Y_{(n)} + \theta) - (Y_{(1)} + \theta) = Y_{(n)} - Y_{(1)}$$

As  $Y_{(n)}-Y_{(1)}$  is a function of  $Y_1,\cdots,Y_n$ . Any joint distribution of  $Y_1,\cdots,Y_n$  does not depend on  $\theta$ . Therefore, R is an ancillary statistic.

#### Theorem 3.5.1

Let f(x) be any pdf and let  $\mu$  and  $\sigma > 0$  be any given constant, then,

$$g(x|\mu,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma} f\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

is a pdf.

### Theorem 3.5.1

Minimal Sufficient Statistics

Let f(x) be any pdf and let  $\mu$  and  $\sigma > 0$  be any given constant, then,

$$g(x|\mu,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma} f\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

is a pdf.

#### Proof

Because f(x) is a pdf, then  $f(x) \ge 0$ , and  $g(x|\mu,\sigma) \ge 0$  for all x.

# A brief review on location and scale family

#### Theorem 3.5.1

Minimal Sufficient Statistics

Let f(x) be any pdf and let  $\mu$  and  $\sigma > 0$  be any given constant, then,

$$g(x|\mu,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma} f\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

is a pdf.

#### Proof

Because f(x) is a pdf, then  $f(x) \ge 0$ , and  $g(x|\mu,\sigma) \ge 0$  for all x.

Let  $y = (x - \mu)/\sigma$ , then  $x = \sigma y + \mu$ , and  $dx/dy = \sigma$ .

# A brief review on location and scale family

#### Theorem 3.5.1

Let f(x) be any pdf and let  $\mu$  and  $\sigma > 0$  be any given constant, then,

$$g(x|\mu,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma} f\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

is a pdf.

#### **Proof**

Because f(x) is a pdf, then  $f(x) \ge 0$ , and  $g(x|\mu, \sigma) \ge 0$  for all x. Let  $y = (x - \mu)/\sigma$ , then  $x = \sigma y + \mu$ , and  $dx/dy = \sigma$ .

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma} f \bigg( \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} \bigg) \; dx \;\; = \;\; \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma} f(y) \sigma \, dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) \, dy = 1$$

# A brief review on location and scale family

#### Theorem 3.5.1

Let f(x) be any pdf and let  $\mu$  and  $\sigma>0$  be any given constant, then,

$$g(x|\mu,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma} f\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

is a pdf.

#### Proof

Because f(x) is a pdf, then  $f(x) \ge 0$ , and  $g(x|\mu, \sigma) \ge 0$  for all x. Let  $y = (x - \mu)/\sigma$ , then  $x = \sigma y + \mu$ , and  $dx/dy = \sigma$ .

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma} f\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma} f(y) \sigma dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) dy = 1$$

Therefore,  $g(x|\mu,\sigma)$  is also a pdf.

# Location Family and Parameter

#### Definition 3.5.2

Let f(x) be any pdf. Then the family of pdfs  $f(x-\mu)$ , indexed by the parameter  $-\infty < \mu < \infty$ , is called the *location family with standard pdf* f(x), and  $\mu$  is called the *location parameter* for the family.

# Location Family and Parameter

### Definition 3.5.2

Let f(x) be any pdf. Then the family of pdfs  $f(x-\mu)$ , indexed by the parameter  $-\infty < \mu < \infty$ , is called the *location family with standard pdf* f(x), and  $\mu$  is called the *location parameter* for the family.

### Example

• 
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

• 
$$f(x-\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$$

# Location Family and Parameter

#### Definition 3.5.2

Let f(x) be any pdf. Then the family of pdfs  $f(x-\mu)$ , indexed by the parameter  $-\infty < \mu < \infty$ , is called the *location family with standard pdf* f(x), and  $\mu$  is called the *location parameter* for the family.

### Example

• 
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

• 
$$f(x-\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$$

• 
$$f(x) = I(0 < x < 1) \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$$

• 
$$f(x-\theta) = I(\theta < x < \theta + 1) \sim \text{Uniform}(\theta, \theta + 1)$$

# Scale Family and Parameter

#### Definition 3.5.4

Let f(x) be any pdf. Then for any  $\sigma > 0$  the family of pdfs  $f(x/\sigma)/\sigma$ , indexed by the parameter  $\sigma$  is called the *scale family with standard pdf* f(x), and  $\sigma$  is called the *scale parameter* for the family.

# Scale Family and Parameter

### Definition 3.5.4

Let f(x) be any pdf. Then for any  $\sigma>0$  the family of pdfs  $f(x/\sigma)/\sigma$ , indexed by the parameter  $\sigma$  is called the *scale family with standard pdf* f(x), and  $\sigma$  is called the *scale parameter* for the family.

### Example

• 
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

• 
$$f(x/\sigma)/\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

### Definition 3.5.5

Let f(x) be any pdf. Then for any  $\mu, -\infty < \mu < \infty$ , and any  $\sigma > 0$  the family of pdfs  $f((x-\mu)/\sigma)/\sigma$ , indexed by the parameter  $(\mu,\sigma)$  is called the *location-scale family with standard pdf* f(x), and  $\mu$  is called the *location parameter* and  $\sigma$  is called the *scale parameter* for the family.

# Location-Scale Family and Parameters

### Definition 3.5.5

Let f(x) be any pdf. Then for any  $\mu, -\infty < \mu < \infty$ , and any  $\sigma > 0$  the family of pdfs  $f((x-\mu)/\sigma)/\sigma$ , indexed by the parameter  $(\mu,\sigma)$  is called the *location-scale family with standard pdf* f(x), and  $\mu$  is called the *location parameter* and  $\sigma$  is called the *scale parameter* for the family.

### Example

• 
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

• 
$$f((x-\mu)/\sigma)/\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma^2)$$

# Theorem for location and scale family

#### Theorem 3.5.6

- Let  $f(\cdot)$  be any pdf.
- Let  $\mu$  be any real number.
- Let  $\sigma$  be any positive real number.
- Then X is a random variable with pdf  $\frac{1}{\sigma}f\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$
- if and only if there exists a random variable Z with pdf f(z) and  $X = \sigma Z + \mu$ .

# Ancillary Statistics for Location Family

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1,\cdots,X_n$  be iid from a location family with pdf  $f(x-\mu)$  where  $-\infty<\mu<\infty$ . Show that the range  $R=X_{(n)}-X_{(1)}$  is an ancillary statistic.

# Ancillary Statistics for Location Family

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1,\cdots,X_n$  be iid from a location family with pdf  $f(x-\mu)$  where  $-\infty<\mu<\infty$ . Show that the range  $R=X_{(n)}-X_{(1)}$  is an ancillary statistic.

#### Solution

Assume that cdf is  $F(x - \mu)$ . Using Theorem 3.5.6,

 $Z_1=X_1-\mu,\cdots,Z_n=X_n-\mu$  are iid observations from pdf f(x) and cdf F(x).

# Ancillary Statistics for Location Family

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1,\cdots,X_n$  be iid from a location family with pdf  $f(x-\mu)$  where  $-\infty<\mu<\infty$ . Show that the range  $R=X_{(n)}-X_{(1)}$  is an ancillary statistic.

#### Solution

Assume that cdf is  $F(x - \mu)$ . Using Theorem 3.5.6,

 $Z_1 = X_1 - \mu, \dots, Z_n = X_n - \mu$  are iid observations from pdf f(x) and cdf F(x). Then the cdf of the range statistic R becomes

### Ancillary Statistics for Location Family

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1,\cdots,X_n$  be iid from a location family with pdf  $f(x-\mu)$  where  $-\infty<\mu<\infty$ . Show that the range  $R=X_{(n)}-X_{(1)}$  is an ancillary statistic.

#### Solution

Assume that cdf is  $F(x-\mu)$ . Using Theorem 3.5.6,

 $Z_1=X_1-\mu,\cdots,Z_n=X_n-\mu$  are iid observations from pdf f(x) and cdf F(x). Then the cdf of the range statistic R becomes

$$F_R(r|\mu) = \Pr(R \le r|\mu) = \Pr(X_{(n)} - X_{(1)} \le r|\mu)$$

# Ancillary Statistics for Location Family

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1,\cdots,X_n$  be iid from a location family with pdf  $f(x-\mu)$  where  $-\infty<\mu<\infty$ . Show that the range  $R=X_{(n)}-X_{(1)}$  is an ancillary statistic.

### Solution

Assume that cdf is  $F(x-\mu)$ . Using Theorem 3.5.6,

 $Z_1=X_1-\mu,\cdots,Z_n=X_n-\mu$  are iid observations from pdf f(x) and cdf F(x). Then the cdf of the range statistic R becomes

$$\begin{array}{lcl} F_R(r|\mu) & = & \Pr(R \leq r|\mu) = \Pr(X_{(n)} - X_{(1)} \leq r|\mu) \\ & = & \Pr(Z_{(n)} + \mu - Z_{(1)} - \mu \leq r|\mu) = \Pr(Z_{(n)} - Z_{(1)} \leq r|\mu) \end{array}$$

# Ancillary Statistics for Location Family

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1,\cdots,X_n$  be iid from a location family with pdf  $f(x-\mu)$  where  $-\infty<\mu<\infty$ . Show that the range  $R=X_{(n)}-X_{(1)}$  is an ancillary statistic.

### Solution

Assume that cdf is  $F(x-\mu)$ . Using Theorem 3.5.6,

 $Z_1=X_1-\mu,\cdots,Z_n=X_n-\mu$  are iid observations from pdf f(x) and cdf F(x). Then the cdf of the range statistic R becomes

$$F_R(r|\mu) = \Pr(R \le r|\mu) = \Pr(X_{(n)} - X_{(1)} \le r|\mu)$$
  
=  $\Pr(Z_{(n)} + \mu - Z_{(1)} - \mu \le r|\mu) = \Pr(Z_{(n)} - Z_{(1)} \le r|\mu)$ 

which does not depend on  $\mu$  because  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  does not depend on  $\mu$ . Therefore, R is an ancillary statistic.

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1, \cdots, X_n$  be iid from a location family with pdf  $f(x/\sigma)/\sigma$  where  $\sigma > 0$ . Show that the following statistic  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})$  is ancillary.

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1/X_n, \cdots, X_{n-1}/X_n)$$

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1, \cdots, X_n$  be iid from a location family with pdf  $f(x/\sigma)/\sigma$  where  $\sigma>0$ . Show that the following statistic  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})$  is ancillary.

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1/X_n, \cdots, X_{n-1}/X_n)$$

#### Solution

Assume that cdf is  $F(x/\sigma)$ , and let  $Z_1=X_1/\sigma,\cdots,Z_n=X_n/\sigma$  be iid observations from pdf f(x) and cdf F(x).

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1, \cdots, X_n$  be iid from a location family with pdf  $f(x/\sigma)/\sigma$  where  $\sigma > 0$ . Show that the following statistic  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})$  is ancillary.

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1/X_n, \cdots, X_{n-1}/X_n)$$

### Solution

Assume that cdf is  $F(x/\sigma)$ , and let  $Z_1=X_1/\sigma,\cdots,Z_n=X_n/\sigma$  be iid observations from pdf f(x) and cdf F(x). Then the joint cdf of the  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})$  is

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1, \cdots, X_n$  be iid from a location family with pdf  $f(x/\sigma)/\sigma$  where  $\sigma>0$ . Show that the following statistic  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})$  is ancillary.

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1/X_n, \cdots, X_{n-1}/X_n)$$

#### Solution

Assume that cdf is  $F(x/\sigma)$ , and let  $Z_1 = X_1/\sigma, \cdots, Z_n = X_n/\sigma$  be iid observations from pdf f(x) and cdf F(x). Then the joint cdf of the  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})$  is

$$F_{\mathbf{T}}(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} | \sigma) = \Pr(X_1 / X_n \le t_1, \dots, X_{n-1} / X_n \le t_{n-1} | \sigma)$$

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1, \cdots, X_n$  be iid from a location family with pdf  $f(x/\sigma)/\sigma$  where  $\sigma > 0$ . Show that the following statistic  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})$  is ancillary.

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1/X_n, \cdots, X_{n-1}/X_n)$$

#### Solution

Assume that cdf is  $F(x/\sigma)$ , and let  $Z_1 = X_1/\sigma, \cdots, Z_n = X_n/\sigma$  be iid observations from pdf f(x) and cdf F(x). Then the joint cdf of the  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})$  is

$$F_{\mathsf{T}}(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} | \sigma) = \Pr(X_1 / X_n \le t_1, \dots, X_{n-1} / X_n \le t_{n-1} | \sigma)$$
  
=  $\Pr(\sigma Z_1 / \sigma Z_n \le t_1, \dots, \sigma Z_{n-1} / \sigma Z_n \le t_{n-1} | \sigma)$ 

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1, \cdots, X_n$  be iid from a location family with pdf  $f(x/\sigma)/\sigma$  where  $\sigma > 0$ . Show that the following statistic  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})$  is ancillary.

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1/X_n, \cdots, X_{n-1}/X_n)$$

#### Solution

Assume that cdf is  $F(x/\sigma)$ , and let  $Z_1 = X_1/\sigma, \cdots, Z_n = X_n/\sigma$  be iid observations from pdf f(x) and cdf F(x). Then the joint cdf of the  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})$  is

$$F_{\mathbf{T}}(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n-1} | \sigma) = \Pr(X_{1} / X_{n} \leq t_{1}, \cdots, X_{n-1} / X_{n} \leq t_{n-1} | \sigma)$$

$$= \Pr(\sigma Z_{1} / \sigma Z_{n} \leq t_{1}, \cdots, \sigma Z_{n-1} / \sigma Z_{n} \leq t_{n-1} | \sigma)$$

$$= \Pr(Z_{1} / Z_{n} \leq t_{1}, \cdots, Z_{n-1} / Z_{n} \leq t_{n-1} | \sigma)$$

#### **Problem**

Let  $X_1, \cdots, X_n$  be iid from a location family with pdf  $f(x/\sigma)/\sigma$  where  $\sigma>0$ . Show that the following statistic  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})$  is ancillary.

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1/X_n, \cdots, X_{n-1}/X_n)$$

### Solution

Assume that cdf is  $F(x/\sigma)$ , and let  $Z_1 = X_1/\sigma, \cdots, Z_n = X_n/\sigma$  be iid observations from pdf f(x) and cdf F(x). Then the joint cdf of the  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})$  is

$$F_{\mathbf{T}}(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n-1} | \sigma) = \Pr(X_{1} / X_{n} \leq t_{1}, \cdots, X_{n-1} / X_{n} \leq t_{n-1} | \sigma)$$

$$= \Pr(\sigma Z_{1} / \sigma Z_{n} \leq t_{1}, \cdots, \sigma Z_{n-1} / \sigma Z_{n} \leq t_{n-1} | \sigma)$$

$$= \Pr(Z_{1} / Z_{n} \leq t_{1}, \cdots, Z_{n-1} / Z_{n} \leq t_{n-1} | \sigma)$$

Because  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  does not depend on  $\sigma$ ,  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})$  is an ancillary statistic.

# Summary

### Today

- Minimal Sufficient Statistics
  - Recap from last lecture
  - Example from the textbook
- Ancillary Statistics
  - Definition
  - Examples
  - Location-scale family and parameters

# Summary

### Today

- Minimal Sufficient Statistics
  - Recap from last lecture
  - Example from the textbook
- Ancillary Statistics
  - Definition
  - Examples
  - Location-scale family and parameters

#### Next Lecture

Complete Statistics

