

Biostatistics 602 - Statistical Inference

Lecture 12

Cramer-Rao Theorem

Hyun Min Kang

February 19th, 2013

Last Lecture

- ① If you know MLE of θ , can you also know MLE of $\tau(\theta)$ for any function τ ?
- ② What are plausible ways to compare between different point estimators?
- ③ What is the *best unbiased estimator* or *uniformly unbiased minimum variance estimator (UMVUE)*?
- ④ What is the Cramer-Rao bound, and how can it be useful to find UMVUE?

Recap : Cramer-Rao inequality

Theorem 7.3.9 : Cramer-Rao Theorem

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a sample with joint pdf/pmf of $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$. Suppose $W(\mathbf{X})$ is an estimator satisfying

- ① $E[W(\mathbf{X})|\theta] = \tau(\theta), \forall \theta \in \Omega$.
- ② $\text{Var}[W(\mathbf{X})|\theta] < \infty$.

For $h(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ and $h(\mathbf{x}) = W(\mathbf{x})$, if the differentiation and integrations are interchangeable, i.e.

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} E[h(\mathbf{x})|\theta] = \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} h(\mathbf{x}) f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} h(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta) d\mathbf{x}$$

Then, a lower bound of $\text{Var}[W(\mathbf{X})|\theta]$ is

$$\text{Var}[W(\mathbf{X})|\theta] \geq \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{E\left[\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X}|\theta)\right\}^2 \middle| \theta\right]}$$

Recap : Cramer-Rao bound in iid case

Corollary 7.3.10

If X_1, \dots, X_n are iid samples from pdf/pmf $f_X(x|\theta)$, and the assumptions in the above Cramer-Rao theorem hold, then the lower-bound of $\text{Var}[W(\mathbf{X})|\theta]$ becomes

$$\text{Var}[W(\mathbf{X})|\theta] \geq \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{n E\left[\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_X(X|\theta)\right\}^2 \middle| \theta\right]}$$

Recap : Score Function

Definition: Score or Score Function for X

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_1, \dots, X_n &\stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} f_X(x|\theta) \\
 S(X|\theta) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_X(X|\theta) \\
 E[S(X|\theta)] &= 0 \\
 S_n(X|\theta) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X}|\theta)
 \end{aligned}$$

Recap : Fisher Information Number

Definition: Fisher Information Number

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(\theta) &= E \left[\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_X(X|\theta) \right\}^2 \right] = E[S^2(X|\theta)] \\
 I_n(\theta) &= E \left[\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X}|\theta) \right\}^2 \right] \\
 &= nE \left[\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_X(X|\theta) \right\}^2 \right] = nI(\theta)
 \end{aligned}$$

The bigger the information number, the more information we have about θ , the smaller bound on the variance of unbiased estimates.

Recap : Simplified Fisher Information

Lemma 7.3.11

If $f_X(x|\theta)$ satisfies the two interchangeability conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f_X(x|\theta) dx &= \int_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_X(x|\theta) dx \\
 \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_X(x|\theta) dx &= \int_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} f_X(x|\theta) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

which are true for exponential family, then

$$I(\theta) = E \left[\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_X(X|\theta) \right\}^2 \right] = -E \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f_X(X|\theta) \right]$$

Recap - Normal Distribution

$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where σ^2 is known.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(\mu) &= -E \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mu^2} \log f_X(X|\mu) \right] \\
 &= -E \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mu^2} \log \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left(-\frac{(X-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \right\} \right] \\
 &= -E \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mu^2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{(X-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \right] \\
 &= -E \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left\{ \frac{2(X-\mu)}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \right] = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

The Cramer-Rao bound for μ is $[nI(\mu)]^{-1} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \text{Var}(\bar{X})$. Therefore \bar{X} attains the Cramer-Rao bound and thus the best unbiased estimator for μ .

Example of Cramer-Rao lower bound attainment

Problem

$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$. Is \bar{X} the best unbiased estimator of p ? Does it attain the Cramer-Rao lower bound?

Solution

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\bar{X}) &= p \\
 \text{Var}(\bar{X}) &= \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}(X) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n} \\
 I(p) &= E \left[\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_X(X|\theta) \right\}^2 \middle| p \right] \\
 &= -E \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f_X(X|\theta) \middle| p \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Solution (cont'd)

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_X(x|\theta) &= p^x(1-p)^{1-x} \\
 \log f_X(x|\theta) &= x \log p + (1-x) \log(1-p) \\
 \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \log f_X(x|p) &= \frac{x}{p} - \frac{1-x}{1-p} \\
 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \log f_X(x|p) &= -\frac{x}{p^2} - \frac{1-x}{(1-p)^2} \\
 I(p) &= -E \left[-\frac{X}{p^2} - \frac{1-X}{(1-p)^2} \middle| p \right] \\
 &= \frac{p}{p^2} + \frac{1-p}{(1-p)^2} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{1-p} = \frac{1}{p(1-p)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the Cramer-Rao bound is $\frac{1}{nI(p)} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n} = \text{Var} \bar{X}$, and \bar{X} attains the Cramer-Rao lower bound, and it is the UMVUE.

Regularity condition for Cramer-Rao Theorem

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int_{x \in \mathcal{X}} h(\mathbf{x}) f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{x \in \mathcal{X}} h(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta) d\mathbf{x}$$

- This regularity condition holds for exponential family.
- How about non-exponential family, such as $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$?

Using Leibnitz's Rule

Leibnitz's Rule

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int_{a(\theta)}^{b(\theta)} f(x|\theta) dx = f(b(\theta)|\theta) b'(\theta) - f(a(\theta)|\theta) a'(\theta) + \int_{a(\theta)}^{b(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x|\theta) dx$$

Applying to Uniform Distribution

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_X(x|\theta) &= 1/\theta \\
 \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_0^\theta h(x) \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) dx &= \frac{h(\theta)}{\theta} \frac{d\theta}{d\theta} - h(0) f_X(0|\theta) \frac{d0}{d\theta} + \int_0^\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} h(x) \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) dx \\
 &\neq \int_0^\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} h(x) \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

The interchangeability condition is not satisfied.

Solving the Uniform Distribution Example

If $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$, the unbiased estimator of θ is

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{n+1}{n} X_{(n)}$$

$$E \left[\frac{n+1}{n} X_{(n)} \right] = \theta$$

$$\text{Var} \left[\frac{n+1}{n} X_{(n)} \right] = \frac{1}{n(n+2)} \theta^2 < \frac{\theta^2}{n}$$

The Cramer-Rao lower bound (if interchangeability condition was met) is $\frac{1}{nI(\theta)} = \frac{\theta^2}{n}$.

Proof of Corollary 7.3.15

We used Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to prove that

$$\left[\text{Cov} \left\{ W(\mathbf{X}), \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X}|\theta) \right\} \right]^2 \leq \text{Var}[W(\mathbf{X})] \text{Var} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X}|\theta) \right]$$

In Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the equality satisfies if and only if there is a linear relationship between the two variables, that is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = a(\theta) W(\mathbf{x}) + b(\theta)$$

When is the Cramer-Rao Lower Bound Attainable?

It is possible that the value of Cramer-Rao bound may be strictly smaller than the variance of any unbiased estimator

Corollary 7.3.15 : Attainment of Cramer-Rao Bound

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid with pdf/pmf $f_X(x|\theta)$, where $f_X(x|\theta)$ satisfies the assumptions of the Cramer-Rao Theorem. Let $L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_X(x_i|\theta)$ denote the likelihood function. If $W(\mathbf{X})$ is unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$, then $W(\mathbf{X})$ attains the Cramer-Rao lower bound if and only if

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = S_n(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = a(\theta)[W(\mathbf{X}) - \tau(\theta)]$$

for some function $a(\theta)$.

Proof of Corollary 7.3.15 (cont'd)

$$E \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X}|\theta) \right] = E[S_n(\mathbf{X}|\theta)] = 0$$

$$E[a(\theta) W(\mathbf{X}) + b(\theta)] = 0$$

$$a(\theta) E[W(\mathbf{X})] + b(\theta) = 0$$

$$a(\theta) \tau(\theta) + b(\theta) = 0$$

$$b(\theta) = -a(\theta) \tau(\theta)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = a(\theta) W(\mathbf{x}) - a(\theta) \tau(\theta) = a(\theta) [W(\mathbf{x}) - \tau(\theta)]$$

Revisiting the Bernoulli Example

Problem

$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$. Is \bar{X} the best unbiased estimator of p ? Does it attain the Cramer-Rao lower bound?

Method Using Corollary 7.3.15

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(p|\mathbf{x}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n p^{x_i}(1-p)^{1-x_i} \\
 \log L(p|\mathbf{x}) &= \log \prod_{i=1}^n p^{x_i}(1-p)^{1-x_i} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log[p^{x_i}(1-p)^{1-x_i}] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n [x_i \log p + (1-x_i) \log(1-p)] \\
 &= \log p \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \log(1-p)(n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)
 \end{aligned}$$

Method Using Corollary 7.3.15 (cont'd)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \log L(p|\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{p} + \frac{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{1-p} \\
 &= \frac{n\bar{x}}{p} + \frac{n(1-\bar{x})}{1-p} \\
 &= \frac{(1-p)n\bar{x} - np(1-\bar{x})}{p(1-p)} \\
 &= \frac{n(\bar{x} - p)}{p(1-p)} \\
 &= a(p)[W(\mathbf{x}) - \tau(p)]
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a(p) = \frac{n}{p(1-p)}$, $W(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{x}$, $\tau(p) = p$. Therefore, \bar{X} is the best unbiased estimator for p and attains the Cramer-Rao lower bound.

Normal distribution example

Problem

$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Consider estimating σ^2 , assuming μ is known. Is Cramer-Rao bound attainable?

Solution

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(\sigma^2) &= -E \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2} \log f_X(X|\mu, \sigma) | p \right] \\
 f(x|\mu, \sigma^2) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp \left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \\
 \log f(x|\mu, \sigma^2) &= -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \\
 \frac{\partial}{\partial (\sigma^2)} \log f(x|\mu, \sigma^2) &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2(\sigma^2)^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Solution (cont'd)

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial(\sigma^2)^2} \log f(x|\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^2} - \frac{2(x-\mu)^2}{2(\sigma^2)^3}$$

$$I(\sigma^2) = -E \left[\frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{2(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^6} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^4} + \frac{1}{\sigma^6} E[(x-\mu)^2] = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^4} + \frac{1}{\sigma^6} \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2\sigma^4}$$

Cramer-Rao lower bound is $\frac{1}{nI(\sigma^2)} = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$. The unbiased estimator of $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$, gives

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1} > \frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$$

So, $\hat{\sigma}^2$ does not attain the Cramer-Rao lower-bound.

Is Cramer-Rao lower-bound for σ^2 attainable?

$$L(\sigma^2|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left[-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right]$$

$$\log L(\sigma^2|\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\sigma^2|\mathbf{x})}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2} \frac{2\pi}{2\pi\sigma^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2(\sigma^2)^2}$$

$$= -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^4}$$

$$= \frac{n}{2\sigma^4} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}{n} - \sigma^2 \right)$$

$$= a(\sigma^2)(W(\mathbf{x}) - \sigma^2)$$

Is Cramer-Rao lower-bound for σ^2 attainable? (cont'd)

Therefore,

- 1 If μ is known, the best unbiased estimator for σ^2 is $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2/n$, and it attains the Cramer-Rao lower bound, i.e.

$$\text{Var} \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2}{n} \right] = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$$

- 2 If μ is not known, the Cramer-Rao lower-bound cannot be attained.

At this point, we do not know if $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ is the best unbiased estimator for σ^2 or not.

Fact for one-parameter exponential family

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid from the one parameter exponential family with pdf/pmf $f_X(x|\theta) = c(\theta)h(x) \exp[w(\theta)t(x)]$. Assume that $E[t(X)] = \tau(\theta)$. Then $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t(x_i)$, which is an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, attains the Cramer-Rao lower-bound. That is,

$$\text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t(X_i) \right) = \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{I_n(\theta)}$$

Proof

$$E \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t(X_i) \right] = E[t(X_1)] = \dots = E[t(X_n)] = \tau(\theta)$$

So, $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t(x_i)$ is an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \log L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_X(x_i|\theta) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n [\log c(\theta) + \log h(x) + w(\theta)t(x_i)] \end{aligned}$$

Proof (cont'd)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta|\mathbf{x})}{\partial \theta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{c'(\theta)}{c(\theta)} + 0 + w'(\theta)t(x_i) \right] \\ &= nw'(\theta) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t(x_i) - \left\{ -\frac{c'(\theta)}{c(\theta)w'(\theta)} \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t(x_i)$ is the best unbiased estimator of $-\frac{c'(\theta)}{c(\theta)w'(\theta)}$, and it attains the Cramer-Rao lower bound. Because $E \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \right] = 0$, $\tau(\theta) = -\frac{c'(\theta)}{c(\theta)w'(\theta)}$.

Summary

Today : Cramero-Rao Theorem

- Recap of Cramer-Rao Theorem and Corollary
- Examples with Simple Distributions
- Regularity Condition
- Exponential Family

Next Lecture

- Rao-Blackwell Theorem