Hyun Min Kang

February 28th, 2013



• For single-parameter exponential family, is Cramer-Rao bound always attainable?

- For single-parameter exponential family, is Cramer-Rao bound always attainable?
- How about exponential family with two or more parameters?

- For single-parameter exponential family, is Cramer-Rao bound always attainable?
- How about exponential family with two or more parameters?
- For any statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$ , does  $\phi(T)$  always result in a better unbiased estimator than W? Why?

- For single-parameter exponential family, is Cramer-Rao bound always attainable?
- How about exponential family with two or more parameters?
- For any statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$ , does  $\phi(T)$  always result in a better unbiased estimator than W? Why?
- What is the Rao-Blackwell Theorem?

- For single-parameter exponential family, is Cramer-Rao bound always attainable?
- How about exponential family with two or more parameters?
- For any statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$ , does  $\phi(T)$  always result in a better unbiased estimator than W? Why?
- What is the Rao-Blackwell Theorem?
- Is the best unbiased estimator (UMVUE) for  $\tau(\theta)$  is unique?

- For single-parameter exponential family, is Cramer-Rao bound always attainable?
- How about exponential family with two or more parameters?
- For any statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$ , does  $\phi(T)$  always result in a better unbiased estimator than W? Why?
- What is the Rao-Blackwell Theorem?
- Is the best unbiased estimator (UMVUE) for  $\tau(\theta)$  is unique?
- What is the relationship between the UMVUE and the unbiased estimators of zero?

#### Rao-Blackwell Theorem

#### Theorem 7.3.17

Recap 000

> Let  $W(\mathbf{X})$  be any unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ , and T be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . Define  $\phi(T) = E[W|T]$ . Then the followings hold.

- $\bullet E[\phi(T)|\theta] = \tau(\theta)$
- 2  $\operatorname{Var}[\phi(T)|\theta] \leq \operatorname{Var}(W|\theta)$  for all  $\theta$ .

That is,  $\phi(T)$  is a uniformly better unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ .

### Related Theorems

#### Theorem 7.3.19 - Uniqueness of UMVUE

If W is a best unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ , then W is unique.

#### Related Theorems

#### Theorem 7.3.19 - Uniqueness of UMVUE

If W is a best unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ , then W is unique.

#### Theorem 7.3.20 - UMVUE and unbiased estimators of zero

If  $E[W(\mathbf{X})] = \tau(\theta)$ . W is the best unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  if an only if W is uncorrelated with all unbiased estimator of 0.

# The power of complete sufficient statistics

#### Theorem 7.3.23

Let T be a complete sufficient statistic for parameter  $\theta$ . Let  $\phi(T)$  be any estimator based on T. Then  $\phi(T)$  is the unique best unbiased estimator of its expected value.



UMVUE 00000

From Rao-Blackwell Theorem, we can always improve an unbiased estimator by conditioning it on a sufficient statistics.

From Rao-Blackwell Theorem, we can always improve an unbiased estimator by conditioning it on a sufficient statistics.

•  $W(\mathbf{X})$  : unbiased for  $\tau(\theta)$ .

From Rao-Blackwell Theorem, we can always improve an unbiased estimator by conditioning it on a sufficient statistics.

- $W(\mathbf{X})$ : unbiased for  $\tau(\theta)$ .
- $T^*(\mathbf{X})$  : sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

From Rao-Blackwell Theorem, we can always improve an unbiased estimator by conditioning it on a sufficient statistics.

- $W(\mathbf{X})$ : unbiased for  $\tau(\theta)$ .
- T\*(X): sufficient statistic for θ.
- $\phi(T) = E[W(\mathbf{X})|T(\mathbf{X})]$  is a better unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ .

In fact, we only need to consider functions of minimal sufficient statistics to find the best unbiased estimator.



In fact, we only need to consider functions of minimal sufficient statistics to find the best unbiased estimator.

Let  $T(\mathbf{X})$  be a minimal sufficient, and  $T^*(\mathbf{X})$  be a sufficient statistic. Then by definition, there exists a function h that satisfies  $T = h(T^*)$ .

In fact, we only need to consider functions of minimal sufficient statistics to find the best unbiased estimator.

Let  $T(\mathbf{X})$  be a minimal sufficient, and  $T^*(\mathbf{X})$  be a sufficient statistic. Then by definition, there exists a function h that satisfies  $T = h(T^*)$ .

$$E[\phi(T)|T^*] = E[\phi\{h(T^*)\}|T^*] = \phi\{h(T^*)\} = \phi(T)$$

In fact, we only need to consider functions of minimal sufficient statistics to find the best unbiased estimator.

Let  $T(\mathbf{X})$  be a minimal sufficient, and  $T^*(\mathbf{X})$  be a sufficient statistic. Then by definition, there exists a function h that satisfies  $T = h(T^*)$ .

$$E[\phi(T)|T^*] = E[\phi\{h(T^*)\}|T^*] = \phi\{h(T^*)\} = \phi(T)$$

Therefore  $\phi(T)$  remains the same after conditioning on any sufficient statistic  $T^*$ .

Complete sufficient statistics is a very useful ingredient to obtain a UMVUE.

•  $\phi(T)$  is an unbiased estimator for  $E[\phi(T)] = \tau(\theta)$ .

- $\phi(T)$  is an unbiased estimator for  $E[\phi(T)] = \tau(\theta)$ .
- By Theorem 7.3.20,  $\phi(T)$  is the best unbiased estimator if and only if  $\phi(T)$  if and only of  $\phi(T)$  is uncorrelated with U(T), which is any unbiased esimator of 0.

- $\phi(T)$  is an unbiased estimator for  $E[\phi(T)] = \tau(\theta)$ .
- By Theorem 7.3.20,  $\phi(T)$  is the best unbiased estimator if and only if  $\phi(T)$  if and only of  $\phi(T)$  is uncorrelated with U(T), which is any unbiased esimator of 0.
- By definition, T is complete is E[U(T)] = 0 for all  $\theta$  implies U(T) = 0 almost surely.

- $\phi(T)$  is an unbiased estimator for  $E[\phi(T)] = \tau(\theta)$ .
- By Theorem 7.3.20,  $\phi(T)$  is the best unbiased estimator if and only if  $\phi(T)$  if and only of  $\phi(T)$  is uncorrelated with U(T), which is any unbiased esimator of 0.
- By definition, T is complete is  $E[\mathit{U}(\mathit{T})] = 0$  for all  $\theta$  implies  $\mathit{U}(\mathit{T}) = 0$  almost surely.
- Suppose that T is a complete statistic, then  $\mathit{U}(\mathit{T})$  can only be zero almost surely.

- $\phi(T)$  is an unbiased estimator for  $E[\phi(T)] = \tau(\theta)$ .
- By Theorem 7.3.20,  $\phi(T)$  is the best unbiased estimator if and only if  $\phi(T)$  if and only of  $\phi(T)$  is uncorrelated with U(T), which is any unbiased esimator of 0.
- By definition, T is complete is E[U(T)] = 0 for all  $\theta$  implies U(T) = 0 almost surely.
- Suppose that T is a complete statistic, then U(T) can only be zero almost surely.
- Therefore,  $Cov(\phi(T), U(T)) = Cov(\phi(T), 0) = 0$ , and  $\phi(T)$  is the best unbiased estimator of its expected value (Theorem 7.3.23).

Use complete sufficient statistic to find the best unbiased estimator for  $\tau(\theta)$ .



# Summary of Method 2 for obtaining UMVUE

Use complete sufficient statistic to find the best unbiased estimator for  $\tau(\theta)$ .

**1** Find complete sufficient statistic T for  $\theta$ .



# Summary of Method 2 for obtaining UMVUE

Use complete sufficient statistic to find the best unbiased estimator for  $\tau(\theta)$ .

- **1** Find complete sufficient statistic T for  $\theta$ .
- 2 Obtain  $\phi(T)$ , an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  using either of the following two ways

# Summary of Method 2 for obtaining UMVUE

Use complete sufficient statistic to find the best unbiased estimator for  $\tau(\theta)$ .

- Find complete sufficient statistic T for  $\theta$ .
- 2 Obtain  $\phi(T)$ , an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  using either of the following two ways
  - Guess a function  $\phi(T)$  such that  $E[\phi(T)] = \tau(\theta)$ .



Use complete sufficient statistic to find the best unbiased estimator for  $\tau(\theta)$ .

Examples

- **1** Find complete sufficient statistic T for  $\theta$ .
- 2 Obtain  $\phi(T)$ , an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  using either of the following two ways
  - Guess a function  $\phi(T)$  such that  $E[\phi(T)] = \tau(\theta)$ .
  - Guess an unbiased estimator  $h(\mathbf{X})$  of  $\tau(\theta)$ . Construct  $\phi(T) = E[h(\mathbf{X})|T]$ , then  $E[\phi(T)] = E[h(\mathbf{X})] = \tau(\theta)$ .



#### **Problem**

 $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Find the best unbiased estimator for (1)  $\mu$ , (2)  $\sigma^2$ , (3)  $\mu^2$ .

Examples
•000000000000

Examples •000000000000

### Example - Normal Distribution

#### **Problem**

 $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Find the best unbiased estimator for (1)  $\mu$ , (2)  $\sigma^2$ , (3)  $\mu^2$ .

#### Solution

• First, we need to find a complete and sufficient statistic for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

### **Example - Normal Distribution**

#### **Problem**

 $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Find the best unbiased estimator for (1)  $\mu$ , (2)  $\sigma^2$ , (3)  $\mu^2$ .

Examples

•0000000000000

#### Solution

- First, we need to find a complete and sufficient statistic for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .
- We know that  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X}) = (\overline{X}, s_{\mathbf{X}}^2)$  is complete, sufficient statistic for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

### **Example - Normal Distribution**

#### **Problem**

 $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Find the best unbiased estimator for (1)  $\mu$ , (2)  $\sigma^2$ , (3)  $\mu^2$ .

Examples

#### Solution

- First, we need to find a complete and sufficient statistic for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .
- We know that  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})=(\overline{X},s_{\mathbf{X}}^2)$  is complete, sufficient statistic for  $(\mu,\sigma^2).$
- Because  $E[\overline{X}] = \mu$ ,  $\overline{X}$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\mu$ ,  $\overline{X}$  is also a function of  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})$ .

# Example - Normal Distribution

#### **Problem**

 $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Find the best unbiased estimator for (1)  $\mu$ , (2)  $\sigma^2$ , (3)  $\mu^2$ .

#### Solution

- First, we need to find a complete and sufficient statistic for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .
- We know that  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})=(\overline{X},s_{\mathbf{X}}^2)$  is complete, sufficient statistic for  $(\mu,\sigma^2).$
- Because  $E[\overline{X}] = \mu$ ,  $\overline{X}$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\mu$ ,  $\overline{X}$  is also a function of  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X})$ .
- Therefore,  $\overline{X}$  is the best unbiased estimator for  $\mu$ .



# Example - Normal Distribution (cont'd)

• 
$$E(s_{\mathbf{X}}^2) = \sigma^2$$

Examples 0000000000

- $E(s_{\mathbf{X}}^2) = \sigma^2$
- $s_{\mathbf{Y}}^2$  is a function of **T**

Examples

# Example - Normal Distribution (cont'd)

- $E(s_{\mathbf{Y}}^2) = \sigma^2$
- $s_{\mathbf{x}}^2$  is a function of **T**
- Therefore  $s_{\mathbf{X}}^2$  is the best unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .

To obtain UMVUE for  $\mu^2$ , we need a  $\phi(\mathbf{T}) = \phi(\overline{X}, s_{\mathbf{X}}^2)$  such that  $E[\phi(T)] = \mu^2$ .

To obtain UMVUE for  $\mu^2$ , we need a  $\phi(\mathbf{T}) = \phi(\overline{X}, s_{\mathbf{X}}^2)$  such that  $E[\phi(T)] = \mu^2$ .

$$E(\overline{X}) = \mu$$

To obtain UMVUE for  $\mu^2$ , we need a  $\phi(\mathbf{T}) = \phi(\overline{X}, s_{\mathbf{X}}^2)$  such that  $E[\phi(T)] = \mu^2$ .

$$E(X) = \mu$$
  
 $E((\overline{X})^2) = \operatorname{Var}(\overline{X}) + E(\overline{X}^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2$ 

To obtain UMVUE for  $\mu^2$ , we need a  $\phi(\mathbf{T})=\phi(\overline{X},s_{\mathbf{X}}^2)$  such that  $E[\phi(T)]=\mu^2.$ 

$$E(\overline{X}) = \mu$$

$$E((\overline{X})^2) = \operatorname{Var}(\overline{X}) + E(\overline{X}^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2$$

$$E(\overline{X}^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n}) = \mu^2$$

To obtain UMVUE for  $\mu^2$ , we need a  $\phi(\mathbf{T})=\phi(\overline{X},s_{\mathbf{X}}^2)$  such that  $E[\phi(T)]=\mu^2.$ 

$$\begin{split} E(\overline{X}) &= \mu \\ E((\overline{X})^2) &= \operatorname{Var}(\overline{X}) + E(\overline{X}^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2 \\ E\left(\overline{X}^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right) &= \mu^2 \\ E\left(\overline{X}^2 - \frac{s_{\mathbf{X}}^2}{n}\right) &= \mu^2 \end{split}$$

To obtain UMVUE for  $\mu^2$ , we need a  $\phi(\mathbf{T})=\phi(\overline{X},s_{\mathbf{X}}^2)$  such that  $E[\phi(T)]=\mu^2.$ 

$$\begin{split} E(\overline{X}) &= \mu \\ E((\overline{X})^2) &= \operatorname{Var}(\overline{X}) + E(\overline{X}^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2 \\ E\left(\overline{X}^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right) &= \mu^2 \\ E\left(\overline{X}^2 - \frac{s_{\mathbf{X}}^2}{n}\right) &= \mu^2 \end{split}$$

•  $\overline{X}^2 - s_{\mathbf{X}}^2/n$  is unbiased estimator for  $\mu^2$ 

To obtain UMVUE for  $\mu^2$ , we need a  $\phi(\mathbf{T})=\phi(\overline{X},s_{\mathbf{X}}^2)$  such that  $E[\phi(T)]=\mu^2.$ 

$$\begin{split} E(\overline{X}) &= \mu \\ E((\overline{X})^2) &= \operatorname{Var}(\overline{X}) + E(\overline{X}^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2 \\ E\left(\overline{X}^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right) &= \mu^2 \\ E\left(\overline{X}^2 - \frac{s_{\mathbf{X}}^2}{n}\right) &= \mu^2 \end{split}$$

- $\overline{X}^2 s_{\mathbf{X}}^2/n$  is unbiased estimator for  $\mu^2$
- And it is a function of  $(\overline{X}, s_{\mathbf{X}}^2)$ .



To obtain UMVUE for  $\mu^2$ , we need a  $\phi(\mathbf{T}) = \phi(\overline{X}, s_{\mathbf{X}}^2)$  such that  $E[\phi(T)] = \mu^2$ .

$$\begin{split} E(\overline{X}) &= \mu \\ E((\overline{X})^2) &= \operatorname{Var}(\overline{X}) + E(\overline{X}^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2 \\ E\left(\overline{X}^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right) &= \mu^2 \\ E\left(\overline{X}^2 - \frac{s_{\mathbf{X}}^2}{n}\right) &= \mu^2 \end{split}$$

- $\overline{X}^2 s_{\mathbf{Y}}^2/n$  is unbiased estimator for  $\mu^2$
- And it is a function of  $(\overline{X}, s_{\mathbf{Y}}^2)$ .
- Hence,  $\overline{X}^2 s_{\mathbf{Y}}^2/n$  is the best unbiased estimator for  $\mu^2$ .

$$X_1X_2$$
 is unbiased for  $\mu^2$  because  $E[X_1X_2]=E(X_1)E(X_2)=\mu^2$ .

$$X_1X_2$$
 is unbiased for  $\mu^2$  because  $E[X_1X_2]=E(X_1)E(X_2)=\mu^2$ .

$$\phi(T) = E[X_1 X_2 | \mathbf{T}] = \frac{\sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)}$$

Examples

 $X_1X_2$  is unbiased for  $\mu^2$  because  $E[X_1X_2]=E(X_1)E(X_2)=\mu^2$ .

$$\phi(T) = E[X_1 X_2 | \mathbf{T}] = \frac{\sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2 | \mathbf{T}] + \sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j | \mathbf{T}] - \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2 | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)}$$

Examples

 $X_1X_2$  is unbiased for  $\mu^2$  because  $E[X_1X_2]=E(X_1)E(X_2)=\mu^2$ .

$$\phi(T) = E[X_1 X_2 | \mathbf{T}] = \frac{\sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2 | \mathbf{T}] + \sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j | \mathbf{T}] - \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2 | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{E[(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i)^2 | \mathbf{T}] - E[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)}$$

Examples 000000000000

 $X_1X_2$  is unbiased for  $\mu^2$  because  $E[X_1X_2] = E(X_1)E(X_2) = \mu^2$ .

$$\phi(T) = E[X_1 X_2 | \mathbf{T}] = \frac{\sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2 | \mathbf{T}] + \sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j | \mathbf{T}] - \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2 | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{E[(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i)^2 | \mathbf{T}] - E[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{E[(n\overline{X})^2 - (n-1)s_{\mathbf{X}}^2 - n\overline{X}^2 | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)}$$

Examples

 $X_1X_2$  is unbiased for  $\mu^2$  because  $E[X_1X_2]=E(X_1)E(X_2)=\mu^2$ .

$$\phi(T) = E[X_1 X_2 | \mathbf{T}] = \frac{\sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2 | \mathbf{T}] + \sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j | \mathbf{T}] - \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2 | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{E[(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i)^2 | \mathbf{T}] - E[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{E[(n\overline{X})^2 - (n-1)s_{\mathbf{X}}^2 - n\overline{X}^2 | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)} = \frac{n(n-1)\overline{X}^2 - (n-1)s_{\mathbf{X}}^2}{n(n-1)}$$

Examples

 $X_1X_2$  is unbiased for  $\mu^2$  because  $E[X_1X_2]=E(X_1)E(X_2)=\mu^2$ .

$$\phi(T) = E[X_1 X_2 | \mathbf{T}] = \frac{\sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2 | \mathbf{T}] + \sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j | \mathbf{T}] - \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2 | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{E[(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i)^2 | \mathbf{T}] - E[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{E[(n\overline{X})^2 - (n-1)s_{\mathbf{X}}^2 - n\overline{X}^2 | \mathbf{T}]}{n(n-1)} = \frac{n(n-1)\overline{X}^2 - (n-1)s_{\mathbf{X}}^2}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \overline{X}^2 - s_{\mathbf{X}}^2 / n$$

#### Problem

 $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$ . Find the best unbiased estimator for (1)  $\theta$ , (2)  $g(\theta)$  differentiable on  $(0, \theta)$  (3)  $\theta^2$ , (4)  $1/\theta$ .

#### **Problem**

 $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$ . Find the best unbiased estimator for (1)  $\theta$ , (2)  $q(\theta)$  differentiable on  $(0,\theta)$  (3)  $\theta^2$ , (4)  $1/\theta$ .

#### Solution - MVUE of $\theta$

•  $T(\mathbf{X}) = X_{(n)}$  is a complete and sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

#### **Problem**

 $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$ . Find the best unbiased estimator for (1)  $\theta$ , (2)  $q(\theta)$  differentiable on  $(0,\theta)$  (3)  $\theta^2$ , (4)  $1/\theta$ .

Examples 0000000000000

#### Solution - MVUE of $\theta$

- T(X) = X<sub>(n)</sub> is a complete and sufficient statistic for θ.
- $f_T(t) = n\theta^{-n}t^{n-1}I(0 < t\theta).$

#### **Problem**

 $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \operatorname{Uniform}(0, \theta)$ . Find the best unbiased estimator for (1)  $\theta$ , (2)  $g(\theta)$  differentiable on  $(0, \theta)$  (3)  $\theta^2$ , (4)  $1/\theta$ .

Examples

#### Solution - MVUE of $\theta$

- $T(\mathbf{X}) = X_{(n)}$  is a complete and sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .
- $f_T(t) = n\theta^{-n}t^{n-1}I(0 < t\theta).$
- $E[T] = E[X_{(n)}] = \int_0^\infty t n \theta^{-n} t^{n-1} dt = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta$  (biased)

#### **Problem**

 $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$ . Find the best unbiased estimator for (1)  $\theta$ , (2)  $q(\theta)$  differentiable on  $(0,\theta)$  (3)  $\theta^2$ , (4)  $1/\theta$ .

Examples

#### Solution - MVUE of $\theta$

- $T(\mathbf{X}) = X_{(n)}$  is a complete and sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .
- $f_T(t) = n\theta^{-n}t^{n-1}I(0 < t\theta)$ .
- $E[T] = E[X_{(n)}] = \int_0^\infty t n \theta^{-n} t^{n-1} dt = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta$  (biased)
- $E[\phi(T)] = E\left[\frac{n+1}{n}X_{(n)}\right] = \theta.$

#### **Problem**

 $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$ . Find the best unbiased estimator for (1)  $\theta$ , (2)  $q(\theta)$  differentiable on  $(0,\theta)$  (3)  $\theta^2$ , (4)  $1/\theta$ .

Examples 0000000000000

#### Solution - MVUE of $\theta$

- $T(\mathbf{X}) = X_{(n)}$  is a complete and sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .
- $f_T(t) = n\theta^{-n}t^{n-1}I(0 < t\theta).$
- $E[T] = E[X_{(n)}] = \int_0^\infty t n \theta^{-n} t^{n-1} dt = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta$  (biased)
- $E[\phi(T)] = E\left[\frac{n+1}{n}X_{(n)}\right] = \theta.$

 $\frac{n+1}{n}X_{(n)}$  is the best unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ .



We need to find a function of  $\phi(T) = X_{(n)}$  such that  $E[\phi(T)] = g(\theta)$ .

$$g(\theta) = E[\phi(T)] = \int_0^{\theta} \phi(t) n\theta^{-n} t^{n-1} dt$$

We need to find a function of  $\phi(T) = X_{(n)}$  such that  $E[\phi(T)] = g(\theta)$ .

$$g(\theta) = E[\phi(T)] = \int_0^{\theta} \phi(t) n\theta^{-n} t^{n-1} dt$$

Taking derivative with respect to heta, and applying Leibnitz's rule.

$$g'(\theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_0^{\theta} \phi(t) n\theta^{-n} t^{n-1} dt$$

We need to find a function of  $\phi(T) = X_{(n)}$  such that  $E[\phi(T)] = g(\theta)$ .

$$g(\theta) = E[\phi(T)] = \int_0^{\theta} \phi(t) n\theta^{-n} t^{n-1} dt$$

Taking derivative with respect to  $\theta$ , and applying Leibnitz's rule.

$$g'(\theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_0^{\theta} \phi(t) n\theta^{-n} t^{n-1} dt$$
$$= \phi(\theta) n\theta^{-n} \theta^{n-1} + \int_0^{\theta} \phi(t) t^{n-1} n \frac{d}{d\theta} \theta^{-n} dt$$

# Example - Uniform Distribution - for $g(\theta)$

We need to find a function of  $\phi(T) = X_{(n)}$  such that  $E[\phi(T)] = g(\theta)$ .

$$g(\theta) = E[\phi(T)] = \int_0^{\theta} \phi(t) n\theta^{-n} t^{n-1} dt$$

Taking derivative with respect to  $\theta$ , and applying Leibnitz's rule.

$$g'(\theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_0^{\theta} \phi(t) n\theta^{-n} t^{n-1} dt$$

$$= \phi(\theta) n\theta^{-n} \theta^{n-1} + \int_0^{\theta} \phi(t) t^{n-1} n \frac{d}{d\theta} \theta^{-n} dt$$

$$= \phi(\theta) n\theta^{-1} + \int_0^{\theta} \phi(t) t^{n-1} n(-n) \theta^{-n-1} dt$$

# Example - Uniform Distribution - for $g(\theta)$

We need to find a function of  $\phi(T) = X_{(n)}$  such that  $E[\phi(T)] = g(\theta)$ .

$$g(\theta) = E[\phi(T)] = \int_0^{\theta} \phi(t) n\theta^{-n} t^{n-1} dt$$

Taking derivative with respect to  $\theta$ , and applying Leibnitz's rule.

$$g'(\theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_0^\theta \phi(t) n\theta^{-n} t^{n-1} dt$$

$$= \phi(\theta) n\theta^{-n} \theta^{n-1} + \int_0^\theta \phi(t) t^{n-1} n \frac{d}{d\theta} \theta^{-n} dt$$

$$= \phi(\theta) n\theta^{-1} + \int_0^\theta \phi(t) t^{n-1} n(-n) \theta^{-n-1} dt$$

$$= \phi(\theta) n\theta^{-1} - n\theta^{-1} \int_0^\theta \phi(t) n t^{n-1} \theta^{-n} dt$$

$$g'(\theta) = \phi(\theta)n\theta^{-1} - n\theta^{-1}\int_0^\theta \phi(t)nt^{n-1}\theta^{-n}dt$$

Examples 0000000000000

16 / 23

$$g'(\theta) = \phi(\theta)n\theta^{-1} - n\theta^{-1} \int_0^{\theta} \phi(t)nt^{n-1}\theta^{-n}dt$$
$$= \phi(\theta)n\theta^{-1} - n\theta^{-1}E[\phi(T)]$$

Examples

16 / 23

# Example - Uniform Distribution - for $g(\theta)$ (cont'd)

$$g'(\theta) = \phi(\theta)n\theta^{-1} - n\theta^{-1} \int_0^{\theta} \phi(t)nt^{n-1}\theta^{-n}dt$$
$$= \phi(\theta)n\theta^{-1} - n\theta^{-1}E[\phi(T)]$$
$$= \phi(\theta)n\theta^{-1} - n\theta^{-1}g(\theta)$$

# Example - Uniform Distribution - for $q(\theta)$ (cont'd)

$$g'(\theta) = \phi(\theta)n\theta^{-1} - n\theta^{-1} \int_0^{\theta} \phi(t)nt^{n-1}\theta^{-n}dt$$

$$= \phi(\theta)n\theta^{-1} - n\theta^{-1}E[\phi(T)]$$

$$= \phi(\theta)n\theta^{-1} - n\theta^{-1}g(\theta)$$

$$\phi(\theta) = \frac{g'(\theta) + n\theta^{-1}g(\theta)}{n\theta^{-1}}$$

Therefore, the best unbiased estimator of  $g(\theta)$  is

$$\phi(T) = \frac{g'(T) + nT^{-1}g(T)}{nT^{-1}}$$



$$g'(\theta) = \phi(\theta)n\theta^{-1} - n\theta^{-1} \int_0^{\theta} \phi(t)nt^{n-1}\theta^{-n}dt$$

$$= \phi(\theta)n\theta^{-1} - n\theta^{-1}E[\phi(T)]$$

$$= \phi(\theta)n\theta^{-1} - n\theta^{-1}g(\theta)$$

$$\phi(\theta) = \frac{g'(\theta) + n\theta^{-1}g(\theta)}{n\theta^{-1}}$$

Therefore, the best unbiased estimator of  $g(\theta)$  is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \phi(\mathit{T}) & = & \frac{g'(\mathit{T}) + n\mathit{T}^{-1}\mathit{g}(\mathit{T})}{n\mathit{T}^{-1}} \\ \phi(\mathit{X}_{(n)}) & = & \frac{g'(\mathit{X}_{(n)}) + n\mathit{X}_{(n)}^{-1}\mathit{g}(\mathit{X}_{(n)})}{n\mathit{X}_{(n)}^{-1}} \end{array}$$



## Example - Uniform Distribution - for $q(\theta)$ (cont'd)

$$g'(\theta) = \phi(\theta)n\theta^{-1} - n\theta^{-1} \int_0^{\theta} \phi(t)nt^{n-1}\theta^{-n}dt$$

$$= \phi(\theta)n\theta^{-1} - n\theta^{-1}E[\phi(T)]$$

$$= \phi(\theta)n\theta^{-1} - n\theta^{-1}g(\theta)$$

$$\phi(\theta) = \frac{g'(\theta) + n\theta^{-1}g(\theta)}{n\theta^{-1}}$$

Examples 000000000000

Therefore, the best unbiased estimator of  $g(\theta)$  is

$$\phi(T) = \frac{g'(T) + nT^{-1}g(T)}{nT^{-1}}$$

$$\phi(X_{(n)}) = \frac{g'(X_{(n)}) + nX_{(n)}^{-1}g(X_{(n)})}{nX_{(n)}^{-1}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}X_{(n)}g'(X_{(n)}) + g(X_{(n)})$$

Hyun Min Kang

$$g(\theta) = \theta^2$$
, and  $g'(\theta) = 2\theta$ .

# Example - Uniform Distribution - for $\theta^2$

$$g(\theta) = \theta^2$$
, and  $g'(\theta) = 2\theta$ .

$$\phi(X_{(n)}) = \frac{1}{n} X_{(n)} \cdot 2X_{(n)} + X_{(n)}^2$$

# Example - Uniform Distribution - for $\theta^2$

$$g(\theta) = \theta^2$$
, and  $g'(\theta) = 2\theta$ .

$$\phi(X_{(n)}) = \frac{1}{n} X_{(n)} \cdot 2X_{(n)} + X_{(n)}^{2}$$
$$= \frac{n+2}{n} X_{(n)}^{2}$$

# Example - Uniform Distribution - for $1/\theta$

$$g(\theta) = 1/\theta$$
, and  $g'(\theta) = -1/\theta^2$ .

# Example - Uniform Distribution - for $1/\theta$

$$g(\theta) = 1/\theta$$
, and  $g'(\theta) = -1/\theta^2$ .

$$\phi(X_{(n)}) = \frac{1}{n}X_{(n)} \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{X_{(n)}^2}\right) + \frac{1}{X_{(n)}}$$

18 / 23

$$g(\theta) = 1/\theta$$
, and  $g'(\theta) = -1/\theta^2$ .

$$\phi(X_{(n)}) = \frac{1}{n} X_{(n)} \cdot \left( -\frac{1}{X_{(n)}^2} \right) + \frac{1}{X_{(n)}}$$
$$= \frac{n-1}{nX_{(n)}}$$

#### **Problem**

 $X_1, \cdots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \operatorname{Binomial}(k, \theta)$ . Estimate the probability of exactly one success.

#### **Problem**

 $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Binomial}(k, \theta)$ . Estimate the probability of exactly one success.

Examples 000000000000

#### Solution

The quantity we need to estimate is

$$\tau(\theta) = \Pr(X = 1|\theta) = k\theta(1 - \theta)^{k-1}$$

#### **Problem**

 $X_1, \cdots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \operatorname{Binomial}(k, \theta)$ . Estimate the probability of exactly one success.

#### Solution

The quantity we need to estimate is

$$\tau(\theta) = \Pr(X = 1 | \theta) = k\theta (1 - \theta)^{k-1}$$

• We know that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(kn, \theta)$  and it is a complete sufficient statistic.

#### **Problem**

 $X_1, \cdots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \operatorname{Binomial}(k, \theta)$ . Estimate the probability of exactly one success.

Examples

#### Solution

The quantity we need to estimate is

$$\tau(\theta) = \Pr(X = 1 | \theta) = k\theta (1 - \theta)^{k-1}$$

- We know that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(kn, \theta)$  and it is a complete sufficient statistic.
- So we need to find a  $\phi(T)$  that satisfies  $E[\phi(T)] = \tau(\theta)$ .

#### **Problem**

 $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Binomial}(k, \theta)$ . Estimate the probability of exactly one success.

Examples 0000000000000

#### Solution

The quantity we need to estimate is

$$\tau(\theta) = \Pr(X = 1 | \theta) = k\theta (1 - \theta)^{k-1}$$

- We know that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \sim \text{Binomial}(kn, \theta)$  and it is a complete sufficient statistic.
- So we need to find a  $\phi(T)$  that satisfies  $E[\phi(T)] = \tau(\theta)$ .
- There is no imeediately evident unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  as a function of T.

Start with a simple-minded estimator

$$W(X_1) = \begin{cases} 1 & X_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Examples 0000000000000 Start with a simple-minded estimator

$$W(X_1) = \begin{cases} 1 & X_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The expectation of W is

$$E[W] = \sum_{x_1=0}^{k} W(x_1) {k \choose x_1} \theta^{x_1} (1-\theta)^{k-x_1}$$

Start with a simple-minded estimator

$$W(X_1) = \begin{cases} 1 & X_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The expectation of W is

$$E[W] = \sum_{x_1=0}^{k} W(x_1) {k \choose x_1} \theta^{x_1} (1-\theta)^{k-x_1}$$
$$= k\theta (1-\theta)^{k-1}$$

Start with a simple-minded estimator

$$W(X_1) = \begin{cases} 1 & X_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• The expectation of W is

$$E[W] = \sum_{x_1=0}^{k} W(x_1) {k \choose x_1} \theta^{x_1} (1-\theta)^{k-x_1}$$
$$= k\theta (1-\theta)^{k-1}$$

and hence is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta) = k\theta(1-\theta)^{k-1}$ .

Start with a simple-minded estimator

$$W(X_1) = \begin{cases} 1 & X_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The expectation of W is

$$E[W] = \sum_{x_1=0}^{k} W(x_1) {k \choose x_1} \theta^{x_1} (1-\theta)^{k-x_1}$$
$$= k\theta (1-\theta)^{k-1}$$

and hence is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta) = k\theta(1-\theta)^{k-1}$ .

• The best unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  is

$$\phi(T) = E[W|T] = E[W(X_1)|T(X)]$$

◆ロト ◆団ト ◆豆ト ◆豆ト ・豆 ・ 夕久(\*)

Examples 000000000000

$$\phi(t) = E\left[W(X_1)|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = t\right] = \Pr\left[X_1 = 1|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = t\right]$$

Examples 000000000000

$$\phi(t) = E\left[W(X_1) | \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = t\right] = \Pr\left[X_1 = 1 | \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = t\right]$$
$$= \frac{\Pr(X_1 = 1, \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = t)}{\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)}$$

$$\phi(t) = E\left[W(X_1)|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = t\right] = \Pr\left[X_1 = 1|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = t\right]$$

$$= \frac{\Pr(X_1 = 1, \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = t)}{\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)}$$

$$= \frac{\Pr(X_1 = 1, \sum_{i=2}^{n} X_i = t - 1)}{\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)}$$

$$\phi(t) = E\left[W(X_1)|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = t\right] = \Pr\left[X_1 = 1|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = t\right]$$

$$= \frac{\Pr(X_1 = 1, \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = t)}{\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)}$$

$$= \frac{\Pr(X_1 = 1, \sum_{i=2}^{n} X_i = t - 1)}{\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)}$$

$$= \frac{\Pr(X_1 = 1)\Pr(\sum_{i=2}^{n} X_i = t - 1)}{\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)}$$

$$\phi(t) = E\left[W(X_1)|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t\right] = \Pr\left[X_1 = 1|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t\right]$$

$$= \frac{\Pr(X_1 = 1, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t)}{\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i)}$$

$$= \frac{\Pr(X_1 = 1, \sum_{i=2}^n X_i = t - 1)}{\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i)}$$

$$= \frac{\Pr(X_1 = 1)\Pr(\sum_{i=2}^n X_i = t - 1)}{\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i)}$$

$$= \frac{[k\theta(1 - \theta)^{k-1}]\left[\binom{k(n-1)}{t-1}\theta^{t-1}(1 - \theta)^{k(n-1)-t-1}\right]}{\binom{kn}{n}\theta^t(1 - \theta)^{kn-t}}$$

Examples

$$\phi(t) = E\left[W(X_1) | \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = t\right] = \Pr\left[X_1 = 1 | \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = t\right]$$

$$= \frac{\Pr(X_1 = 1, \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = t)}{\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)}$$

$$= \frac{\Pr(X_1 = 1, \sum_{i=2}^{n} X_i = t - 1)}{\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)}$$

$$= \frac{\Pr(X_1 = 1) \Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)}{\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)}$$

$$= \frac{[k\theta(1 - \theta)^{k-1}] \left[\binom{k(n-1)}{t-1}\theta^{t-1}(1 - \theta)^{k(n-1)-t-1}\right]}{\binom{kn}{n}\theta^{t}(1 - \theta)^{kn-t}} = k\frac{\binom{k(n-1)}{t-1}}{\binom{kn}{t}}$$

Therefore, the unbiased estimator of  $k\theta(1-\theta)^{k-1}$  is

Therefore, the unbiased estimator of  $k\theta(1-\theta)^{k-1}$  is

$$\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right) = k \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k(n-1)} X_{i}\right)}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}\right)}$$

# Summary

### Today

- Rao-Blackwell Theorem
- Methods for obtaining UMVUE

### Today

- Rao-Blackwell Theorem
- Methods for obtaining UMVUE

#### Next Lecture

Bayesian Estimators



Summary