Questions on Liu et al (2014) Nature Genetics 46:200-204

Meta-Analysis of Gene Level Tests for Rare Variant Association.

- 1. Why are studies of rare coding variants attractive?
- 2. Why are studies of rare coding variants challenging? What sort of recent advances have enabled these studies?
- 3. What are some of the different strategies and choices for grouping rare variants in a gene? What are the ideal scenarios for each of these strategies?
- 4. How does the adaptive Monte-Carlo method for estimating p-values work?
- 5. Fisher's method for combining p-values is often used as a baseline for comparisons of meta-analytic strategies. How does the approach work? What are some of its strengths and weaknesses?
- 6. The authors mention that their methods can be applied to discrete traits, but with some caveats. What are the caveats? Why?
- 7. Based on the results presented, do you think rare variants will advance our knowledge of complex trait genetics? Do you think rare variants will explain a large fraction of complex trait heritability? Why?
- 8. Given a vector of score statistics (where each entry represents statistics for one marker), write out the definition of: (a) a simple burden test, (b) a variable threshold burden test, (c) a SKAT test. How is knowledge of the variance-covariance matrix between these statistics important?
- 9. What struck you most about the paper?