Questions Kircher et al (2014) *Nature Genetics* 46:310-315.

A general framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of human genetic variants

- 1. What is the problem the authors were tempting to tackle?
- 2. What strategy was used to simulate sets of likely "deleterious" variants and sets of likely neutral variants? Why do you think this strategy worked?
- 3. The authors evaluate a series of "univariate" strategies for classifying variants as deleterious. What were the most effective univariate annotations? How were these annotations derived?
- 4. The authors describe a series of empirical assessments of their classifier. Which did you find most interesting? Why?
- 5. The authors say that non-sense variants had the highest C-scores on average. Why do think that is? They also say that missense variants near the start of a protein coding gene had higher C-scores than those near the end. Why do you think that is?
- 6. Can you think of other settings where ensemble approaches have been useful? What do these have in common?
- 7. How were missing values for input annotations handled when building the model?
- 8. How were categorical annotations handled when building the model?
- 9. The authors used the LIBOCAS library to implement their classifier. What are some of the advantages of using a library like LIBOCAS?
- 10. What struck you most about the paper?