

Biostatistics 602 - Statistical Inference

Lecture 19

Likelihood Ratio Test

Hyun Min Kang

March 26th, 2013

Last Lecture

Describe the following concepts in your own words

- Hypothesis
- Null Hypothesis
- Alternative Hypothesis
- Hypothesis Testing Procedure
- Rejection Region
- Type I error
- Type II error
- Power function
- Size α test
- Level α test
- Likelihood Ratio Test

Example of Hypothesis Testing

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be changes in blood pressure after a treatment.

$$H_0 : \theta = 0$$

$$H_1 : \theta \neq 0$$

The rejection region = $\left\{ \mathbf{x} : \frac{\bar{x}}{s_x/\sqrt{n}} > 3 \right\}$.

Decision

| | | | |
|-------|-------|------------------|------------------|
| | | Accept H_0 | Reject H_0 |
| Truth | H_0 | Correct Decision | Type I error |
| | H_1 | Type II error | Correct Decision |

Power function

Definition - The power function

The power function of a hypothesis test with rejection region R is the function of θ defined by

$$\beta(\theta) = \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta) = \Pr(\text{reject } H_0|\theta)$$

If $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$ (alternative is true), the probability of rejecting H_0 is called the power of test for this particular value of θ .

- Probability of type I error = $\beta(\theta)$ if $\theta \in \Omega_0$.
- Probability of type II error = $1 - \beta(\theta)$ if $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$.

An ideal test should have power function satisfying $\beta(\theta) = 0$ for all $\theta \in \Omega_0$, $\beta(\theta) = 1$ for all $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$, which is typically not possible in practice.

Sizes and Levels of Tests

Size α test

A test with power function $\beta(\theta)$ is a size α test if

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \beta(\theta) = \alpha$$

In other words, the maximum probability of making a type I error is α .

Level α test

A test with power function $\beta(\theta)$ is a level α test if

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \beta(\theta) \leq \alpha$$

In other words, the maximum probability of making a type I error is equal or less than α .

Any size α test is also a level α test

Likelihood Ratio Tests (LRT)

Definition

Let $L(\theta|\mathbf{x})$ be the likelihood function of θ . The likelihood ratio test statistic for testing $H_0 : \theta \in \Omega_0$ vs. $H_1 : \theta \in \Omega_0^c$ is

$$\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} L(\theta|\mathbf{x})}{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega} L(\theta|\mathbf{x})} = \frac{L(\hat{\theta}_0|\mathbf{x})}{L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x})}$$

where $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE of θ over $\theta \in \Omega$, and $\hat{\theta}_0$ is the MLE of θ over $\theta \in \Omega_0$ (restricted MLE).

The *likelihood ratio test* is a test that rejects H_0 if and only if $\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \leq c$ where $0 \leq c \leq 1$.

Example of LRT

Problem

Consider $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$ where σ^2 is known.

$$H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$$

$$H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$$

For the LRT test and its power function

Solution

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x_i - \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)^n \exp\left[-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \end{aligned}$$

We need to find MLE of θ over $\Omega = (-\infty, \infty)$ and $\Omega_0 = (-\infty, \theta_0]$.

MLE of θ over $\Omega = (-\infty, \infty)$

To maximize $L(\theta|\mathbf{x})$, we need to maximize $\exp\left[-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]$, or equivalently to minimize $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^2 + \theta^2 - 2\theta x_i) \\ &= n\theta^2 - 2\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

The equation above minimizes when $\theta = \hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n} = \bar{x}$.

MLE of θ over $\Omega_0 = (-\infty, \theta_0]$

- $L(\theta|\mathbf{x})$ is maximized at $\theta = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n} = \bar{x}$ if $\bar{x} \leq \theta_0$.
- However, if $\bar{x} \geq \theta_0$, \bar{x} does not fall into a valid range of $\hat{\theta}_0$, and $\theta \leq \theta_0$, the likelihood function will be an increasing function. Therefore $\hat{\theta}_0 = \theta_0$.

To summarize,

$$\hat{\theta}_0 = \begin{cases} \bar{X} & \text{if } \bar{X} \leq \theta_0 \\ \theta_0 & \text{if } \bar{X} > \theta_0 \end{cases}$$

Likelihood ratio test

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{L(\hat{\theta}_0|\mathbf{x})}{L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x})} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \bar{X} \leq \theta_0 \\ \frac{\exp\left[-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]}{\exp\left[-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]} & \text{if } \bar{X} > \theta_0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \bar{X} \leq \theta_0 \\ \exp\left[-\frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] & \text{if } \bar{X} > \theta_0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the likelihood test rejects the null hypothesis if and only if

$$\exp\left[-\frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \leq c$$

and $\bar{x} \geq \theta_0$.

Specifying c

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left[-\frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] &\leq c \\ \iff -\frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} &\leq \log c \\ \iff (\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2 &\geq -\frac{2\sigma^2 \log c}{n} \\ \iff \bar{x} - \theta_0 &\geq \sqrt{-\frac{2\sigma^2 \log c}{n}} \quad (\because \bar{x} > \theta_0) \end{aligned}$$

Specifying c (cont'd)

So, LRT rejects H_0 if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x} - \theta_0 &\geq \sqrt{-\frac{2\sigma^2 \log c}{n}} \\ \iff \frac{\bar{x} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} &\geq \frac{\sqrt{-\frac{2\sigma^2 \log c}{n}}}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = c^* \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the rejection region is

$$\left\{ \mathbf{x} : \frac{\bar{x} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \geq c^* \right\}$$

Power function

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(\theta) &= \Pr(\text{reject } H_0) = \Pr\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \geq c^*\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \theta + \theta - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \geq c^*\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \geq \frac{\theta_0 - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + c^*\right)\end{aligned}$$

Since $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$, $\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\theta, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\bar{X} - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \\ \Rightarrow \beta(\theta) &= \Pr\left(Z \geq \frac{\theta_0 - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + c^*\right)\end{aligned}$$

where $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

Making size α LRT

To make a size α test,

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \beta(\theta) &= \alpha \\ \sup_{\theta \leq \theta_0} \Pr\left(Z \geq \frac{\theta_0 - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + c^*\right) &= \alpha \\ \Pr(Z \geq c^*) &= \alpha \\ c^* &= z_\alpha\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Pr\left(Z \geq \frac{\theta_0 - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + c^*\right)$ is maximized when θ is maximum (i.e. $\theta = \theta_0$).

Therefore, size α LRT test rejects H_0 if and only if $\frac{\bar{x} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \geq z_\alpha$.

Another Example of LRT

Problem

$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} f(x|\theta) = e^{-(x-\theta)}$ where $x \geq \theta$ and $-\infty < \theta < \infty$. Find a LRT testing the following one-sided hypothesis.

$$H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$$

$$H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$$

Solution

$$\begin{aligned}L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-(x_i - \theta)} I(x_i \geq \theta) \\ &= e^{-\sum x_i + n\theta} I(\theta \leq x_{(1)})\end{aligned}$$

The likelihood function is a increasing function of θ , bounded by $\theta \leq x_{(1)}$.

Therefore, when $\theta \in \Omega = \mathbb{R}$, $L(\theta|\mathbf{x})$ is maximized when $\theta = \hat{\theta} = x_{(1)}$.

Solution (cont'd)

When $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$, the likelihood is still an increasing function, but bounded by $\theta \leq \min(x_{(1)}, \theta_0)$. Therefore, the likelihood is maximized when

$\theta = \hat{\theta}_0 = \min(x_{(1)}, \theta_0)$. The likelihood ratio test statistic is

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\mathbf{x}) &= \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-\sum x_i + n\hat{\theta}_0}}{e^{-\sum x_i + nx_{(1)}}} & \text{if } \theta_0 < x_{(1)} \\ 1 & \text{if } \theta_0 \geq x_{(1)} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} e^{n(\hat{\theta}_0 - x_{(1)})} & \text{if } \theta_0 < x_{(1)} \\ 1 & \text{if } \theta_0 \geq x_{(1)} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Solution (cont'd)

The LRT rejects H_0 if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}e^{n(\theta_0 - x_{(1)})} &\leq c \quad (\text{and } \theta_0 < x_{(1)}) \\ \iff \theta_0 - x_{(1)} &\leq \frac{\log c}{n} \\ \iff x_{(1)} &\geq \theta_0 - \frac{\log c}{n}\end{aligned}$$

So, LRT reject H_0 is $x_{(1)} \geq \theta_0 - \frac{\log c}{n}$ and $x_{(1)} > \theta_0$. The power function is

$$\beta(\theta) = \Pr\left(X_{(1)} \leq \theta_0 - \frac{\log c}{n} \wedge X_{(1)} > \theta_0\right)$$

To find size α test, we need to find c satisfying the condition

$$\sup_{\theta \leq \theta_0} \beta(\theta) = \alpha$$

LRT based on sufficient statistics

Theorem 8.2.4

If $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a sufficient statistic for θ , $\lambda^*(t)$ is the LRT statistic based on T , and $\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ is the LRT statistic based on \mathbf{x} then

$$\lambda^*[T(\mathbf{x})] = \lambda(\mathbf{x})$$

for every \mathbf{x} in the sample space.

Proof

By Factorization Theorem, the joint pdf of \mathbf{x} can be written as

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)h(\mathbf{x})$$

and we can choose $g(t|\theta)$ to be the pdf or pmf of $T(\mathbf{x})$.

Then, the LRT statistic based on $T(\mathbf{X})$ is defined as

$$\lambda^*(t) = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} L(\theta|T(\mathbf{x}) = t)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega} L(\theta|T(\mathbf{x}) = t)} = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} g(t|\theta)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega} g(t|\theta)}$$

Proof (cont'd)

LRT statistic based on \mathbf{X} is

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} L(\theta|\mathbf{x})}{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega} L(\theta|\mathbf{x})} = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega} f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)} \\ &= \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)h(\mathbf{x})}{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega} g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)h(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega} g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)} = \lambda^*(T(\mathbf{x}))\end{aligned}$$

The simplified expression of $\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ should depend on \mathbf{x} only through $T(\mathbf{x})$, where $T(\mathbf{x})$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Example

Problem

Consider $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$ where σ^2 is known.

$$H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$$

$$H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$$

Find a size α LRT.

Solution - Using sufficient statistics

$T(\mathbf{X}) = \bar{X}$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

$$T \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\theta, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$$

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} L(\theta|t)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega} L(\theta|t)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2/n} \exp\left[-\frac{(t-\theta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2/n}\right]}{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega} \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2/n} \exp\left[-\frac{(t-\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2/n}\right]}$$

Solution (cont'd)

The numerator is fixed, and MLE in the denominator is $\hat{\theta} = t$. Therefore the LRT statistic is

$$\lambda(t) = \exp\left[-\frac{n(t-\theta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]$$

LRT rejects H_0 if and only if

$$\lambda(t) = \exp\left[-\frac{n(t-\theta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \leq c$$
$$\Rightarrow \left|\frac{t-\theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right| \geq \sqrt{-2 \log c} = c^*$$

Solution (cont'd)

Note that

$$T = \bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\theta, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$$

$$\frac{T - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

A size α test satisfies

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \Pr\left(\left|\frac{T - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right| \geq c^*\right) = \alpha$$

$$\Pr\left(\left|\frac{T - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right| \geq c^*\right) = \alpha$$

$$\Pr(|Z| \geq c^*) = \alpha$$

$$\Pr(Z \geq c^*) + \Pr(Z \leq -c^*) = \alpha$$

$$|Z| = \left|\frac{T - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right| \geq z_{\alpha/2}$$

LRT with nuisance parameters

Problem

$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$ where both θ and σ^2 unknown. Between $H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$ and $H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$.

- 1 Specify Ω and Ω_0
- 2 Find size α LRT.

Solution - Ω and Ω_0

$$\Omega = \{(\theta, \sigma^2) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma^2 > 0\}$$

$$\Omega_0 = \{(\theta, \sigma^2) : \theta \leq \theta_0, \sigma^2 > 0\}$$

Solution - Size α LRT

$$\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sup_{\{(\theta, \sigma^2): \theta \leq \theta_0, \sigma^2 > 0\}} L(\theta, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{x})}{\sup_{\{(\theta, \sigma^2): \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma^2 > 0\}} L(\theta, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{x})}$$

For the denominator, the MLE of θ and σ^2 are

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\theta} = \bar{X} \\ \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n} = \frac{n-1}{n} s_{\mathbf{X}}^2 \end{cases}$$

For numerator, we need to maximize $L(\theta, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{x})$ over the region $\theta \leq \theta_0$ and $\sigma^2 > 0$.

$$L(\theta, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \right)^n \exp \left[-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right]$$

Solution - Maximizing Numerator

Step 1, fix σ^2 , likelihood is maximized when $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2$ is minimized over $\theta \leq \theta_0$.

$$\hat{\theta}_0 = \begin{cases} \bar{x} & \text{if } \bar{x} \leq \theta_0 \\ \theta_0 & \text{if } \bar{x} > \theta_0 \end{cases}$$

Solution - Maximizing Numerator (cont'd)

Step 2 : Now, we need to maximize likelihood (or log-likelihood) with respect to σ^2 and we substitute $\hat{\theta}_0$ for θ .

$$\begin{aligned} l(\hat{\theta}, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{x}) &= -\frac{n}{2} (\log 2\pi + \log \sigma^2) - \frac{\sum (x_i - \hat{\theta}_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial \log l}{\partial \sigma^2} &= -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\sum (x_i - \hat{\theta}_0)^2}{2(\sigma^2)^2} = 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}_0^2 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\theta}_0)^2}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Combining the results together

$$\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \bar{x} \leq \theta_0 \\ \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\hat{\sigma}_0^2} \right)^{n/2} & \text{if } \bar{x} > \theta_0 \end{cases}$$

Solution - Constructing LRT

LRT test rejects H_0 if and only if $\bar{x} > \theta_0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\hat{\sigma}_0^2} \right)^{n/2} &\leq c \\ \left(\frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 / n}{\sum (x_i - \theta_0)^2 / n} \right)^{n/2} &\leq c \\ \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sum (x_i - \theta_0)^2} &\leq c^* \\ \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{X})^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{X})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2} &\leq c^* \\ \frac{1}{1 + \frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}} &\leq c^* \end{aligned}$$

Solution - Constructing LRT (cont'd)

$$\frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \geq c^{**}$$
$$\frac{\bar{x} - \theta_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} \geq c^{***}$$

LRT test reject if $\frac{\bar{x} - \theta_0}{s_{\mathbf{X}}/\sqrt{n}} \geq c^{***}$

The next step is specify c to get size α test (omitted).

Unbiased Test

Definition

If a test always satisfies

$$\Pr(\text{reject } H_0 \text{ when } H_0 \text{ is false}) \geq \Pr(\text{reject } H_0 \text{ when } H_0 \text{ is true})$$

Then the test is said to be unbiased

Alternative Definition

Recall that $\beta(\theta) = \Pr(\text{reject } H_0)$. A test is unbiased if

$$\beta(\theta') \geq \beta(\theta)$$

for every $\theta' \in \Omega_0^c$ and $\theta \in \Omega_0$.

Example

$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$ where σ^2 is known, testing $H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$.

LRT test rejects H_0 if $\frac{\bar{x} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > c$.

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\theta) &= \Pr\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > c\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \theta + \theta - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > c\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\theta - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > c\right) \\ &= \Pr\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > c + \frac{\theta_0 - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$, $\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2/n)$, and $\frac{\bar{X} - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

Example (cont'd)

Therefore, for $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$

$$\beta(\theta) = \Pr\left(Z > c + \frac{\theta_0 - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

Because the power function is increasing function of θ ,

$$\beta(\theta') \geq \beta(\theta)$$

always holds when $\theta \leq \theta_0 < \theta'$. Therefore the LRTs are unbiased.

Summary

Today

- Examples of LRT
- LRT based on sufficient statistics
- LRT with nuisance parameters
- Unbiased Test

Next Lecture

- Uniformly Most Powerful Test