

# Biostatistics 602 - Statistical Inference

## Lecture 26

### Final Exam Review & Practice Problems for the Final

Hyun Min Kang

April 23rd, 2013

# Review of the second half

Rao-Blackwell :

## Review of the second half

**Rao-Blackwell** : If  $W(\mathbf{X})$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ ,  
 $\phi(T) = E[W(\mathbf{X})|T]$  is a better unbiased estimator for a  
sufficient statistic.

Uniqueness of MVUE :

## Review of the second half

**Rao-Blackwell** : If  $W(\mathbf{X})$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ ,  
 $\phi(T) = E[W(\mathbf{X})|T]$  is a better unbiased estimator for a  
 sufficient statistic.

**Uniqueness of MVUE** : Theorem 7.3.19 - Best unbiased estimator is  
 unique

**MVUE and UE of zeros** :

## Review of the second half

**Rao-Blackwell** : If  $W(\mathbf{X})$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ ,  
 $\phi(T) = E[W(\mathbf{X})|T]$  is a better unbiased estimator for a  
 sufficient statistic.

**Uniqueness of MVUE** : Theorem 7.3.19 - Best unbiased estimator is  
 unique

**MVUE and UE of zeros** : Theorem 7.3.20 - Best unbiased estimator is  
 uncorrelated with any unbiased estimators of zero

**UMVE by complete sufficient statistics** :

## Review of the second half

**Rao-Blackwell** : If  $W(\mathbf{X})$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ ,  
 $\phi(T) = E[W(\mathbf{X})|T]$  is a better unbiased estimator for a  
 sufficient statistic.

**Uniqueness of MVUE** : Theorem 7.3.19 - Best unbiased estimator is  
 unique

**MVUE and UE of zeros** : Theorem 7.3.20 - Best unbiased estimator is  
 uncorrelated with any unbiased estimators of zero

**UMVE by complete sufficient statistics** : Theorem 7.3.23 - Any function  
 of complete sufficient statistic is the best unbiased estimator  
 for its expected value

**How to get UMVUE** Strategies to obtain best unbiased estimators:

## Review of the second half

**Rao-Blackwell** : If  $W(\mathbf{X})$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ ,  
 $\phi(T) = E[W(\mathbf{X})|T]$  is a better unbiased estimator for a  
sufficient statistic.

**Uniqueness of MVUE** : Theorem 7.3.19 - Best unbiased estimator is  
unique

**MVUE and UE of zeros** : Theorem 7.3.20 - Best unbiased estimator is  
uncorrelated with any unbiased estimators of zero

**UMVE by complete sufficient statistics** : Theorem 7.3.23 - Any function  
of complete sufficient statistic is the best unbiased estimator  
for its expected value

**How to get UMVUE** Strategies to obtain best unbiased estimators:

- Condition a simple unbiased estimator on complete  
sufficient statistics

## Review of the second half

**Rao-Blackwell** : If  $W(\mathbf{X})$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ ,  
 $\phi(T) = E[W(\mathbf{X})|T]$  is a better unbiased estimator for a  
 sufficient statistic.

**Uniqueness of MVUE** : Theorem 7.3.19 - Best unbiased estimator is  
 unique

**MVUE and UE of zeros** : Theorem 7.3.20 - Best unbiased estimator is  
 uncorrelated with any unbiased estimators of zero

**UMVE by complete sufficient statistics** : Theorem 7.3.23 - Any function  
 of complete sufficient statistic is the best unbiased estimator  
 for its expected value

**How to get UMVUE** Strategies to obtain best unbiased estimators:

- Condition a simple unbiased estimator on complete  
 sufficient statistics
- Come up with a function of sufficient statistic whose  
 expected value is  $\tau(\theta)$ .



# Bayesian Framework

Prior distribution  $\pi(\theta)$

# Bayesian Framework

Prior distribution  $\pi(\theta)$

Sampling distribution  $\mathbf{x}|\theta \sim f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$

# Bayesian Framework

Prior distribution  $\pi(\theta)$

Sampling distribution  $\mathbf{x}|\theta \sim f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$

Joint distribution  $\pi(\theta)f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$

# Bayesian Framework

Prior distribution  $\pi(\theta)$

Sampling distribution  $\mathbf{x}|\theta \sim f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$

Joint distribution  $\pi(\theta)f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$

Marginal distribution  $m(\mathbf{x}) = \int \pi(\theta)f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)d\theta$

# Bayesian Framework

Prior distribution  $\pi(\theta)$

Sampling distribution  $\mathbf{x}|\theta \sim f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$

Joint distribution  $\pi(\theta)f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$

Marginal distribution  $m(\mathbf{x}) = \int \pi(\theta)f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) d\theta$

Posterior distribution  $\pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{m(\mathbf{x})}$

# Bayesian Framework

Prior distribution  $\pi(\theta)$

Sampling distribution  $\mathbf{x}|\theta \sim f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$

Joint distribution  $\pi(\theta)f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$

Marginal distribution  $m(\mathbf{x}) = \int \pi(\theta)f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) d\theta$

Posterior distribution  $\pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{m(\mathbf{x})}$

Bayes Estimator is a posterior mean of  $\theta$  :  $E[\theta|\mathbf{x}]$ .

# Bayesian Decision Theory

Loss Function  $L(\theta, \hat{\theta})$  (e.g.  $(\theta - \hat{\theta})^2$ )

# Bayesian Decision Theory

**Loss Function**  $L(\theta, \hat{\theta})$  (e.g.  $(\theta - \hat{\theta})^2$ )

**Risk Function** is the average loss :  $R(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = E[L(\theta, \hat{\theta})|\theta]$ .



# Bayesian Decision Theory

**Loss Function**  $L(\theta, \hat{\theta})$  (e.g.  $(\theta - \hat{\theta})^2$ )

**Risk Function** is the average loss :  $R(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = E[L(\theta, \hat{\theta})|\theta]$ .

For squared error loss  $L = (\theta - \hat{\theta})^2$ , the risk function is MSE

# Bayesian Decision Theory

**Loss Function**  $L(\theta, \hat{\theta})$  (e.g.  $(\theta - \hat{\theta})^2$ )

**Risk Function** is the average loss :  $R(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = E[L(\theta, \hat{\theta})|\theta]$ .

For squared error loss  $L = (\theta - \hat{\theta})^2$ , the risk function is MSE

**Bayes Risk** is the average risk across all  $\theta$  :  $E[R(\theta, \hat{\theta})|\pi(\theta)]$ .

# Bayesian Decision Theory

**Loss Function**  $L(\theta, \hat{\theta})$  (e.g.  $(\theta - \hat{\theta})^2$ )

**Risk Function** is the average loss :  $R(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = E[L(\theta, \hat{\theta})|\theta]$ .

For squared error loss  $L = (\theta - \hat{\theta})^2$ , the risk function is MSE

**Bayes Risk** is the average risk across all  $\theta$  :  $E[R(\theta, \hat{\theta})|\pi(\theta)]$ .

**Bayes Rule Estimator** minimizes Bayes risk  $\iff$  minimizes posterior expected loss.

# Asymptotics

**Consistency** Using law of large numbers, show variance and bias converges to zero, for any continuous mapping function  $\tau$

# Asymptotics

**Consistency** Using law of large numbers, show variance and bias converges to zero, for any continuous mapping function  $\tau$

**Asymptotic Normality** Using central limit theorem, Slutsky Theorem, and Delta Method

# Asymptotics

**Consistency** Using law of large numbers, show variance and bias converges to zero, for any continuous mapping function  $\tau$

**Asymptotic Normality** Using central limit theorem, Slutsky Theorem, and Delta Method

**Asymptotic Relative Efficiency**  $\text{ARE}(V_n, W_n) = \sigma_W^2 / \sigma_V^2$ .

# Asymptotics

**Consistency** Using law of large numbers, show variance and bias converges to zero, for any continuous mapping function  $\tau$

**Asymptotic Normality** Using central limit theorem, Slutsky Theorem, and Delta Method

**Asymptotic Relative Efficiency**  $\text{ARE}(V_n, W_n) = \sigma_W^2 / \sigma_V^2$ .

**Asymptotically Efficient** ARE with CR-bound of unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  is 1.

# Asymptotics

**Consistency** Using law of large numbers, show variance and bias converges to zero, for any continuous mapping function  $\tau$

**Asymptotic Normality** Using central limit theorem, Slutsky Theorem, and Delta Method

**Asymptotic Relative Efficiency**  $\text{ARE}(V_n, W_n) = \sigma_W^2 / \sigma_V^2$ .

**Asymptotically Efficient** ARE with CR-bound of unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  is 1.

**Asymptotic Efficiency of MLE** Theorem 10.1.12 MLE is always asymptotically efficient under regularity condition.



# Hypothesis Testing

Type I error  $\Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0$

# Hypothesis Testing

Type I error  $\Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0$

Type II error  $1 - \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$

# Hypothesis Testing

Type I error  $\Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0$

Type II error  $1 - \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$

Power function  $\beta(\theta) = \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$

# Hypothesis Testing

Type I error  $\Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0$

Type II error  $1 - \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$

Power function  $\beta(\theta) = \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$

$\beta(\theta)$  represents Type I error under  $H_0$ , and power (=1-Type II error) under  $H_1$ .

# Hypothesis Testing

Type I error  $\Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0$

Type II error  $1 - \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$

Power function  $\beta(\theta) = \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$

$\beta(\theta)$  represents Type I error under  $H_0$ , and power (=1-Type II error) under  $H_1$ .

Size  $\alpha$  test  $\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \beta(\theta) = \alpha$

# Hypothesis Testing

Type I error  $\Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0$

Type II error  $1 - \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$

Power function  $\beta(\theta) = \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$

$\beta(\theta)$  represents Type I error under  $H_0$ , and power (=1-Type II error) under  $H_1$ .

Size  $\alpha$  test  $\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \beta(\theta) = \alpha$

Level  $\alpha$  test  $\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \beta(\theta) \leq \alpha$

# Hypothesis Testing

Type I error  $\Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0$

Type II error  $1 - \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$

Power function  $\beta(\theta) = \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$

$\beta(\theta)$  represents Type I error under  $H_0$ , and power (=1-Type II error) under  $H_1$ .

Size  $\alpha$  test  $\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \beta(\theta) = \alpha$

Level  $\alpha$  test  $\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \beta(\theta) \leq \alpha$

LRT  $\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{L(\hat{\theta}_0|\mathbf{x})}{L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x})}$  rejects  $H_0$  when  $\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \leq c$

# Hypothesis Testing

Type I error  $\Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0$

Type II error  $1 - \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$

Power function  $\beta(\theta) = \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$

$\beta(\theta)$  represents Type I error under  $H_0$ , and power (=1-Type II error) under  $H_1$ .

Size  $\alpha$  test  $\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \beta(\theta) = \alpha$

Level  $\alpha$  test  $\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \beta(\theta) \leq \alpha$

LRT  $\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{L(\hat{\theta}_0|\mathbf{x})}{L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x})}$  rejects  $H_0$  when  $\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \leq c$   
 $\iff -2 \log \lambda(\mathbf{x}) \geq -2 \log c = c^*$



# Hypothesis Testing

Type I error  $\Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0$

Type II error  $1 - \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$  when  $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$

Power function  $\beta(\theta) = \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R|\theta)$

$\beta(\theta)$  represents Type I error under  $H_0$ , and power (=1-Type II error) under  $H_1$ .

Size  $\alpha$  test  $\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \beta(\theta) = \alpha$

Level  $\alpha$  test  $\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \beta(\theta) \leq \alpha$

LRT  $\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{L(\hat{\theta}_0|\mathbf{x})}{L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x})}$  rejects  $H_0$  when  $\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \leq c$   
 $\iff -2 \log \lambda(\mathbf{x}) \geq -2 \log c = c^*$

LRT based on sufficient statistics LRT based on full data and sufficient statistics are identical.

# UMP

Unbiased Test  $\beta(\theta_1) \geq \beta(\theta_0)$  for every  $\theta_1 \in \Omega_0^c$  and  $\theta_0 \in \Omega_0$ .

# UMP

**Unbiased Test**  $\beta(\theta_1) \geq \beta(\theta_0)$  for every  $\theta_1 \in \Omega_0^c$  and  $\theta_0 \in \Omega_0$ .

**UMP Test**  $\beta(\theta) \geq \beta'(\theta)$  for every  $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$  and  $\beta'(\theta)$  of every other test with a class of test  $\mathcal{C}$ .

# UMP

**Unbiased Test**  $\beta(\theta_1) \geq \beta(\theta_0)$  for every  $\theta_1 \in \Omega_0^c$  and  $\theta_0 \in \Omega_0$ .

**UMP Test**  $\beta(\theta) \geq \beta'(\theta)$  for every  $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$  and  $\beta'(\theta)$  of every other test with a class of test  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**UMP level  $\alpha$  Test** UMP test in the class of all the level  $\alpha$  test. (smallest Type II error given the upper bound of Type I error)

# UMP

**Unbiased Test**  $\beta(\theta_1) \geq \beta(\theta_0)$  for every  $\theta_1 \in \Omega_0^c$  and  $\theta_0 \in \Omega_0$ .

**UMP Test**  $\beta(\theta) \geq \beta'(\theta)$  for every  $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$  and  $\beta'(\theta)$  of every other test with a class of test  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**UMP level  $\alpha$  Test** UMP test in the class of all the level  $\alpha$  test. (smallest Type II error given the upper bound of Type I error)

**Neyman-Pearson** For  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$ , a test with rejection region  $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_1)/f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_0) > k$  is a UMP level  $\alpha$  test for its size.

# UMP

**Unbiased Test**  $\beta(\theta_1) \geq \beta(\theta_0)$  for every  $\theta_1 \in \Omega_0^c$  and  $\theta_0 \in \Omega_0$ .

**UMP Test**  $\beta(\theta) \geq \beta'(\theta)$  for every  $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$  and  $\beta'(\theta)$  of every other test with a class of test  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**UMP level  $\alpha$  Test** UMP test in the class of all the level  $\alpha$  test. (smallest Type II error given the upper bound of Type I error)

**Neyman-Pearson** For  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$ , a test with rejection region  $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_1)/f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_0) > k$  is a UMP level  $\alpha$  test for its size.

**MLR**  $g(t|\theta_2)/g(t|\theta_1)$  is an increasing function of  $t$  for every  $\theta_2 > \theta_1$ .

# UMP

**Unbiased Test**  $\beta(\theta_1) \geq \beta(\theta_0)$  for every  $\theta_1 \in \Omega_0^c$  and  $\theta_0 \in \Omega_0$ .

**UMP Test**  $\beta(\theta) \geq \beta'(\theta)$  for every  $\theta \in \Omega_0^c$  and  $\beta'(\theta)$  of every other test with a class of test  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**UMP level  $\alpha$  Test** UMP test in the class of all the level  $\alpha$  test. (smallest Type II error given the upper bound of Type I error)

**Neyman-Pearson** For  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$ , a test with rejection region  $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_1)/f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_0) > k$  is a UMP level  $\alpha$  test for its size.

**MLR**  $g(t|\theta_2)/g(t|\theta_1)$  is an increasing function of  $t$  for every  $\theta_2 > \theta_1$ .

**Karlin-Rabin** If  $T$  is sufficient and has MLR, then test rejecting  $R = \{T : T > t_0\}$  or  $R = \{T : T < t_0\}$  is an UMP level  $\alpha$  test for one-sided composite hypothesis.

# Asymptotic Tests and p-Values

Asymptotic Distribution of LRT For testing,  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$ ,  
 $-2 \log \lambda(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_1^2$  under regularity condition.



# Asymptotic Tests and p-Values

**Asymptotic Distribution of LRT** For testing,  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$ ,  
 $-2 \log \lambda(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_1^2$  under regularity condition.

**Wald Test** If  $W_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $\theta$ , and  $S_n^2$  is a consistent estimator of  $\text{Var}(W_n)$ , then  $Z_n = (W_n - \theta_0)/S_n$  follows a standard normal distribution

# Asymptotic Tests and p-Values

**Asymptotic Distribution of LRT** For testing,  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$ ,  
 $-2 \log \lambda(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_1^2$  under regularity condition.

**Wald Test** If  $W_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $\theta$ , and  $S_n^2$  is a consistent estimator of  $\text{Var}(W_n)$ , then  $Z_n = (W_n - \theta_0)/S_n$  follows a standard normal distribution

- Two-sided test :  $|Z_n| > z_{\alpha/2}$
- One-sided test :  $Z_n > z_{\alpha/2}$  or  $Z_n < -z_{\alpha/2}$

# Asymptotic Tests and p-Values

**Asymptotic Distribution of LRT** For testing,  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$ ,  
 $-2 \log \lambda(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_1^2$  under regularity condition.

**Wald Test** If  $W_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $\theta$ , and  $S_n^2$  is a consistent estimator of  $\text{Var}(W_n)$ , then  $Z_n = (W_n - \theta_0)/S_n$  follows a standard normal distribution

- Two-sided test :  $|Z_n| > z_{\alpha/2}$
- One-sided test :  $Z_n > z_{\alpha/2}$  or  $Z_n < -z_{\alpha/2}$

**p-Value** A p-value  $0 \leq p(\mathbf{x}) \leq 1$  is valid if,  $\Pr(p(\mathbf{X}) \leq \alpha | \theta) \leq \alpha$  for every  $\theta \in \Omega_0$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

# Asymptotic Tests and p-Values

**Asymptotic Distribution of LRT** For testing,  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$ ,  
 $-2 \log \lambda(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_1^2$  under regularity condition.

**Wald Test** If  $W_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $\theta$ , and  $S_n^2$  is a consistent estimator of  $\text{Var}(W_n)$ , then  $Z_n = (W_n - \theta_0)/S_n$  follows a standard normal distribution

- Two-sided test :  $|Z_n| > z_{\alpha/2}$
- One-sided test :  $Z_n > z_{\alpha/2}$  or  $Z_n < -z_{\alpha/2}$

**p-Value** A p-value  $0 \leq p(\mathbf{x}) \leq 1$  is valid if,  $\Pr(p(\mathbf{X}) \leq \alpha | \theta) \leq \alpha$  for every  $\theta \in \Omega_0$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

**Constructing p-Value** Theorem 8.3.27 : If large  $W(\mathbf{X})$  value gives evidence that  $H_1$  is true,  $p(\mathbf{x}) = \sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \Pr(W(\mathbf{X}) \geq W(\mathbf{x}) | \theta)$  is a valid p-value

# Asymptotic Tests and p-Values

**Asymptotic Distribution of LRT** For testing,  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$ ,  
 $-2 \log \lambda(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_1^2$  under regularity condition.

**Wald Test** If  $W_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $\theta$ , and  $S_n^2$  is a consistent estimator of  $\text{Var}(W_n)$ , then  $Z_n = (W_n - \theta_0)/S_n$  follows a standard normal distribution

- Two-sided test :  $|Z_n| > z_{\alpha/2}$
- One-sided test :  $Z_n > z_{\alpha/2}$  or  $Z_n < -z_{\alpha/2}$

**p-Value** A p-value  $0 \leq p(\mathbf{x}) \leq 1$  is valid if,  $\Pr(p(\mathbf{X}) \leq \alpha | \theta) \leq \alpha$  for every  $\theta \in \Omega_0$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

**Constructing p-Value** Theorem 8.3.27 : If large  $W(\mathbf{X})$  value gives evidence that  $H_1$  is true,  $p(\mathbf{x}) = \sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \Pr(W(\mathbf{X}) \geq W(\mathbf{x}) | \theta)$  is a valid p-value

**p-Value given sufficient statistics** For a sufficient statistic  $S(\mathbf{X})$ ,  
 $p(\mathbf{x}) = \Pr(W(\mathbf{X}) \geq W(\mathbf{x}) | S(\mathbf{X}) = S(\mathbf{x}))$  is also a valid p-value.

# Interval Estimation

Coverage probability  $\Pr(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})])$

# Interval Estimation

Coverage probability  $\Pr(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})])$

Coverage coefficient is  $1 - \alpha$  if  $\inf_{\theta \in \Omega} \Pr(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]) = 1 - \alpha$

# Interval Estimation

Coverage probability  $\Pr(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})])$

Coverage coefficient is  $1 - \alpha$  if  $\inf_{\theta \in \Omega} \Pr(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]) = 1 - \alpha$

Confidence interval  $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$  is  $1 - \alpha$  if  
 $\inf_{\theta \in \Omega} \Pr(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]) = 1 - \alpha$



# Interval Estimation

Coverage probability  $\Pr(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})])$

Coverage coefficient is  $1 - \alpha$  if  $\inf_{\theta \in \Omega} \Pr(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]) = 1 - \alpha$

Confidence interval  $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$  is  $1 - \alpha$  if

$$\inf_{\theta \in \Omega} \Pr(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]) = 1 - \alpha$$

Inverting a level  $\alpha$  test If  $A(\theta_0)$  is the acceptance region of a level  $\alpha$  test, then  $C(\mathbf{X}) = \{\theta : \mathbf{X} \in A(\theta)\}$  is a  $1 - \alpha$  confidence set (or interval).

# Practice Problem 1 (continued from last week)

## Problem

Let  $f(x|\theta)$  be the logistic location pdf

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{e^{(x-\theta)}}{(1 + e^{(x-\theta)})^2} \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \quad -\infty < \theta < \infty$$

# Practice Problem 1 (continued from last week)

## Problem

Let  $f(x|\theta)$  be the logistic location pdf

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{e^{(x-\theta)}}{(1 + e^{(x-\theta)})^2} \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \quad -\infty < \theta < \infty$$

(a) Show that this family has an MLR

# Practice Problem 1 (continued from last week)

## Problem

Let  $f(x|\theta)$  be the logistic location pdf

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{e^{(x-\theta)}}{(1 + e^{(x-\theta)})^2} \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \quad -\infty < \theta < \infty$$

- (a) Show that this family has an MLR
- (b) Based on one observation  $X$ , find the most powerful size  $\alpha$  test of  $H_0 : \theta = 0$  versus  $H_1 : \theta = 1$ .

# Practice Problem 1 (continued from last week)

## Problem

Let  $f(x|\theta)$  be the logistic location pdf

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{e^{(x-\theta)}}{(1 + e^{(x-\theta)})^2} \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \quad -\infty < \theta < \infty$$

- (a) Show that this family has an MLR
- (b) Based on one observation  $X$ , find the most powerful size  $\alpha$  test of  $H_0 : \theta = 0$  versus  $H_1 : \theta = 1$ .
- (c) Show that the test in part (b) is UMP size  $\alpha$  for testing  $H_0 : \theta \leq 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta > 0$ .

# Solution for (a)

For  $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ ,

$$\frac{f(x|\theta_2)}{f(x|\theta_1)} = \frac{\frac{e^{(x-\theta_2)}}{(1+e^{(x-\theta_2)})^2}}{\frac{e^{(x-\theta_1)}}{(1+e^{(x-\theta_1)})^2}}$$

# Solution for (a)

For  $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x|\theta_2)}{f(x|\theta_1)} &= \frac{\frac{e^{(x-\theta_2)}}{(1+e^{(x-\theta_2)})^2}}{\frac{e^{(x-\theta_1)}}{(1+e^{(x-\theta_1)})^2}} \\ &= e^{(\theta_1-\theta_2)} \left( \frac{1+e^{(x-\theta_1)}}{1+e^{(x-\theta_2)}} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

# Solution for (a)

For  $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x|\theta_2)}{f(x|\theta_1)} &= \frac{\frac{e^{(x-\theta_2)}}{(1+e^{(x-\theta_2)})^2}}{\frac{e^{(x-\theta_1)}}{(1+e^{(x-\theta_1)})^2}} \\ &= e^{(\theta_1-\theta_2)} \left( \frac{1+e^{(x-\theta_1)}}{1+e^{(x-\theta_2)}} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $r(x) = (1 + e^{x-\theta_1}) / (1 + e^{x-\theta_2})$



# Solution for (a)

For  $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x|\theta_2)}{f(x|\theta_1)} &= \frac{\frac{e^{(x-\theta_2)}}{(1+e^{(x-\theta_2)})^2}}{\frac{e^{(x-\theta_1)}}{(1+e^{(x-\theta_1)})^2}} \\ &= e^{(\theta_1-\theta_2)} \left( \frac{1+e^{(x-\theta_1)}}{1+e^{(x-\theta_2)}} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $r(x) = (1 + e^{x-\theta_1}) / (1 + e^{x-\theta_2})$

$$r'(x) = \frac{e^{(x-\theta_1)}(1 + e^{(x-\theta_2)}) - (1 + e^{(x-\theta_1)})e^{(x-\theta_2)}}{(1 + e^{(x-\theta_2)})^2}$$

# Solution for (a)

For  $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x|\theta_2)}{f(x|\theta_1)} &= \frac{\frac{e^{(x-\theta_2)}}{(1+e^{(x-\theta_2)})^2}}{\frac{e^{(x-\theta_1)}}{(1+e^{(x-\theta_1)})^2}} \\ &= e^{(\theta_1-\theta_2)} \left( \frac{1+e^{(x-\theta_1)}}{1+e^{(x-\theta_2)}} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $r(x) = (1 + e^{x-\theta_1}) / (1 + e^{x-\theta_2})$

$$\begin{aligned} r'(x) &= \frac{e^{(x-\theta_1)}(1 + e^{(x-\theta_2)}) - (1 + e^{(x-\theta_1)})e^{(x-\theta_2)}}{(1 + e^{(x-\theta_2)})^2} \\ &= \frac{e^{(x-\theta_1)} - e^{(x-\theta_2)}}{(1 + e^{(x-\theta_2)})^2} > 0 \quad (\because x - \theta_1 > x - \theta_2) \end{aligned}$$

# Solution for (a)

For  $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x|\theta_2)}{f(x|\theta_1)} &= \frac{\frac{e^{(x-\theta_2)}}{(1+e^{(x-\theta_2)})^2}}{\frac{e^{(x-\theta_1)}}{(1+e^{(x-\theta_1)})^2}} \\ &= e^{(\theta_1-\theta_2)} \left( \frac{1+e^{(x-\theta_1)}}{1+e^{(x-\theta_2)}} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $r(x) = (1 + e^{x-\theta_1}) / (1 + e^{x-\theta_2})$

$$\begin{aligned} r'(x) &= \frac{e^{(x-\theta_1)}(1 + e^{(x-\theta_2)}) - (1 + e^{(x-\theta_1)})e^{(x-\theta_2)}}{(1 + e^{(x-\theta_2)})^2} \\ &= \frac{e^{(x-\theta_1)} - e^{(x-\theta_2)}}{(1 + e^{(x-\theta_2)})^2} > 0 \quad (\because x - \theta_1 > x - \theta_2) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the family of  $X$  has an MLR.

## Solution for (b)

The UMP test rejects  $H_0$  if and only if

$$\frac{f(x|1)}{f(x|0)} = e \left( \frac{1 + e^x}{1 + e^{(x-1)}} \right)^2 > k$$

## Solution for (b)

The UMP test rejects  $H_0$  if and only if

$$\frac{f(x|1)}{f(x|0)} = e \left( \frac{1 + e^x}{1 + e^{(x-1)}} \right)^2 > k$$

$$\frac{1 + e^x}{1 + e^{(x-1)}} > k^*$$

## Solution for (b)

The UMP test rejects  $H_0$  if and only if

$$\frac{f(x|1)}{f(x|0)} = e \left( \frac{1 + e^x}{1 + e^{(x-1)}} \right)^2 > k$$

$$\frac{1 + e^x}{1 + e^{(x-1)}} > k^*$$

$$\frac{1 + e^x}{e + e^x} > k^{**}$$

## Solution for (b)

The UMP test rejects  $H_0$  if and only if

$$\frac{f(x|1)}{f(x|0)} = e \left( \frac{1 + e^x}{1 + e^{(x-1)}} \right)^2 > k$$

$$\frac{1 + e^x}{1 + e^{(x-1)}} > k^*$$

$$\frac{1 + e^x}{e + e^x} > k^{**}$$

$$X > x_0$$

## Solution for (b)

The UMP test rejects  $H_0$  if and only if

$$\frac{f(x|1)}{f(x|0)} = e \left( \frac{1 + e^x}{1 + e^{(x-1)}} \right)^2 > k$$

$$\frac{1 + e^x}{1 + e^{(x-1)}} > k^*$$

$$\frac{1 + e^x}{e + e^x} > k^{**}$$

$$X > x_0$$

Because under  $H_0$ ,  $F(x_0|\theta = 0) = \frac{e^{x_0}}{1+e^{x_0}}$ , the rejection region of UMP level  $\alpha$  test satisfies

$$1 - F(x|\theta = 0) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{x_0}} = \alpha$$

$$x_0 \sim \log \left( \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} \right)$$



## Solution for (c)

Because the family of  $X$  has an MLR, UMP size  $\alpha$  for testing  $H_0 : \theta \leq 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta > 0$  should be a form of

$$\begin{aligned} X &> x_0 \\ \Pr(X > x_0 | \theta = 0) &= \alpha \end{aligned}$$

## Solution for (c)

Because the family of  $X$  has an MLR, UMP size  $\alpha$  for testing  $H_0 : \theta \leq 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta > 0$  should be a form of

$$\begin{aligned} X &> x_0 \\ \Pr(X > x_0 | \theta = 0) &= \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $x_0 = \log\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)$ , which is identical to the test defined in (b).

## Practice Problem 2

### Problem

Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are iid random samples with pdf  
 $f_X(x|\theta) = \theta \exp(-\theta x)$ , where  $x \geq 0, \theta > 0$

## Practice Problem 2

### Problem

Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are iid random samples with pdf  $f_X(x|\theta) = \theta \exp(-\theta x)$ , where  $x \geq 0, \theta > 0$

(a) Show that  $\frac{n}{\sum_{x=1}^n X_i}$  is a consistent estimator for  $\theta$ .

## Practice Problem 2

### Problem

Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are iid random samples with pdf  $f_X(x|\theta) = \theta \exp(-\theta x)$ , where  $x \geq 0, \theta > 0$

- (a) Show that  $\frac{n}{\sum_{x=1}^n X_i}$  is a consistent estimator for  $\theta$ .
- (b) Show that  $\frac{n}{\sum_{x=1}^n X_i}$  is asymptotically normal and derive its asymptotic distribution

## Practice Problem 2

### Problem

Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are iid random samples with pdf  $f_X(x|\theta) = \theta \exp(-\theta x)$ , where  $x \geq 0, \theta > 0$

- Show that  $\frac{n}{\sum_{x=1}^n X_i}$  is a consistent estimator for  $\theta$ .
- Show that  $\frac{n}{\sum_{x=1}^n X_i}$  is asymptotically normal and derive its asymptotic distribution
- Derive the Wald asymptotic size  $\alpha$  test for  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$ .

## Practice Problem 2

### Problem

Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are iid random samples with pdf  $f_X(x|\theta) = \theta \exp(-\theta x)$ , where  $x \geq 0, \theta > 0$

- Show that  $\frac{n}{\sum_{x=1}^n X_i}$  is a consistent estimator for  $\theta$ .
- Show that  $\frac{n}{\sum_{x=1}^n X_i}$  is asymptotically normal and derive its asymptotic distribution
- Derive the Wald asymptotic size  $\alpha$  test for  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$ .
- Find an asymptotic  $(1 - \alpha)$  confidence interval for  $\theta$  by inverting the above test

You may use the fact that  $EX = 1/\theta$  and  $\text{Var}(X) = 1/\theta^2$ .

## Solution (a) - Consistency

- 1 Obtain  $EX = 1/\theta$  (Derive yourself if not given)

$$EX = \int_0^{\infty} xf(x|\theta) dx = \int_0^{\infty} \theta x \exp(-\theta x) dx$$



## Solution (a) - Consistency

- ① Obtain  $EX = 1/\theta$  (Derive yourself if not given)

$$\begin{aligned} EX &= \int_0^{\infty} xf(x|\theta) dx = \int_0^{\infty} \theta x \exp(-\theta x) dx \\ &= [-x \exp(-\theta x)]_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\theta x) dx \end{aligned}$$

## Solution (a) - Consistency

- ① Obtain  $EX = 1/\theta$  (Derive yourself if not given)

$$\begin{aligned}
 EX &= \int_0^{\infty} xf(x|\theta) dx = \int_0^{\infty} \theta x \exp(-\theta x) dx \\
 &= [-x \exp(-\theta x)]_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\theta x) dx \\
 &= 0 + \left[ -\frac{1}{\theta} \exp(-\theta x) \right]_0^{\infty} = \frac{1}{\theta}
 \end{aligned}$$

## Solution (a) - Consistency

- ① Obtain  $EX = 1/\theta$  (Derive yourself if not given)

$$\begin{aligned}
 EX &= \int_0^{\infty} xf(x|\theta) dx = \int_0^{\infty} \theta x \exp(-\theta x) dx \\
 &= [-x \exp(-\theta x)]_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\theta x) dx \\
 &= 0 + \left[ -\frac{1}{\theta} \exp(-\theta x) \right]_0^{\infty} = \frac{1}{\theta}
 \end{aligned}$$

- ② By LLN (Law of Large Number),  $\bar{X} \xrightarrow{P} EX = 1/\theta$ .

## Solution (a) - Consistency

- ① Obtain  $EX = 1/\theta$  (Derive yourself if not given)

$$\begin{aligned}
 EX &= \int_0^{\infty} xf(x|\theta) dx = \int_0^{\infty} \theta x \exp(-\theta x) dx \\
 &= [-x \exp(-\theta x)]_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\theta x) dx \\
 &= 0 + \left[ -\frac{1}{\theta} \exp(-\theta x) \right]_0^{\infty} = \frac{1}{\theta}
 \end{aligned}$$

- ② By LLN (Law of Large Number),  $\bar{X} \xrightarrow{P} EX = 1/\theta$ .
- ③ By Theorem of continuous map,  $n/\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = 1/\bar{X} \xrightarrow{P} \theta$ .

## Solution (b) - Asymptotic Distribution

- 1 Obtain  $\text{Var}(X) = 1/\theta^2$  (Derive if needed, omitted here).

## Solution (b) - Asymptotic Distribution

- 1 Obtain  $\text{Var}(X) = 1/\theta^2$  (Derive if needed, omitted here).
- 2 Apply CLT(Central Limit Theorem),

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{AN} \left( \frac{1}{\theta}, \frac{1}{\theta^2 n} \right)$$

## Solution (b) - Asymptotic Distribution

- 1 Obtain  $\text{Var}(X) = 1/\theta^2$  (Derive if needed, omitted here).
- 2 Apply CLT(Central Limit Theorem),

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{AN} \left( \frac{1}{\theta}, \frac{1}{\theta^2 n} \right)$$

- 3 Apply Delta method. Let  $g(y) = 1/y$ , then  $g'(y) = -1/y^2$ .

## Solution (b) - Asymptotic Distribution

- 1 Obtain  $\text{Var}(X) = 1/\theta^2$  (Derive if needed, omitted here).
- 2 Apply CLT(Central Limit Theorem),

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{AN} \left( \frac{1}{\theta}, \frac{1}{\theta^2 n} \right)$$

- 3 Apply Delta method. Let  $g(y) = 1/y$ , then  $g'(y) = -1/y^2$ .

$$\frac{\sum X_i}{n} = 1/\bar{X} = g(\bar{X}) \sim \mathcal{AN} \left( g(1/\theta), \frac{[g'(1/\theta)]^2}{\theta^2 n} \right)$$



## Solution (b) - Asymptotic Distribution

- 1 Obtain  $\text{Var}(X) = 1/\theta^2$  (Derive if needed, omitted here).
- 2 Apply CLT(Central Limit Theorem),

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{AN} \left( \frac{1}{\theta}, \frac{1}{\theta^2 n} \right)$$

- 3 Apply Delta method. Let  $g(y) = 1/y$ , then  $g'(y) = -1/y^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum X_i}{n} &= 1/\bar{X} = g(\bar{X}) \sim \mathcal{AN} \left( g(1/\theta), \frac{[g'(1/\theta)]^2}{\theta^2 n} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{AN} \left( \theta, \frac{\theta^2}{n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

## Solution (b) - Asymptotic Distribution

- 1 Obtain  $\text{Var}(X) = 1/\theta^2$  (Derive if needed, omitted here).
- 2 Apply CLT(Central Limit Theorem),

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{AN} \left( \frac{1}{\theta}, \frac{1}{\theta^2 n} \right)$$

- 3 Apply Delta method. Let  $g(y) = 1/y$ , then  $g'(y) = -1/y^2$ .

$$\frac{\sum X_i}{n} = 1/\bar{X} = g(\bar{X}) \sim \mathcal{AN} \left( g(1/\theta), \frac{[g'(1/\theta)]^2}{\theta^2 n} \right)$$

$$= \mathcal{AN} \left( \theta, \frac{\theta^2}{n} \right)$$

$$\iff \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{1}{\bar{X}} - \theta \right) = \mathcal{N} (0, \theta^2)$$

## Solution (c) - Wald asymptotic size $\alpha$ test

- 1 Obtain a consistent estimator of  $\theta$  :

## Solution (c) - Wald asymptotic size $\alpha$ test

- 1 Obtain a consistent estimator of  $\theta$  :

$$W(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \sim \mathcal{AN}\left(\theta, \frac{\theta^2}{n}\right)$$

## Solution (c) - Wald asymptotic size $\alpha$ test

- 1 Obtain a consistent estimator of  $\theta$  :

$$W(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \sim \mathcal{AN}\left(\theta, \frac{\theta^2}{n}\right)$$

- 2 Obtain a constant estimator of  $\text{Var}(W)$

## Solution (c) - Wald asymptotic size $\alpha$ test

- ① Obtain a consistent estimator of  $\theta$  :

$$W(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \sim \mathcal{AN} \left( \theta, \frac{\theta^2}{n} \right)$$

- ② Obtain a constant estimator of  $\text{Var}(W)$

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \xrightarrow{P} \text{Var}(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{\theta^2} \quad (\text{CLT})$$

## Solution (c) - Wald asymptotic size $\alpha$ test

- ① Obtain a consistent estimator of  $\theta$  :

$$W(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \sim \mathcal{AN}\left(\theta, \frac{\theta^2}{n}\right)$$

- ② Obtain a constant estimator of  $\text{Var}(W)$

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \xrightarrow{P} \text{Var}(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{\theta^2} \quad (\text{CLT})$$

$$\frac{n-1}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \xrightarrow{P} \theta^2 \quad (\text{Continuous Map Theorem}).$$

## Solution (c) - Wald asymptotic size $\alpha$ test

- ① Obtain a consistent estimator of  $\theta$  :

$$W(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \sim \mathcal{AN}\left(\theta, \frac{\theta^2}{n}\right)$$

- ② Obtain a constant estimator of  $\text{Var}(W)$

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \xrightarrow{P} \text{Var}(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{\theta^2} \quad (\text{CLT})$$

$$\frac{n-1}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \xrightarrow{P} \theta^2 \quad (\text{Continuous Map Theorem}).$$

$$S^2 = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \xrightarrow{P} \theta^2 \quad (\text{Slutsky's Theorem}).$$



## Solution (c) - Wald Asymptotic size $\alpha$ test (cont'd)

- Construct a two-sided asymptotic size  $\alpha$  Wald test, whose rejection region is

## Solution (c) - Wald Asymptotic size $\alpha$ test (cont'd)

- ③ Construct a two-sided asymptotic size  $\alpha$  Wald test, whose rejection region is

$$|Z(\mathbf{X})| = \left| \frac{W(\mathbf{X}) - \theta_0}{S/\sqrt{n}} \right|$$

## Solution (c) - Wald Asymptotic size $\alpha$ test (cont'd)

- ③ Construct a two-sided asymptotic size  $\alpha$  Wald test, whose rejection region is

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Z(\mathbf{X})| &= \left| \frac{W(\mathbf{X}) - \theta_0}{S/\sqrt{n}} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} - \theta_0}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}}} \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

## Solution (c) - Wald Asymptotic size $\alpha$ test (cont'd)

- ③ Construct a two-sided asymptotic size  $\alpha$  Wald test, whose rejection region is

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Z(\mathbf{X})| &= \left| \frac{W(\mathbf{X}) - \theta_0}{S/\sqrt{n}} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{1} - \theta_0}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{\bar{X}} - \theta_0 \right| \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \geq z_{\alpha/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

## Solution (d) - Asymptotic $1 - \alpha$ confidence interval

The acceptance region is

$$A = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \left| \frac{1}{\bar{x}} - \theta_0 \right| \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \leq z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

## Solution (d) - Asymptotic $1 - \alpha$ confidence interval

The acceptance region is

$$A = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \left| \frac{1}{\bar{x}} - \theta_0 \right| \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \leq z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

By inverting the acceptance region, the confidence interval is

## Solution (d) - Asymptotic $1 - \alpha$ confidence interval

The acceptance region is

$$A = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \left| \frac{1}{\bar{x}} - \theta_0 \right| \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \leq z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

By inverting the acceptance region, the confidence interval is

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = \left\{ \theta : \left| \frac{1}{\bar{X}} - \theta \right| \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \leq z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

## Solution (d) - Asymptotic $1 - \alpha$ confidence interval

The acceptance region is

$$A = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \left| \frac{1}{\bar{x}} - \theta_0 \right| \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \leq z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

By inverting the acceptance region, the confidence interval is

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = \left\{ \theta : \left| \frac{1}{\bar{X}} - \theta \right| \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \leq z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

which is equivalent to

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = \left\{ \theta \in \left[ \frac{1}{\bar{X}} - \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}}, \frac{1}{\bar{X}} + \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}} \right] \right\}$$



# Practice Problem 3

## Problem

The independent random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  have the following pdf

$$f(x|\theta, \beta) = \frac{\beta x^{\beta-1}}{\theta^\beta} \quad 0 < x < \theta, \beta > 0$$

## Practice Problem 3

### Problem

The independent random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  have the following pdf

$$f(x|\theta, \beta) = \frac{\beta x^{\beta-1}}{\theta^\beta} \quad 0 < x < \theta, \beta > 0$$

- 1 Find the MLEs of  $\beta$  and  $\theta$

## Practice Problem 3

### Problem

The independent random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  have the following pdf

$$f(x|\theta, \beta) = \frac{\beta x^{\beta-1}}{\theta^\beta} \quad 0 < x < \theta, \beta > 0$$

- 1 Find the MLEs of  $\beta$  and  $\theta$
- 2 When  $\beta$  is a known constant  $\beta_0$ , construct a LRT testing  $H_0 : \theta \geq \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta < \theta_0$ .

## Practice Problem 3

### Problem

The independent random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  have the following pdf

$$f(x|\theta, \beta) = \frac{\beta x^{\beta-1}}{\theta^\beta} \quad 0 < x < \theta, \beta > 0$$

- ① Find the MLEs of  $\beta$  and  $\theta$
- ② When  $\beta$  is a known constant  $\beta_0$ , construct a LRT testing  $H_0 : \theta \geq \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta < \theta_0$ .
- ③ When  $\beta$  is a known constant  $\beta_0$ , find the upper confidence limit for  $\theta$  with confidence coefficient  $1 - \alpha$ .

# (a) - MLE

$$L(\theta, \beta | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\beta^n (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{\beta-1}}{\theta n \beta} I(x_{(n)} \leq \theta)$$

# (a) - MLE

$$L(\theta, \beta | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\beta^n (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{\beta-1}}{\theta^{n\beta}} I(x_{(n)} \leq \theta)$$

Because  $L$  is a decreasing function of  $\theta$  and positive only when  $\theta \geq x_{(n)}$

## (a) - MLE

$$L(\theta, \beta | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\beta^n (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{\beta-1}}{\theta^n \beta} I(x_{(n)} \leq \theta)$$

Because  $L$  is a decreasing function of  $\theta$  and positive only when  $\theta \geq x_{(n)}$

$$\hat{\theta} = x_{(n)}$$

## (a) - MLE

$$L(\theta, \beta | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\beta^n (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{\beta-1}}{\theta^{n\beta}} I(x_{(n)} \leq \theta)$$

Because  $L$  is a decreasing function of  $\theta$  and positive only when  $\theta \geq x_{(n)}$

$$\hat{\theta} = x_{(n)}$$

$$l(\theta, \beta | \mathbf{x}) = n \log \beta + (\beta - 1) \sum \log x_i - n\beta \log \theta$$



## (a) - MLE

$$L(\theta, \beta | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\beta^n (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{\beta-1}}{\theta^{n\beta}} I(x_{(n)} \leq \theta)$$

Because  $L$  is a decreasing function of  $\theta$  and positive only when  $\theta \geq x_{(n)}$

$$\hat{\theta} = x_{(n)}$$

$$l(\theta, \beta | \mathbf{x}) = n \log \beta + (\beta - 1) \sum \log x_i - n\beta \log \theta$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \beta} = \frac{n}{\beta} + \sum \log x_i - n \log \theta = 0$$

## (a) - MLE

$$L(\theta, \beta | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\beta^n (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{\beta-1}}{\theta^{n\beta}} I(x_{(n)} \leq \theta)$$

Because  $L$  is a decreasing function of  $\theta$  and positive only when  $\theta \geq x_{(n)}$

$$\hat{\theta} = x_{(n)}$$

$$l(\theta, \beta | \mathbf{x}) = n \log \beta + (\beta - 1) \sum \log x_i - n\beta \log \theta$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \beta} = \frac{n}{\beta} + \sum \log x_i - n \log \theta = 0$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{n}{n \log \hat{\theta} - \sum \log x_i}$$

## (a) - MLE

$$L(\theta, \beta | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\beta^n (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{\beta-1}}{\theta^{n\beta}} I(x_{(n)} \leq \theta)$$

Because  $L$  is a decreasing function of  $\theta$  and positive only when  $\theta \geq x_{(n)}$

$$\hat{\theta} = x_{(n)}$$

$$l(\theta, \beta | \mathbf{x}) = n \log \beta + (\beta - 1) \sum \log x_i - n\beta \log \theta$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \beta} = \frac{n}{\beta} + \sum \log x_i - n \log \theta = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} &= \frac{n}{n \log \hat{\theta} - \sum \log x_i} \\ &= \frac{n}{n x_{(n)} - \sum \log x_i} \end{aligned}$$

# (b) - LRT

$$\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x})}{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega} L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x})}$$

## (b) - LRT

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x})}{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega} L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x})} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \theta_0 < x_{(n)} \\ \frac{L(\theta_0|\mathbf{x})}{L(x_{(n)}|\mathbf{x})} & \theta_0 \geq x_{(n)} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

## (b) - LRT

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x})}{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega} L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x})} \\
 &= \begin{cases} 1 & \theta_0 < x_{(n)} \\ \frac{L(\theta_0|\mathbf{x})}{L(x_{(n)}|\mathbf{x})} & \theta_0 \geq x_{(n)} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} 1 & \theta_0 < x_{(n)} \\ \frac{(x_{(n)})^{n\beta_0}}{\theta_0^{n\beta_0}} & \theta_0 \geq x_{(n)} \end{cases} \leq c
 \end{aligned}$$

## (b) - LRT

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x})}{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega} L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x})} \\
 &= \begin{cases} 1 & \theta_0 < x_{(n)} \\ \frac{L(\theta_0|\mathbf{x})}{L(x_{(n)}|\mathbf{x})} & \theta_0 \geq x_{(n)} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} 1 & \theta_0 < x_{(n)} \\ \frac{(x_{(n)})^{n\beta_0}}{\theta_0^{n\beta_0}} & \theta_0 \geq x_{(n)} \end{cases} \leq c \\
 \frac{x_{(n)}}{\theta_0} &\leq c^*
 \end{aligned}$$

## (b) - size $\alpha$ LRT

$$\alpha = \Pr\left(\frac{x_{(n)}}{\theta_0} \leq c^*\right)$$



## (b) - size $\alpha$ LRT

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \Pr\left(\frac{x_{(n)}}{\theta_0} \leq c^*\right) \\ &= (c^*)^{n\beta_0}\end{aligned}$$

## (b) - size $\alpha$ LRT

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \Pr\left(\frac{x_{(n)}}{\theta_0} \leq c^*\right) \\ &= (c^*)^{n\beta_0} \\ c^* &= \alpha^{\frac{1}{n\beta_0}}\end{aligned}$$

## (b) - size $\alpha$ LRT

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \Pr\left(\frac{x_{(n)}}{\theta_0} \leq c^*\right) \\ &= (c^*)^{n\beta_0} \\ c^* &= \alpha^{\frac{1}{n\beta_0}}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the rejection region for size  $\alpha$  LRT is is

## (b) - size $\alpha$ LRT

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \Pr\left(\frac{x_{(n)}}{\theta_0} \leq c^*\right) \\ &= (c^*)^{n\beta_0} \\ c^* &= \alpha^{\frac{1}{n\beta_0}}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the rejection region for size  $\alpha$  LRT is is

$$R = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : x_{(n)} \leq \theta_0 \alpha^{\frac{1}{n\beta_0}} \right\}$$

## (c) - Upper $1 - \alpha$ confidence limit

The acceptance region of size  $\alpha$  LRT is

## (c) - Upper $1 - \alpha$ confidence limit

The acceptance region of size  $\alpha$  LRT is

$$A(\theta_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : x_{(n)} > \theta_0 \alpha^{\frac{1}{n\beta_0}} \right\}$$

## (c) - Upper $1 - \alpha$ confidence limit

The acceptance region of size  $\alpha$  LRT is

$$A(\theta_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : x_{(n)} > \theta_0 \alpha^{\frac{1}{n\beta_0}} \right\}$$

By inserting the acceptance region, the  $1 - \alpha$  confidence interval becomes

## (c) - Upper $1 - \alpha$ confidence limit

The acceptance region of size  $\alpha$  LRT is

$$A(\theta_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : x_{(n)} > \theta_0 \alpha^{\frac{1}{n\beta_0}} \right\}$$

By inserting the acceptance region, the  $1 - \alpha$  confidence interval becomes

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = \left\{ \theta : X_{(n)} > \theta \alpha^{\frac{1}{n\beta_0}} \right\}$$



## (c) - Upper $1 - \alpha$ confidence limit

The acceptance region of size  $\alpha$  LRT is

$$A(\theta_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : x_{(n)} > \theta_0 \alpha^{\frac{1}{n\beta_0}} \right\}$$

By inserting the acceptance region, the  $1 - \alpha$  confidence interval becomes

$$\begin{aligned} C(\mathbf{X}) &= \left\{ \theta : X_{(n)} > \theta \alpha^{\frac{1}{n\beta_0}} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \theta : \theta < X_{(n)} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{n\beta_0}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

## (c) - Upper $1 - \alpha$ confidence limit

The acceptance region of size  $\alpha$  LRT is

$$A(\theta_0) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : x_{(n)} > \theta_0 \alpha^{\frac{1}{n\beta_0}} \right\}$$

By inserting the acceptance region, the  $1 - \alpha$  confidence interval becomes

$$\begin{aligned} C(\mathbf{X}) &= \left\{ \theta : X_{(n)} > \theta \alpha^{\frac{1}{n\beta_0}} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \theta : \theta < X_{(n)} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{n\beta_0}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the upper  $1 - \alpha$  confidence limit is  $X_{(n)} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{n\beta_0}}$ .

# Practice Problem 4

## Problem

A random sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is drawn from a population  $\mathcal{N}(\theta, \theta)$  where  $\theta > 0$ .

# Practice Problem 4

## Problem

A random sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is drawn from a population  $\mathcal{N}(\theta, \theta)$  where  $\theta > 0$ .

(a) Find the  $\hat{\theta}$ , the MLE of  $\theta$

# Practice Problem 4

## Problem

A random sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is drawn from a population  $\mathcal{N}(\theta, \theta)$  where  $\theta > 0$ .

- (a) Find the  $\hat{\theta}$ , the MLE of  $\theta$
- (b) Find the asymptotic distribution of  $\hat{\theta}$ .

# Practice Problem 4

## Problem

A random sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is drawn from a population  $\mathcal{N}(\theta, \theta)$  where  $\theta > 0$ .

- Find the  $\hat{\theta}$ , the MLE of  $\theta$
- Find the asymptotic distribution of  $\hat{\theta}$ .
- Compute  $\text{ARE}(\hat{\theta}, \bar{X})$ . Determine whether  $\hat{\theta}$  is asymptotically more efficient than  $\bar{X}$  or not.

# Practice Problem 4

## Problem

A random sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is drawn from a population  $\mathcal{N}(\theta, \theta)$  where  $\theta > 0$ .

- Find the  $\hat{\theta}$ , the MLE of  $\theta$
- Find the asymptotic distribution of  $\hat{\theta}$ .
- Compute  $\text{ARE}(\hat{\theta}, \bar{X})$ . Determine whether  $\hat{\theta}$  is asymptotically more efficient than  $\bar{X}$  or not.

You may use the following fact:  $\text{Var}(X^2) = 4\theta^3 + 2\theta^2$ .

# (a) - MLE of $\theta$

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi\theta)^{n/2} \exp \left[ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\theta} \right]$$



(a) - MLE of  $\theta$ 

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi\theta)^{n/2} \exp \left[ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\theta} \right]$$

$$l(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{n}{2} \log \theta - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\theta}$$

(a) - MLE of  $\theta$ 

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) &= (2\pi\theta)^{n/2} \exp\left[-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\theta}\right] \\
 l(\theta|\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{n}{2} \log \theta - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\theta} \\
 &= \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{n}{2} \log \theta - \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\theta} + \sum x_i - \frac{n\theta}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

(a) - MLE of  $\theta$ 

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) &= (2\pi\theta)^{n/2} \exp\left[-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\theta}\right] \\
 l(\theta|\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{n}{2} \log \theta - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\theta} \\
 &= \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{n}{2} \log \theta - \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\theta} + \sum x_i - \frac{n\theta}{2} \\
 l'(\theta|\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{n}{2\theta} + \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\theta^2} - \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n\theta - \sum x_i^2 - n\theta^2}{2\theta^2} = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

(a) - MLE of  $\theta$ 

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi\theta)^{n/2} \exp \left[ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\theta} \right]$$

$$l(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{n}{2} \log \theta - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\theta}$$

$$= \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{n}{2} \log \theta - \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\theta} + \sum x_i - \frac{n\theta}{2}$$

$$l'(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{n}{2\theta} + \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\theta^2} - \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n\theta - \sum x_i^2 - n\theta^2}{2\theta^2} = 0$$

$$n\theta^2 + n\theta - \sum x_i^2 = 0$$

(a) - MLE of  $\theta$ 

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi\theta)^{n/2} \exp \left[ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\theta} \right]$$

$$l(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{n}{2} \log \theta - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\theta}$$

$$= \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{n}{2} \log \theta - \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\theta} + \sum x_i - \frac{n\theta}{2}$$

$$l'(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{n}{2\theta} + \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\theta^2} - \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n\theta - \sum x_i^2 - n\theta^2}{2\theta^2} = 0$$

$$n\theta^2 + n\theta - \sum x_i^2 = 0$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4 \sum x_i^2 / n}}{2}$$

(a) - MLE of  $\theta$ 

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi\theta)^{n/2} \exp \left[ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\theta} \right]$$

$$l(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{n}{2} \log \theta - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\theta}$$

$$= \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{n}{2} \log \theta - \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\theta} + \sum x_i - \frac{n\theta}{2}$$

$$l'(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{n}{2\theta} + \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\theta^2} - \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n\theta - \sum x_i^2 - n\theta^2}{2\theta^2} = 0$$

$$n\theta^2 + n\theta - \sum x_i^2 = 0$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4 \sum x_i^2 / n}}{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i^2 = \hat{\theta}^2 + \hat{\theta}$$

## (b) - Asymptotic distribution of MLE

By CLT, Let  $W = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^2$ , then

$$W \sim \mathcal{AN} \left( EX^2, \frac{\text{Var}(X^2)}{n} \right)$$

## (b) - Asymptotic distribution of MLE

By CLT, Let  $W = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^2$ , then

$$W \sim \mathcal{AN} \left( \mathbb{E}X^2, \frac{\text{Var}(X^2)}{n} \right) = \mathcal{AN} \left( \theta + \theta^2, \frac{4\theta^3 + 2\theta^2}{n} \right)$$



## (b) - Asymptotic distribution of MLE

By CLT, Let  $W = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^2$ , then

$$W \sim \mathcal{AN} \left( \mathbb{E}X^2, \frac{\text{Var}(X^2)}{n} \right) = \mathcal{AN} \left( \theta + \theta^2, \frac{4\theta^3 + 2\theta^2}{n} \right)$$

The asymptotic distribution of MLE  $\hat{\theta}$

$$\hat{\theta} \sim \mathcal{AN} \left( \theta, \frac{\sigma^2(\theta)}{n} \right)$$

## (b) - Asymptotic distribution of MLE

By CLT, Let  $W = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^2$ , then

$$W \sim \mathcal{AN} \left( \mathbb{E}X^2, \frac{\text{Var}(X^2)}{n} \right) = \mathcal{AN} \left( \theta + \theta^2, \frac{4\theta^3 + 2\theta^2}{n} \right)$$

The asymptotic distribution of MLE  $\hat{\theta}$

$$\hat{\theta} \sim \mathcal{AN} \left( \theta, \frac{\sigma^2(\theta)}{n} \right)$$

for some function  $\sigma^2(\theta)$  and we would like to find  $\sigma^2(\theta)$  using the asymptotic distribution of  $W$ .

## (b) - Asymptotic distribution of MLE (cont'd)

Let  $g(y) = y^2 + y$ , then  $g'(y) = (2y + 1)$  and  $g(\hat{\theta}) = W$ . Then by the Delta Method, the asymptotic distribution of  $W$  can be written as

## (b) - Asymptotic distribution of MLE (cont'd)

Let  $g(y) = y^2 + y$ , then  $g'(y) = (2y + 1)$  and  $g(\hat{\theta}) = W$ . Then by the Delta Method, the asymptotic distribution of  $W$  can be written as

$$W = g(\hat{\theta}) \sim \mathcal{AN} \left( g(\theta), g'(\theta) \frac{\sigma^2(\theta)}{n} \right)$$

## (b) - Asymptotic distribution of MLE (cont'd)

Let  $g(y) = y^2 + y$ , then  $g'(y) = (2y + 1)$  and  $g(\hat{\theta}) = W$ . Then by the Delta Method, the asymptotic distribution of  $W$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} W = g(\hat{\theta}) &\sim \mathcal{AN} \left( g(\theta), g'(\theta) \frac{\sigma^2(\theta)}{n} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{AN} \left( \theta^2 + \theta, \frac{(2\theta + 1)^2 \sigma^2(\theta)}{n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

## (b) - Asymptotic distribution of MLE (cont'd)

Let  $g(y) = y^2 + y$ , then  $g'(y) = (2y + 1)$  and  $g(\hat{\theta}) = W$ . Then by the Delta Method, the asymptotic distribution of  $W$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} W = g(\hat{\theta}) &\sim \mathcal{AN} \left( g(\theta), g'(\theta) \frac{\sigma^2(\theta)}{n} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{AN} \left( \theta^2 + \theta, \frac{(2\theta + 1)^2 \sigma^2(\theta)}{n} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{AN} \left( \theta^2 + \theta, \frac{4\theta^3 + 2\theta^2}{n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

## (b) - Asymptotic distribution of MLE (cont'd)

Let  $g(y) = y^2 + y$ , then  $g'(y) = (2y + 1)$  and  $g(\hat{\theta}) = W$ . Then by the Delta Method, the asymptotic distribution of  $W$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 W = g(\hat{\theta}) &\sim \mathcal{AN} \left( g(\theta), g'(\theta) \frac{\sigma^2(\theta)}{n} \right) \\
 &= \mathcal{AN} \left( \theta^2 + \theta, \frac{(2\theta + 1)^2 \sigma^2(\theta)}{n} \right) \\
 &= \mathcal{AN} \left( \theta^2 + \theta, \frac{4\theta^3 + 2\theta^2}{n} \right) \\
 \sigma^2(\theta) &= \frac{4\theta^3 + 2\theta^2}{(2\theta + 1)^2} = \frac{2\theta^2(2\theta + 1)}{(2\theta + 1)^2} = \frac{2\theta^2}{2\theta + 1}
 \end{aligned}$$

## (b) - Asymptotic distribution of MLE (cont'd)

The asymptotic distribution of MLE  $\hat{\theta}$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\theta} &\sim \mathcal{AN}\left(\theta, \frac{\sigma^2(\theta)}{n}\right) \\ &= \mathcal{AN}\left(\theta, \frac{2\theta^2}{n(2\theta + 1)}\right)\end{aligned}$$



## (b) - Asymptotic distribution of MLE (cont'd)

The asymptotic distribution of MLE  $\hat{\theta}$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\theta} &\sim \mathcal{AN}\left(\theta, \frac{\sigma^2(\theta)}{n}\right) \\ &= \mathcal{AN}\left(\theta, \frac{2\theta^2}{n(2\theta + 1)}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Note that you cannot use CR-bound for the asymptotic variance of MLE because the regularity condition does not hold (open set criteria).

## (c) - ARE of MLE compared to $\bar{X}$

By CLT, the asymptotic distribution of  $\bar{X}$  is

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\theta, \frac{\theta}{n}\right)$$

## (c) - ARE of MLE compared to $\bar{X}$

By CLT, the asymptotic distribution of  $\bar{X}$  is

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\theta, \frac{\theta}{n}\right)$$

Then,  $\text{ARE}(\hat{\theta}, \bar{X})$  is

$$\text{ARE}(\hat{\theta}, \bar{X}) = \frac{\theta}{\frac{2\theta^2}{2\theta+1}}$$

## (c) - ARE of MLE compared to $\bar{X}$

By CLT, the asymptotic distribution of  $\bar{X}$  is

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\theta, \frac{\theta}{n}\right)$$

Then,  $\text{ARE}(\hat{\theta}, \bar{X})$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ARE}(\hat{\theta}, \bar{X}) &= \frac{\theta}{\frac{2\theta^2}{2\theta+1}} \\ &= \frac{2\theta+1}{2\theta} = 1 + \frac{1}{2\theta} > 1 \end{aligned}$$

## (c) - ARE of MLE compared to $\bar{X}$

By CLT, the asymptotic distribution of  $\bar{X}$  is

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\theta, \frac{\theta}{n}\right)$$

Then,  $\text{ARE}(\hat{\theta}, \bar{X})$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ARE}(\hat{\theta}, \bar{X}) &= \frac{\theta}{\frac{2\theta^2}{2\theta+1}} \\ &= \frac{2\theta+1}{2\theta} = 1 + \frac{1}{2\theta} > 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\theta}$  is more efficient estimator than  $\bar{X}$ .

# Wrapping Up

- 1 Many thanks for your attentions and feedbacks.

# Wrapping Up

- 1 Many thanks for your attentions and feedbacks.
- 2 Please complete your teaching evaluations, which will be very helpful for further improvement in the next year.

# Wrapping Up

- 1 Many thanks for your attentions and feedbacks.
- 2 Please complete your teaching evaluations, which will be very helpful for further improvement in the next year.
- 3 Final exam will be Thursday April 25th, 4:00-6:00pm.



# Wrapping Up

- 1 Many thanks for your attentions and feedbacks.
- 2 Please complete your teaching evaluations, which will be very helpful for further improvement in the next year.
- 3 Final exam will be Thursday April 25th, 4:00-6:00pm.
- 4 The last office hour will be held Wednesday April 24th, 4:00-5:00pm.

# Wrapping Up

- 1 Many thanks for your attentions and feedbacks.
- 2 Please complete your teaching evaluations, which will be very helpful for further improvement in the next year.
- 3 Final exam will be Thursday April 25th, 4:00-6:00pm.
- 4 The last office hour will be held Wednesday April 24th, 4:00-5:00pm.
- 5 The grade will be posted during the weekend.

# Wrapping Up

- 1 Many thanks for your attentions and feedbacks.
- 2 Please complete your teaching evaluations, which will be very helpful for further improvement in the next year.
- 3 Final exam will be Thursday April 25th, 4:00-6:00pm.
- 4 The last office hour will be held Wednesday April 24th, 4:00-5:00pm.
- 5 The grade will be posted during the weekend.
- 6 Don't forget the materials we have learned, because they are the key topics for your candidacy exam.